

Dennis F. Dunne
Nelly Almeida
MILBANK LLP
55 Hudson Yards
New York, NY 10001
Tel: (212) 530-5000
Fax: (212) 660-5219

Andrew M. Leblanc
Melanie Westover Yanez
MILBANK LLP
1850 K Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 835-7500
Fax: (202) 263-7586

*Counsel to Community First Partners, LLC,
Celsius SPV Investors, LP, and Celsius
New SPV Investors, LP*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
CELSIUS NETWORK LLC, et al. ¹)	Case No. 22-10964 (MG)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Community First Partners, LLC, Celsius SPV Investors, LP, Celsius New SPV Investors, LP, and CDP Investissements Inc. (collectively, the “Series B Preferred Holders”), as beneficial holders, or investment advisors or managers of beneficial

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Celsius Network LLC (2148); Celsius KeyFi LLC (4414); Celsius Lending LLC (8417); Celsius Mining LLC (1387); Celsius Network Inc. (1219); Celsius Network Limited (8554); Celsius Networks Lending LLC (3390); and Celsius US Holding LLC (7956). The location of Debtor Celsius Network LLC’s principal place of business and the Debtors’ service address in these chapter 11 cases is 50 Harrison Street, Suite 209F, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030.

holders, of Series B Preferred Shares issued by Celsius Network Limited, hereby appeals to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158 and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 8001-8005, from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York's April 18, 2023 *Order Granting the Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (I) for Authority to File a Class Claim Asserting Non-Contract Claims on Behalf of Account Holders or (II) to Appoint a Third-Party Fiduciary to Assert Non-Contract Claims on Behalf of Account Holders* [Dkt. No. 2496] (the "Order").

A copy of the Order appealed from is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. A copy of the April 18, 2023 transcript and bench ruling, preceding the Order, is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

The names of all parties to the Order appealed from and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of their respective counsel are as follows:

Appellants:

MILBANK LLP
Dennis F. Dunne
Nelly Almeida
55 Hudson Yards
New York, NY 10001
Telephone: (212) 530-5000

MILBANK LLP
Andrew M. Leblanc
Melanie Westover Yanez
1850 K Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 835-7500

*Counsel to Community First Partners, LLC,
Celsius SPV Investors, LP, and
Celsius New SPV Investors, LP*

JONES DAY
Joshua M. Mester
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 489-3939

Counsel to CDP Investissements Inc.

Appellees:

WHITE & CASE LLP

David M. Turetsky
Kimberly A. Havlin
Samuel P. Hershey
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
Telephone: (212) 819-8200

WHITE & CASE LLP

Michael C. Andolina
Gregory F. Pesce
111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 881-5400

WHITE & CASE LLP

Keith H. Wofford
Southeast Financial Center, Suite 4900
200 South Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, FL 33131
Telephone: (305) 371-2700

WHITE & CASE LLP

Aaron E. Colodny
555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 620-7700

*Counsel to the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors*

Other parties:

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C.
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Patrick J. Nash, Jr., P.C.
Ross M. Kwasteniet, P.C.
Christopher S. Koenig
Dan Latona
300 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone: (312) 862-2000

*Counsel to the Debtors and
Debtors-in-Possession*

VENABLE LLP
Jeffrey S. Sabin
Carol Weiner Levy
Arie Peled
1270 Avenue of the Americas, 24th Floor
New York, NY 10020
Telephone: (212) 503-0896

VENABLE LLP
Andrew J. Currie
600 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 344-4586

Counsel for Ignat Tuganov

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
Michael S. Etkin
Philip Kherzi
1251 Avenue of the Americas, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10020
Telephone: (212) 262-6700

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
Andrew Behlmann
One Lowenstein Drive
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: (973) 597-2500

*Bankruptcy Counsel to the Proposed Lead
Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class*

WILLIAM K. HARRINGTON
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, REGION 2
U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the United States Trustee
Shara Cornell
Mark Bruh
Brian Masumoto
One Bowling Green
New York, New York 1004
Telephone: (212) 510-0500

Dated: May 2, 2023
New York, New York

/s/ Dennis F. Dunne

Dennis F. Dunne
Nelly Almeida
MILBANK LLP
55 Hudson Yards
New York, NY 10001
Tel: (212) 530-5000
Fax: (212) 660-5219

- and -

Andrew M. Leblanc
Melanie Westover Yanez
MILBANK LLP
1850 K Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 835-7500
Fax: (202) 263-7586

*Counsel to Community First Partners, LLC,
Celsius SPV Investors, LP, and Celsius
New SPV Investors, LP*

/s/ Joshua M. Mester

Joshua M. Mester (admitted *pro hac vice*)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: (213) 489-3939
Fax: (213) 243-2539

Counsel to CDP Investissements Inc.

Exhibit A

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
CELSIUS NETWORK LLC, et al., ¹)	Case No. 22-10964 (MG)
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

**ORDER GRANTING THE MOTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF
UNSECURED CREDITORS (I) FOR AUTHORITY TO FILE A CLASS CLAIM
ASSERTING NON-CONTRACT CLAIMS ON BEHALF OF ACCOUNT HOLDERS OR
(II) TO APPOINT A THIRD-PARTY FIDUCIARY TO ASSERT NON-CONTRACT
CLAIMS ON BEHALF OF ACCOUNT HOLDERS**

Upon the motion [Docket No. 2399] (the “**Motion**”)² of the Committee for entry of an order (this “**Order**”) authorizing the Committee and any specified lead claimant or claimants to file the class claim or other representative action (as appropriate) asserting non-contract claims of account holders against the Debtors, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York*, entered February 1, 2012; and this Court having found that it may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution and that this matter is a core matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157; and this Court having found that venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors’ estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Celsius Network LLC (2148); Celsius KeyFi LLC (4414); Celsius Lending LLC (8417); Celsius Mining LLC (1387); Celsius Network Inc. (1219); Celsius Network Limited (8554); Celsius Networks Lending LLC (3390); Celsius US Holding LLC (7956); GK8 USA LLC (9450); GK8 Ltd. (1209); and GK8 UK Limited (0893). The location of Debtor Celsius Network LLC’s principal place of business and the Debtors’ service address in these chapter 11 cases is 50 Harrison Street, Suite 209F, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Motion.

found that the notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court; and this Court having heard and considered any and all objections, reservations of rights, and responsive pleadings filed with respect to the Motion and statements in support thereof; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby ORDERED

THAT:

1. The Motion is granted as set forth in this Order.
2. Any objections filed with respect to the Motion are overruled with prejudice
3. Under Bankruptcy Rule 9014(c), Bankruptcy Rule 7023 shall apply in this matter.

The Committee and any specified lead claimant or claimants are authorized to file the class claim asserting non-contract claims on behalf of account holders against CNL and any other Debtor. The Committee shall serve this Order and the class claim on account holders by publication on the Committee's case website and by electronic mail using the service list provided by the Debtors' claims and noticing agent.

4. The Committee is directed to file a motion under Bankruptcy Rule 7023 for certification of the class claim as soon as is practicable after the filing of the Class Claim. The Committee and other parties in interest shall meet and confer on a discovery and briefing schedule with respect to class certification, which schedule shall be subject to approval by this Court. To the extent the Court conducts a hearing on class certification, such hearing shall occur at or before any hearing in connection with confirmation of a proposed chapter 11 plan for the Debtors. For

the avoidance of doubt, litigation regarding class certification shall not preclude or delay any litigation regarding the merits of the class claim.

5. The Committee shall assert the class claim in concert with a lead claimant(s) who shall be an account holder(s) with amounts in Earn accounts. The rights of all parties in interest to object to the allowance or certification of the class claim, or to the identity of the parties who will act as class representatives with respect to the class claim, are fully preserved and may be asserted in the claims allowance or certification litigation regarding the class claim.

6. The Committee and the lead claimant(s) shall evaluate claims for fraud, fraudulent inducement, Ponzi scheme misconduct, negligent misrepresentation, restitution, and rescission as potential class claims.

7. If a class is certified under Bankruptcy Rule 7023, the Committee shall provide account holders with notice and an opportunity to opt-out of the prosecution, voting, and settlement of the class claim, with such notice and opt-out procedures subject to approval by this Court.

8. All claimants' rights under Section 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3018 are preserved.

9. The class claim shall only assert causes of action against the Debtors.

10. The Committee shall consult with the Securities Plaintiffs regarding the claims to be asserted in the class claim.

11. The bar date for claims set forth in the *Notice of Amended Bar Date for Submission of Proofs of Claim* [Dkt. No. 2310] is tolled pending an order of the Court with respect to the certification of the putative class under Bankruptcy Rule 7023.

12. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the Committee from seeking any other relief or asserting any other claim or cause of action.

13. The Committee is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.

14. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 18, 2023
New York, New York

/s/ **Martin Glenn**
MARTIN GLENN
Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge

Exhibit B

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 Case No. 22-10964-mg

5 | In the Matter of:

6

7 CELSIUS NETWORK LLC

8

9 | **Debtor.**

11

12 United States Bankruptcy Court

13 One Bowling Green

14 | **New York, NY 10004**

15

16 | April 18, 2023

17 10:02 AM

18

19

20

21 BEFORE :

22 HON MARTIN GLENN

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

24

25 ECRO : KS

Page 2

1 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Debtors'
2 Application for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the
3 Retention and Employment of Stout Risius Ross, LLC as
4 Valuation Advisor, Effective as of February 21, 2023,
5 and (II) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 2335, 2458,
6 2460].

7

8 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Joint
9 Motion for Entry of an Order (I) Approving the Settlement By
10 and Among the Debtors, the Committee, and the Withhold Ad
11 Hoc Group and (II) Granting Related Relief. (Doc# 2334)

12

13 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Motion for
14 Entry of an Order (I) Approving the Settlement by and
15 Among the Debtors and Odette Wohlman and (II) Granting
16 Related Relief. (Doc# 2331, 2416)

17

18 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Series B
19 Preferred Holder's Motion for Entry of an Order Establishing
20 Estimation Procedure for The Intercompany Claim Between
21 Celsius Network LLC and Celsius Network Limited
22 in Furtherance of Formulating The Debtors Plan of
23 Reorganization. (Doc# 2367, 2438, 2471, 2472, 2478, 2480)
24 Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Motion of The Official
25 Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Entry of

Page 3

1 an Order (I) Establishing Procedures to Estimate the
2 Intercompany Claim that Celsius Network, LLC has against
3 Celsius Network Limited and (II) Granting Related Relief.
4 (Doc## 2369, 2371, 2438, 2439, 2472, 2473, 2477, 2480)

5

6 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Motion of
7 the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (I) for
8 Authority to File a Class Claim Asserting Non-Contract
9 Claims on Behalf of Account Holders or (II) to Appoint a
10 Third-Party Fiduciary to Assert a Class Claim on Behalf of
11 Account Holders. (Doc## 2399, 2396, 2400, 2432,
12 2439, 2400, 2439, 2467, 2468, 2474 to 2476, 2482, 2484)

13

14 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
15 Application for Interim Professional Compensation for
16 Kirkland & Ellis LLP and Kirkland & Ellis International LLP,
17 Debtor's Attorney, period: 7/13/2022 to 10/31/2022. (Doc #
18 1721, 1071, 1451, 1705, 1736, 1823, 2387, 2483)

19

20 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
21 Interim Fee Application of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld
22 LLP as Special Litigation Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors
23 in Possession for Allowance of Compensation for
24 Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Expenses for the
25 Period July 13, 2022 Through and Including October

Page 4

1 31 2022 for Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, Special
2 Counsel, period: 7/13/2022 to 10/31/2022. (Doc. #
3 1707, 1072, 1407, 1668, 1736, 1823, 2387, 2483)

4

5 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
6 Application for Interim Professional Compensation for
7 Centerview Partners LLC, Other Professional, period:
8 7/13/2022 to 10/31/2022. (Doc. # 1709, 1736, 1823, 2387,
9 2483)

10

11 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
12 Application for Interim Professional Compensation for
13 Alvarez & Marsal North America, LLC, Other Professional,
14 period: 7/14/2022 to 10/31/2022. (Doc # 1710, 1001, 1419,
15 1688, 1736, 1823, 2387, 2483)

16

17 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
18 Interim Fee Application of White & Case LLP for Compensation
19 for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Expenses as
20 Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured
21 Creditors for the Period of July 29, 2022 Through October
22 31, 2022. (Doc # 1715, 1224, 1450, 1635, 1736, 1823,
23 2387, 2483)

24

25

Page 5

1 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
2 Interim Fee Application of M3 Advisory Partners, LP for
3 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of
4 Expenses as Counsel to the Official Committee of
5 Unsecured Creditors for the Period of August 1, 2022 Through
6 October 31, 2022. (Doc # 1716, 1287, 1408, 1606,
7 1736, 1823, 2387)

8

9 HEARING re HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE:
10 First Interim Fee Application of Elementus Inc. for
11 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of
12 Expenses as Blockchain Forensics Advisor to the Official
13 Committee of Unsecured Creditors for the Period of August 1,
14 2022 Through October 31, 2022. (Doc # 1718, 1274, 1275,
15 1374, 1736, 1823, 2387, 2483)

16

17 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
18 Interim Fee Application of Perella Weinberg Partners LP for
19 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of
20 Expenses as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured
21 Creditors for the Period of August 2, 2022 Through October
22 31, 2022. (Doc # 1720, 1612, 1736, 1823, 2387, 2483)

23

24

25

Page 6

1 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: First
2 Interim Fee Application of Huron Consulting Services LLC as
3 Financial Advisor to the Examiner for the Period from
4 October 10, 2022, Through and Including October 31,
5 2022 for Huron Consulting Services LLC, Other Professional,
6 period: 10/10/2022 to 10/31/2022. (Doc # 1719,
7 1685, 1717, 1740, 1736, 1823, 2387, 2483)

8

9 HEARING re Status Conference Using Zoom for Government RE:
10 Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order (I) Approving the
11 Debtors' Key Employee Incentive Program and (II) Granting
12 Related Relief. (Doc ## 917, 997, 1003, 1114, 1130,
13 1132, 1133, 1140, 1139 to 1144, 1177, 1182, 1200, 1204,
14 1283, 1366, 1694, 1841, 1907, 2055, 2181, 2336, 2337,
15 2339, 2340, 2343, 2395, 2397, 2413, 2401, 2404, 2408 to
16 2411, 2430, 2481) Status Conference Going Forward on
17 4/18/2023 at 10 AM. Hearing will be held on 05/17/2023 at
18 10:00 am

19

20 HEARING re Status Conference RE: Application of Connor Nolan
21 Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(3)(D) and 503(b)(4) for
22 Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Expenses
23 Incurred in Making a Substantial Contribution (ECF
24 Doc. # 2045, 2434, 2373, 2374, 2382, 2386, 2387, 2441)
25 Hearing RE: Motion Scheduled on 05/17/2023 at 10:00 am

1 A P P E A R A N C E S :

2

3 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

4 Attorneys for the Debtor

5 300 N La Salle Street

6 Chicago, IL 60654

7

8 BY: ROSS M. KWASTENIET

9 PATRICIA WALSH LOUREIRO

10 CHRIS KOENIG

11

12 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

13 Attorneys for the Debtor

14 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

15 Washington, DC 20004

16

17 BY: LEAH HAMLIN

18

19 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

20 Attorneys for the Debtor

21 601 Lexington Avenue

22 New York, NY 10022

23

24 BY: ELIZABETH JONES DAY LLP

25 BOB ALLEN

Page 8

1 JONES DAY LLP

2 Attorneys for CDP Investments, Inc.

3 555 S Flower Street, 50th Floor

4 Los Angeles, CA 90071

5

6 BY: JOSHUA MESTER

7

8 GODFREY & KAHN S.C.

9 Attorneys for Fee Examiner Christopher Sontchi

10 1 E. Main Street, Suite 500

11 Madison, WI 53711

12

13 BY: KATHERINE STADLER

14

15 MCCARTER ENGLISH, LLP

16 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group Of Borrowers

17 245 Park Avenue

18 New York, NY 10167

19

20 BY: DAVID J. ADLER

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

1 MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP

2 Attorneys for Series B Preferred Holders

3 1850 K St NW

4 Washington, DC, DC 20006

5

6 BY: ANDREW LEBLANC

7 DENNIS DUNNE

8

9 Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP

10 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Withhold Account Holders

11 4000 Town Center, Suite 1800

12 Southfield, MI 48075

13

14 BY: DEBORAH KOVSKY-APAP

15

16 TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP

17 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Custodial Account Holders

18 One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335

19 New York, NY 10119

20

21 BY: BRIAN KOTLIAR

22

23

24

25

Page 10

1 **VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL REGULATION**

2 **89 Main Street, Third Floor**

3 **Montpelier, VT 05620**

4

5 **BY: JENNIFER ROOD**

6

7 **OFFIT KURMAN**

8 **Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Earn Account Holders**

9 **590 Madison Avenue, 6th floor**

10 **New York, NY 10022**

11

12 **BY: JASON NAGI**

13

14 **LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**

15 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Securities Class Action**

16 **One Lowenstein Drive**

17 **Roseland, NJ 07068**

18

19 **BY: ANDREW BEHLMANN**

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 11

1 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

2 Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee

3 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006

4 New York, NY 10014

5

6 BY: SHARA CORNELL

7 ANDREA SCHWARTZ

8

9 VENABLE

10 Attorneys for Ignat Tuganov

11 151 W. 42nd Street, 49th Floor

12 New York, NY 10036

13

14 BY: ARIE PELED

15

16 WHITE & CASE LLP

17 Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

18 1221 Avenue of the Americas

19 New York, NY 10020

20

21 BY: DAVID TURETSKY

22 SAMUEL P. HERSHY

23 ANDREA AMULIC

24

25

Page 12

1 **WHITE & CASE LLP**

2 **Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors**

3 **555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700**

4 **Los Angeles, CA 90071**

5

6 **BY: AARON COLODNY**

7

8 **WHITE & CASE LLP**

9 **Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors**

10 **111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100**

11 **Chicago, IL 60606**

12

13 **BY: GREGORY F. PESCE**

14

15 **MCELROY DEUTSCH MULVANEY CARPENTER**

16 **Attorneys for New Jersey Bureau of Securities**

17 **570 Broad Street**

18 **Newark, NJ 07102**

19

20 **By: JEFFREY BERNSTEIN**

21

22

23

24

25

Page 13

1 STOUT

2 Attorneys for the Debtor

3 One South Wacker Drive, 38th Floor

4 Chicago, IL 60606

5

6 BY: JOEL COHEN

7

8 CHRIS FERRARO, Celsius Network

9 LEVI RACHMAN, PHX Financial, Pro Se Creditor

10 JASON IVENE, Pro Se Creditor

11 IMMANUEL HERRMANN, Pro Se Creditor

12 VICTOR UBIERNA de las Heras, Pro Se Creditor

13 JAMES TURPIN, Pro Se Creditor

14 DANIEL FRISHBERG, Pro Se Creditor

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE COURT: Thank you very much, and good morning
3 to everybody. I have the amended agenda in front of me.
4 We'll follow that. Mr. Kwasteniet, are you going to begin?
5 I see you standing at a podium.

6 MR. KWASTENIET: Yes, I will. Good morning, Your
7 Honor. Can you see and hear me okay?

8 THE COURT: I can. Thank you.

9 MR. KWASTENIET: Great. Thank you very much, Your
10 Honor. Last night we filed at Docket 2490 a copy of a
11 presentation that Mr. Ferraro our CEO would like to give the
12 Court this morning to open our proceedings. So if it's okay
13 with Your Honor, we request that my colleague Mr.
14 Christopher Koenig be given access rights so he can present
15 the presentation on the screen.

16 THE COURT: That's fine.

17 CLERK: Yes, (indiscernible).

18 THE COURT: Okay. It's on the screen. Thank you
19 very much, Deanna. Okay, Mr. Kwasteniet. Are you going to
20 introduce Mr. Ferraro to do this?

21 MR. KWASTENIET: Yes, I will, Your Honor. We --
22 I'm joined on the line today by the Debtor's CEO Mr.
23 Christopher Ferraro. So Chris, if you can identify yourself
24 and then maybe we'll go through this in a series of
25 questions.

Page 15

1 MR. FERRARO: Yeah, Chris Ferraro, Interim CEO
2 with the Debtor.

3 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Ferraro.

4 MR. FERRARO: Good morning, Your Honor.

5 MR. KWASTENIET: Great. Thank you. Mr. Ferraro,
6 can you please tell the Court about the current status of
7 the custody account withdrawal process?

8 MR. FERRARO: Yes. Thanks, Ross. Since February
9 15th when we started the KYC refresh process or custody
10 account withdrawal, 68 percent of the users by count has
11 successfully passed KYC. Measured in value, those users
12 represent almost 90 percent. Beginning March 2nd, eligible
13 users that finished the KYC process were allowed to begin
14 custody withdrawals. As of April 16th, we have completed
15 \$32 million of withdrawals or about 65 percent of the
16 distributable value with 17 million remaining to be
17 withdrawn.

18 As expected, we have seen customers with higher
19 balances withdraw first. Customers who have withdrawn have
20 a balance four times higher than those who have not. We
21 will continue to provide emails and (indiscernible)
22 messaging to remind eligible customers to start the KYC
23 process and withdraw from the platform. We've already sent
24 two reminder emails with another round of notifications
25 going out in the next week.

Page 16

1 With respect to the remaining assets in the
2 custody accounts that were not part of the pure custody
3 tranche, on March 21st, the Court approved a settlement
4 among Celsius, the Custody Ad Hoc Group, and the UCC that
5 will return custody assets not currently eligible for
6 withdrawal to users that elect to participate in the
7 settlement. In addition, pursuant to the order approving
8 the settlement, Celsius is now authorized to return the
9 remaining six percent of each eligible user's distributable
10 custody assets that were originally held back in accordance
11 with the Court's previous order.

12 Celsius filed a revised distribution schedule
13 noting that change yesterday at Docket 2491. Custody
14 account users who opt into the settlement will receive 72.5
15 percent of their remaining assets in their custody accounts
16 that were not previously authorized for withdrawal less any
17 fees in two different payments. Eligible custody account
18 holders that would like to opt into the settlement are
19 required to submit an election form to (Indiscernible) no
20 later than April 24th at 5 p.m. Thus far, 37 percent of
21 eligible users have opted into the settlement representing
22 55 percent of the value. Now turning to the next slide.

23 MR. KWASTENIET: All right. Thanks, Mr. Ferraro.
24 Can you now provide the Court an update on the company's
25 mining operations?

1 MR. FERRARO: Yes. We ended March with 45,000
2 rigs deployed. Since my last update in early February, we
3 executed a new hosting agreement for 45 megawatts or over
4 13,000 machines. The rigs will be hosted in Hardin,
5 Montana, and we expect that all the rigs will be hashing by
6 early May. This is the second hosting deal that we have
7 signed since the Core Scientific rejection in early January,
8 and the two deals are for a total of 30,000 rigs.

9 For March, we had an adjusted EBITDA of 900,000
10 down nine percent from February. Quick reminder that the
11 EBITDA for this business is effectively the pre-tax income
12 adjusted down back to appreciation, and there's a good proxy
13 for cash flow from operations. The adjusted gross margin
14 was 16 percent in March down 14 percent from February driven
15 by volatile energy costs at proprietary sites, and the 12
16 percent higher network cash rate.

17 The up time, or the percentage of time our
18 machines are (indiscernible) declined to 59 percent due to
19 economic curtailment at our proprietary sites and
20 transformer outages at the Oklahoma City facility. The
21 average value of mined bit coin increased 7 percent from the
22 previous month to approximately 25,000 in March.

23 Moving to the next slide, which notes a longer
24 trend in the metrics I just discussed, most of the details
25 we just covered, but I did want to point out one item. On

Page 18

1 the bottom left graph you see the 45,000 rigs deployed at
2 the end of March, which is a sizable increase to the 28,000
3 deployed immediately following, excuse me, the Core
4 Scientific rejection in early January. With the new hosting
5 agreements, we expect the rigs deployed to increase to
6 58,000 in May and expect 58,000 rigs deployed by the end of
7 June when our last proprietary site in Texas comes online.
8 So we're well on our way to mitigating the impact from the
9 37,000 rigs that were part of the core research.

10 MR. KWASTENIET: I think we can move onto the next
11 --

12 MR. FERRARO: Onto the next slide, please.

13 MR. KWASTENIET: -- to the next slide. Mr.
14 Ferraro, can you please provide the Court an update on the
15 company's current financial situation?

16 MR. FERRARO: Yeah. As a reminder, we started the
17 case with 138 million of cash, and we now have 166 million
18 on hand as of March month end, an increase of 28 million
19 since the petition date. That's all I have, Your Honor.
20 Sorry I'm losing my voice. I'm getting a little under the
21 weather. Thanks for your time today.

22 MR. KWASTENIET: Great. So unless (indiscernible)
23 --

24 THE COURT: Mr. Ferraro, let me ask you a
25 question. So, you know, the value of bitcoin has increased

Page 19

1 substantially since the start of the case. I think it was
2 about 22,000 as I recall when the petition was filed. As of
3 today, it's a little over 30,000. How much of the increase
4 of liquidation is attributable to the increase in value of
5 bitcoin?

6 MR. FERRARO: Your Honor, not very much. You
7 know, we started the case with, you know, margins that were
8 a little bit healthier to where we are right now. We had
9 the EBITDA back then of a couple of million a month, and
10 right now it's at about a million a month. At the very low
11 point of bitcoin prices in December, you know, our EBITDA
12 was effectively zero-ish. So you can think of the rise in
13 bitcoin as having a favorable impact of about \$1 million a
14 month on our cashflows.

15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kwasteniet, do you
16 want to move on as -- let's move on with the agenda.

17 MR. KWASTENIET: That's great, Your Honor, but
18 before I get to the rest of the agenda, I just have one
19 other quick update. It'll just take a minute.

20 THE COURT: Sure.

21 MR. KWASTENIET: Your Honor, yesterday was the bid
22 deadline in the Debtor's Stalking Horse sale process, and I
23 wanted to inform Your Honor and the parties listening that
24 the Debtors received bids yesterday from three different
25 parties in addition to the Stalking Horse. So we have a

Page 20

1 total of four bids that we are now considering. The Debtors
2 have shared these bids with the Committee, and we will be
3 evaluating the bids and deciding on next steps in the coming
4 days. So the Stalking Horse process is working as we
5 intended and hoped that it would in terms of generating
6 interest and competition.

7 Your Honor, importantly, these bids are
8 confidential, and it's important that the bids remain
9 confidential at this time while we are going through the
10 negotiation process. The Debtors have already had
11 conversations with the Committee and will continue to do so
12 about the right place and time and manner to disclose the
13 terms and details of the competing bids.

14 They will not be kept under wraps forever, but for
15 right now while we are negotiating with the parties, it's
16 obviously important that the parties' different bids and
17 positions be known to the Debtors and the Committee, but not
18 known to the other parties. So hence, the layer of
19 confidentiality that applies at this time. That's it in
20 terms of the general update, Your Honor, and we're now ready
21 to move into the agenda.

22 THE COURT: Let me ask a couple of questions that
23 arose from what you've just talked about. So you had
24 previously filed -- recently filed on the docket a statement
25 explaining the delay in filing a disclosure statement until

1 this bidding process is done in light of additional
2 interested parties. You've now said there are three
3 additional bids that have been made. What are you -- what
4 is the Debtor and the Committee contemplating? Is there
5 going to be a deadline to the bidders for best and final
6 bids? What's the process going forward?

7 MR. KWASTENIET: Yes, Your Honor. So with
8 receiving a very significant volume of materials over the
9 weekend and yesterday from the prospective bidders, we've
10 got a lot of work ahead of us over the next few days to
11 process through the bids, compare them, analyze them.
12 There's a bunch of people who are not on this call this
13 morning because they're focused on working through and
14 summarizing and analyzing the bids.

15 The bids, Your Honor, are not all exactly on fours
16 with each other. Some of the bids propose different
17 structures. So it's not clear yet that it's going to make
18 sense to do a traditional auction because, you know, you
19 have some bids that are one structure and others that are
20 another structure, and they don't really compete directly
21 against each other.

22 So but I do expect that as part of the process in
23 the coming days and, you know, probably later this week that
24 we'll be going back to all the parties to try to improve
25 their bids again in furtherance of our goal of making a

Page 22

1 decision as soon as possible. Your Honor, we've extended
2 the deadline to file the disclosure statement to April the
3 28th. We're very much hoping to hit that deadline. There
4 is a possibility, of course, if we end up switching to a
5 different bid or materially change the path forward as a
6 result of the bidding process that we may need additional
7 time to finalize that.

8 But for all the creditors who are listening,
9 shareholders who are listening, all of whom are very
10 interested in as we are in an expeditious resolution of the
11 case, I can assure everybody that the time we're spending
12 right now is very much focused on making sure we have the
13 right -- the best bid to deliver the most value to
14 everybody.

15 So we've not yet decided, Your Honor, on whether
16 we're going to have an auction or further final -- last and
17 final deadline. If we do, I expect we'll file a subsequent
18 notice on the docket, but we're just -- we're working
19 through and still analyzing how best to proceed in light of
20 the different, you know, style of bids that we've received.

21 THE COURT: So let me raise another issue, and
22 that is certainly when you got my approval for the breakup
23 and expense reimbursement as revised, before I ruled on
24 that, I gave a deadline to the regulators, state and
25 federal, to advise what their views were. They all

Page 23

1 obviously reserved all their rights, and I certainly fully
2 understand that. But regulatory compliance is really very
3 important. And to the extent that either the successful
4 bidder is different than the current one or the structure
5 changes, that could -- conceivably could have an impact on
6 the regulatory issues as well.

7 I do think it's important. I'm not ordering you
8 do at this point. I don't know what the structures are, who
9 the bidders are, etcetera, but I really do urge that
10 Debtors' counsel and Committee counsel, before you go live
11 with a selection of a successful bidder that you have
12 further discussions with the Office of the United States
13 Trustee and the federal and state securities regulators. It
14 would be unfortunate if we wind up with a surprise that you
15 select someone and then there's a whole new round of
16 objections because of the change in structure.

17 You know, I don't -- let me make clear. I'm not
18 expecting, if there is that discussion with the regulators,
19 that they're going to be bound by what their discussions
20 with you are, but I do think it's important. I just -- as
21 this goes forward, I want whatever the successful bid is,
22 structure and bidder, actually can move forward to
23 hopefully, you know, voting -- a disclosure statement,
24 voting, and a plan. So let me leave it at that. I just --
25 you know, the structure was known. The Stalking Horse was

Page 24

1 known, and that may change for a very good reason. But let
2 me leave it at that.

3 MR. KWASTENIET: Thank you, Your Honor. We very
4 much agree with and appreciate your comments, and we've
5 spent a lot of time. These bids didn't just come in out of
6 the blue. We've had many diligence calls with the three
7 parties who submitted bids. Some more than others. Some
8 have been involved for longer than others, but certainly
9 regulatory compliance has been something we've spent a lot
10 of time on, and we --

11 THE COURT: You've cut out, Mr. Kwasteniet. I
12 can't hear you.

13 MR. KWASTENIET: Your Honor, can you hear me
14 again?

15 THE COURT: I can, yes. Go ahead.

16 MR. KWASTENIET: Okay. We got an alert that the
17 system had been muted, so I had to figure out how to unmute.

18 CLERK: I was trying to mute another party that
19 was speaking and Zoom jumped on me. My apologies.

20 MR. KWASTENIET: No problem. I'll take that as a
21 signal to wrap up my opening remarks.

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MR. KWASTENIET: Your Honor, we have been meeting
24 regularly, almost weekly, with the state and federal
25 regulators. I believe we have another update call later

Page 25

1 today, so I can assure you and everybody listening that
2 we're going to be very mindful of any go-forward bid and how
3 it will work from a regulatory standpoint. That is first
4 and foremost in our minds and is one of the primary criteria
5 that we're using to evaluate.

6 I mean, certainly the value of a bid is important,
7 but the ability to get a bid executed is equally important.
8 And you know, that's something that we're very, very focused
9 on, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. All
11 right. So let's move onto the agenda. First is the --

12 MR. KWASTENIET: Your Honor --

13 THE COURT: Go ahead.

14 MR. KWASTENIET: Yes. And I'm going to be
15 yielding the podium to my colleague Ms. Jones for the second
16 item on the agenda, which is the Stout retention. Your
17 Honor, after the Stout retention, I think it makes sense
18 with Your Honor's permission to maybe handle the fee
19 matters. It occurs to us that there's a great many
20 professionals on the line, virtually all of whom are billing
21 the estate who are on for the fee matters and who, if we
22 handle the fee -- took the fee issues out of order perhaps
23 after the Stout retention because Stout is also on the line
24 for their retention, and that's uncontested, maybe we pull
25 up the fee matters to then be able to free up and release

Page 26

1 all the many advisors who are on for their fee hearings.

2 THE COURT: I'm in agreement. Go ahead. Let's
3 deal with Stout first. Go ahead, Ms. Jones.

4 MS. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor. Elizabeth
5 Jones with Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of the Debtors.
6 Your Honor, the next item on the agenda is the Debtor's
7 retention application seeking to employ Stout as the
8 valuation advisor, which was filed at Docket Number 2335.
9 In addition, Your Honor, Mr. Joel Cohen, the managing
10 director of Stout, is on the line. He provided a
11 declaration both in support of the original application and
12 a supplemental declaration filed at Docket Number 2460.

13 Your Honor, as explained both in the original
14 application and supplemental declaration, the Debtors are
15 seeking to retain Stout to provide specific valuation work
16 related to the Debtors' illiquid crypto and specifically in
17 connection with the valuation that will be provided in the
18 Debtors' disclosure statement. Given the unique nature of
19 the Debtors' assets, it was necessary to retain a specialist
20 that could handle that type of valuation work.

21 Your Honor, since we filed the application, we had
22 constructive conversations with both the Committee and the
23 U.S. Trustee, and we were able to respond to their questions
24 and resolve any of the concerns both by filing a revised
25 proposed order at Docket Number 2458, and as we further

Page 27

1 explained in the supplemental declaration filed at Docket
2 Number 2460. Other than that, Your Honor, we received no
3 objections or other questions or informal comments. So
4 unless Your Honor has any questions, we respectfully request
5 entry of the revised proposed order filed at Docket Number
6 2458.

7 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Jones. Ms. Schwartz,
8 do you want to say anything on behalf of the U.S. Trustee?

9 MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Andrea
10 Schwartz on behalf of the U.S. Trustee. Good morning,
11 Judge. I just want to say, Judge, that I'm pinch-hitting
12 today. Although Ms. Cornell my colleague, and I believe now
13 Mr. Masumoto are on the line, but Ms. Cornell has lost her
14 voice. So I'm coming in today, so I'm sure you'll give me
15 your indulgences.

16 But with respect to this retention application, we
17 don't have any objection. As counsel stated, they filed a
18 supplemental declaration. They took our comments to the
19 proposed form of order. My only regret is that I don't have
20 a podium and background like that because I feel somewhat
21 casual compared to my counsel. But we'll have to roll with
22 that for today. So we have no objection.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much, Ms. Schwartz.
24 Anybody from the Committee want to speak?

25 MR. PESCE: No, Your Honor. Our -- this is

Page 28

1 Gregory Pesce on behalf of the Committee. We've reviewed
2 the application and we don't have an objection either today.

3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. There are no
4 objections that have been filed, and I -- we've reviewed the
5 retention application. It's appropriate and it's approved,
6 okay? Thank you very much.

7 MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. With that,
8 I will cede the lectern over to Ms. Katherine Stadler as
9 counselor to the Fee Examiner.

10 MS. STADLER: Thank you. Good morning --

11 THE COURT: Ms. Stadler, good morning.

12 MS. STADLER: Good morning, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Go ahead.

14 MS. STADLER: Okay. We are happy to report that
15 the Fee Examiner has reached consensual resolution with 9 of
16 the 11 interim fee applicants. With the Court's permission,
17 the other three will be adjourned to allow us to continue
18 our discussions with those professionals and hopefully reach
19 consensual resolutions with them as well.

20 I want to note that the applications that are on
21 for hearing today are for the first interim fee period,
22 which ran from the petition date through the end of October
23 2022. The second interim fee period, November 2022 through
24 February of 2023, is not on the agenda for today. Those
25 applications were filed last week, and the fee examiner will

Page 29

1 review and report on those consistent with the procedure
2 outlined in the interim compensation order and the fee
3 examiner order.

4 I have reviewed -- we have reviewed the Debtor's
5 UCC responses to the fee objections that are on the record.
6 I just wanted to note that for purposes of the Fee
7 Examiner's reporting, we will be addressing objections as we
8 report and recommend the application. In other words, the
9 Fee Examiner's recommendation with respect to objections
10 currently on file only relate to the nine applications that
11 are currently recommended for court approval. They do not
12 relate to any monthly fee application objections that may be
13 out there, or do they relate to any second interim fee
14 applications that may be out there.

15 We also note that the Fee Examiner did not receive
16 service of Mr. Hirschberg's most recent statement on fees.
17 I was able to get that after seeing it on the agenda from
18 Debtors' counsel. But I would simply ask that anyone filing
19 objections or comments related to fees please copy the Fee
20 Examiner counsel using the contact information in the
21 interim compensation order.

22 We do have one issue that Ms. Schwartz advised us
23 of just a little before the hearing. She reported that the
24 standard in New York is to continue withholding the 20
25 percent holdback of fees even after entry of an interim

Page 30

1 compensation order. Ms. Schwartz, as she's pinch-hitting,
2 didn't have a chance to review the full record in the
3 Interim Compensation Order. So rather than have a
4 discussion about that on the record, we're going to wait,
5 submit, and upload the proposed order arising from today's
6 hearing until the U.S. Trustee is comfortable with the way
7 the Interim Compensation Order is worded. So her rights
8 with respect to that are reserved.

9 THE COURT: Let me just say that what Ms. Schwartz
10 advised you is correct. It is our practice in New York,
11 unless there's a specific order releasing part of a
12 holdback, is that the -- you know, the 20 percent holdback
13 continues on during the case. It's certainly in those
14 instances where I've either reduced it or eliminated it.
15 It's usually where a case is, for all practical purposes,
16 done, you know, where there are no objections, there is a
17 confirmed plan or something.

18 But it wouldn't in my view -- I'll certainly hear
19 argument about it if it comes to that, but it certainly
20 wouldn't be in a case of the stage where this case is. But
21 again, I'm -- I'll reserve ruling until actually hearing it,
22 but certainly that does remain an issue, and you can
23 continue your discussions with the U.S. Trustee, and we can
24 -- we don't need to have another -- if your position is that
25 you agree with what has been our practice here and are not

Page 31

1 seeking to deviate from it, we don't have to have another
2 hearing to do that if, in fact, the issue is whether it'll
3 be reduced or waived as to what's approved. We'll have to
4 have another hearing, but --

5 MS. SCHWARTZ: Judge?

6 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead, Ms. Schwartz.

7 MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Andrea
8 Schwartz for the U.S. Trustee. I don't think you're going
9 to need another hearing. I'm fairly confident speaking with
10 Ms. Stadler and Judge Sontchi that we'll get it resolved.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MS. SCHWARTZ: I believe that that standard
13 practice was in the first fee order, but there was a revised
14 fee order and somehow somebody missed it --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MS. SCHWARTZ: -- you know, going forward. So I
17 don't think it's a problem then.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MS. SCHWARTZ: Each of Ms. Stadler and Judge
20 Sontchi have been very gracious when I called them this
21 morning to advise them. So -- and the only other thing that
22 I'd say, Your Honor, with respect to all of the fee
23 applications is that the U.S. Trustee is just going to
24 reserve its rights until the finals to the extent we want to
25 file anything.

Page 32

1 THE COURT: Sure. All right. Mr. Sontchi, do you
2 want to be heard? First let me say I appreciate -- I have
3 in front of me the Examiner's Summary Report, which is ECF
4 Docket 2387, and I appreciate all the work that's gone into
5 this in reviewing the fee applications. And I appreciate
6 the cooperation of all of the counsel who've submitted fee
7 applications in working out with the Examiner and his
8 counsel, you know, the issues that have come up.

9 You know, I said before the Fee Examiner was
10 appointed when the issue was presented and it was
11 consensually agreed by the parties, I made the comment that
12 I've never been a giant fan of fee examiners. In this case,
13 I am. The volume of fee applications which the Court has
14 reviewed but takes great comfort from the fact that there's
15 a fee examiner who was truly expert in all of this and is
16 able counsel in doing this. So I'm very appreciative. Mr.
17 Sontchi, do you want to be heard?

18 MR. SONTCHI: Well, Your Honor, I was taught very
19 early in my career that when things are going well, shut up.
20 And things couldn't be going better based on your comments.
21 No, I want to just thank Ms. Stadler's team and the
22 incredible amount of work they've done, very sophisticated
23 analysis. And all the lawyers, even the ones we haven't
24 agreed to go forward with today that we're still in
25 discussions with, they've been extremely cooperative.

1 The information flow has been excellent. We're
2 just still trying to work through some issues with two of
3 them, and then one of them came in so late we didn't have an
4 opportunity to actually get it done in time. But for the
5 second and the third, maybe who knows how many more, we will
6 be -- and we've told the parties this, we will be a little
7 tougher, well, maybe quite a bit tougher, on issues about
8 staffing now that the case has settled into more of a normal
9 rhythm.

10 We all know what it's like to file a case. We all
11 know how chaotic they are at the beginning. This one was a
12 particularly chaotic case. We've also asked them to track
13 on a fee basis interactions with pro se participants so we
14 can get a better idea of how much time is being spent
15 dealing with pro ses, who, as you know, are very active in
16 this case, which is perfectly fine, to make sure that the
17 resources that are being put to that are appropriate given
18 what's going on in the case.

19 But things have been going well. I've got a lot
20 of cooperation from the professionals, and I very much
21 appreciate it, and hopefully it'll be smooth going forward.
22 Thank you, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Let me -- I just
24 want to make a couple of other comments, and really apropos
25 of what Mr. Sontchi just said. So this case is certainly

Page 34

1 unusual from my experience by the number of pro ses who've
2 been actively involved in this case from the start. It --
3 you know, it's in part reflected by the fact that I'm
4 looking at the bottom of my Zoom screen and I see there are
5 261 participants who've logged onto Zoom today. Of course,
6 many of them may be counsel, but more are pro ses. And
7 we've had throughout this case, and I think it's extremely
8 important, that there be complete transparency about how
9 this case is progressing.

10 And so I assume that many of the 262 who are on
11 are, in fact, pro se litigants not only from the United
12 States, but from outside the United States as well. And so
13 this case, perhaps more than most others, there has been --
14 and I encouraged right at the outset that Debtors' counsel
15 and Committee's counsel be accessible to the pro ses. I
16 think many of the objections or filings of the pro ses made
17 have raised important issues. I've said that from time to
18 time.

19 And even when the result has been have an
20 objection overruled, I think that points that have been
21 raised have been very important and have been taken into
22 account by the Debtor and the Committee in some of the
23 relief they've sought or the form of the orders that have
24 been filed. So I would reiterate that. So yes, there has
25 been a lot of time of professionals taken up with

Page 35

1 communicating with pro ses, but I do think that that is
2 important here.

3 Let me make one other comment about pro ses. So I
4 received this morning Mr. Frishberg's most recent objection
5 with respect to the Kirkland fees. The objection is
6 untimely, but the issues that it raise certainly have been
7 something that have been on my mind for some time, although
8 not articulated or raised. This is really the issue about
9 any fees incurred in connection with an effort to file a
10 late claim in the Voyager case.

11 As I think people are aware, Kirkland is counsel
12 for the Debtor in Voyager and is counsel for the Debtor in
13 Celsius. I won't explore -- I'm not sufficiently versed in
14 it to be able to address the issue concretely at this stage,
15 but it certainly raises issues about if a claim was not
16 timely filed on behalf of Celsius in the Voyager case, why
17 was that? And is it appropriate in those circumstances for
18 fees to be awarded in this case?

19 What I would say is, you know, Ms. Stadler and Mr.
20 Sontchi, it may be something that can't be fully resolved at
21 this time with respect to these fee applications for which
22 approval is sought. And I don't want to unnecessarily hold
23 up approval of Kirkland's fees or other's fees if the
24 conclusion -- if there's a recommendation that there be an
25 adjustment to Kirkland's fees. That adjustment, as far as

Page 36

1 I'm concerned, can be reserved and made in the next
2 application that is reviewed.

3 So again, it's -- I will wait. I think, Ms.
4 Stadler, you and Mr. Sontchi should review the issue, if not
5 necessarily the late-filed objection because I think it is a
6 serious issue. And again, it doesn't -- in my view, does
7 not need to hold up the approval of the Kirkland fees. To
8 the extent there's an adjustment, it can be made when the
9 next fee applications are up for consideration. I don't
10 know, Ms. Stadler or Mr. Sontchi, is that satisfactory to
11 the two of you?

12 MR. SONTCHI: It is, Your Honor. And we will
13 definitely look at that in the context of the next round of
14 interim fee applications. And I think since I'm 99 percent
15 sure based on your comments and Ms. Schwartz's comments that
16 we're going to allow -- or not allow, but that the 20
17 percent holdback is going to remain in place, that we don't
18 need to worry about reducing the fee application allowed
19 about under this interim order for Kirkland at this point.

20 That I think can be dealt with the next time.
21 Because if money is going to be withheld because of this
22 issue in Voyager, I cannot imagine it would rise above the
23 level of 20 percent --

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 MR. SONTCHI: -- of the approximately \$18 million

1 in allowed fees from the (indiscernible).

2 THE COURT: I'm fine with it. Look, there's a lot
3 of work that's being done. The work has been, in my view,
4 well done in everything I've seen. This is a separate issue
5 really, and I'll await any recommendation from you as the
6 Fee Examiner and your counsel. And obviously, I'm sure
7 you'll have discussions with Kirkland as necessary in
8 dealing with it, okay?

9 MR. SONTCHI: We will, Your Honor.

10 MS. SCHWARTZ: Judge, Andrea Schwartz. Just --

11 THE COURT: Go ahead.

12 MS. SCHWARTZ: -- one thing I just want to mention
13 that we're aware of that issue as well. And similarly, with
14 respect to all fees, we'll reserve until all of the interim
15 fees are up for final.

16 THE COURT: That's fine. Let me be clear. While
17 -- you know, in my time on the bench, there have only been a
18 handful of instances where, yeah, all issues are reserved
19 for the final. So the fact that interim fees are approved
20 does not mean issues can't be raised in the final. There've
21 only been a handful of times when the Court has concluded
22 that further adjustments are required at the time of the
23 final fee hearing. So that's not now, okay? But the point
24 is well-taken, Ms. Schwartz. All right. Let me see. Is
25 there anybody else? Mr. Pesce, you want to be heard?

Page 38

1 MR. PESCE: Yes, Your Honor. On behalf of the
2 Committee, just two quick points. We wanted to thank the
3 Fee Examiner for working with us on our application, and you
4 know, the consensual reduction we negotiated. We're going
5 to reserve on the characterization that the U.S. Trustee
6 made regarding the two fee orders. We disagree with that,
7 and we can follow up with the U.S. Trustee and the Fee
8 Examiner regarding the alleged change that she referenced.
9 And we can come back to the Court if need be after that.

10 But I did want to thank Mr. Sontchi for his
11 efforts to work with us over the last few months and his
12 counsel's efforts over the last few months to work on this
13 issue.

14 THE COURT: You know, it doesn't look like you're
15 in Singapore at the moment, Mr. Sontchi.

16 MR. SONTCHI: I'm not. For once. My trip was
17 canceled at the last minute. I just -- I will say, and I
18 didn't -- I don't want to get into it, Ms. Schwartz, at all
19 because this happened last minute --

20 THE COURT: Let's -- Mr. Sontchi, let's leave this
21 --

22 MR. SONTCHI: Okay.

23 THE COURT: -- let's leave this issue. I'm
24 satisfied with where the record is at this point. Let me
25 see whether anybody else wants to be heard. I'm -- again, I

Page 39

1 expressed my reservations about fee examiners. I may change
2 my view about it, but --

3 MR. SONTCHI: Okay. Like I said, time to shut up.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Frishberg, very briefly,
5 but your -- the most recent objection is untimely. Go
6 ahead, Mr. Frishberg.

7 MR. FRISHBERG: I'm sorry about it being untimely.
8 I have no issue with it being reviewed in the final interim
9 fee applications, and I also reserve on the rights. Thank
10 you very much.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else wish
12 to be heard? All right. There were some other objections,
13 and I would give anybody else who filed an objection -- Mr.
14 Ubierna De Las Heras filed an objection, but I'm prepared to
15 rule now. I think it's -- and I think -- Ms. Stadler, I
16 think because I think you said that there was an agreement
17 with counsel as to which fee applications are being -- are
18 not going to be approved at this point, are being held back,
19 could you just indicate specifically so our record's clear
20 as to which ones those are, so I don't have to go through
21 each individual one?

22 MS. STADLER: Yes, Your Honor. They are listed on
23 Exhibit B to our report. It's the Ernst and Young first
24 interim fee application Docket Number 2170. That was filed
25 very late, so that's the one Mr. Sontchi referred to as we

Page 40

1 haven't had time to finish our analysis yet. The other two
2 are Latham and Watkins, Special Counsel to the Debtor,
3 Docket Number 1712, and Jenner and Block and Shoba Pillay,
4 the examiner and her counsel, Docket Number 1717.
5 Discussions with those two latter parties are continuing.

6 THE COURT: All right. And I guess what I would
7 say on that score, if you finished your discussions with
8 them and there's a proposed resolution, don't wait for the
9 next (b) hearing --

10 MS. STADLER: Yes.

11 THE COURT: -- to bring that on. You can -- I
12 would permit you to do it on presentment. If there are
13 objections, obviously we'll have to have a hearing, but I'll
14 review carefully what it is that you report with respect to
15 those. But you can -- I'll permit you to notice it on
16 presentment. And you know, if there are objections, we'll
17 set a quick hearing on it and deal with that, okay? I don't
18 want to unnecessarily hold up their professionals and their
19 fees, okay?

20 MS. STADLER: Yes. Thank you for that
21 instruction. We will do so.

22 THE COURT: All right. So if there anybody else
23 who wishes to be heard with respect to the fees that are on
24 for approval today, interim approval today? All right. I
25 must say I think it -- it's been rare over the years when I

Page 41

1 haven't had issues that I've raised. One of the points that
2 I've always made is when -- for example, when the U.S.
3 Trustee has negotiated adjustments in an application, I
4 never want to be in the position of sort of DD'ing an
5 applicant because there may be issues that I had and where I
6 view the adjustments that have been made based on either the
7 U.S. Trustee's work or, here, the work of the Fee Examiner
8 and his counsel.

9 I will report myself as satisfied. So each of the
10 applications that are on for interim approval is approved.
11 Submit -- work out the exact terms of the order, submit the
12 order, and it will be entered. I'm sure all the counsel
13 will be happy about that. Okay? Thank you very much. And
14 certainly, any of the counsel who are here running their
15 clock because they're here for the -- their fee
16 applications, you're excused unless you want to stay on your
17 own nickel.

18 MR. SONTCHI: Thank you, Your Honor. Good-bye.

19 MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Thanks very much. All right, Mr.
21 Kwasteniet. I don't know where -- who's going to pick up?
22 Ms. Jones, are you picking up again, or --

23 MS. JONES: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MS. JONES: Good morning again, Your Honor.

1 Elizabeth Jones of Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of the
2 Debtors. We will go ahead and back into order on the agenda
3 and move forward with Agenda Item Number 3, the motion to
4 approve the withhold settlement, which was filed at Docket
5 Number 2334.

6 Your Honor, as we've noted on our March 8 omnibus
7 hearing, we had reached an agreement in principle with the
8 withhold Ad Hoc Group and the Committee, and we're very
9 pleased to be here today in front of you with a full and
10 consensual motion and no objections filed on the docket.
11 Since March 8, Your Honor, we have worked hard in
12 documenting that settlement agreement, and signing and
13 executing, which is also attached to the motion at Docket
14 2334.

15 Your Honor, as further explained in the motion and
16 the papers, the settlement agreement resolves the current
17 pending litigation between these three parties, both with
18 respect to the Ad Hoc Group's list day motion that was filed
19 back in September at I believe Docket Number 737. I may
20 have may have misstated that, but also the pending
21 litigation with respect to Phase 1 and 2 that was previously
22 settled with the Custody Ad Hoc Group.

23 Your Honor, pursuant to the settlement, we are
24 settling claims and causes of action related to withhold
25 assets held by the members of the Withhold Ad Hoc Group. In

Page 43

1 exchange for those settling of claims, we have agreed that
2 the Debtors will pay those members after entry of the
3 settlement order 15 percent of the value of their claim as
4 of the petition date, and the remaining 85 percent will be
5 recharacterized as an earned claim. So going forward and in
6 connection with the plan, they'll be voting an earn claim,
7 not a withhold claim.

8 Your Honor, after seven months of pending
9 litigation with the parties, we believe that this settlement
10 meets the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 9019 that it is
11 reasonable and in the Debtor's best interest and business
12 judgment to enter into that, and that it provides a
13 consensual good resolution for all parties involved. So
14 Your Honor, unless you have any questions, we respectfully
15 request entry of that. And I'm also happy to explain some
16 of the other differences and similarities with respect to
17 the custody settlement if that's also helpful.

18 THE COURT: Let me ask a couple of questions, and
19 other counsel may want to address them. There were no
20 objections filed to the approval of the settlement, but I
21 have a couple of questions. So if I'm correct, there was no
22 true withhold wallets, and the coins for the settlement will
23 be coming out of the general aggregate wallets, which is
24 potentially to the detriment of earn Creditors. Why do you
25 -- is that correct? And do you believe the settlement is

1 fair and reasonable given that it averts coins from the
2 general earn Creditors to a smaller group of Creditors?

3 MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. First, with respect
4 to your first question, that's correct. It's coming from
5 the aggregator wallets. And with respect to your second
6 question, we do believe that it is fair and equitable given
7 that the Court has found that the assets tell that, on
8 behalf of earned customers, are property of the estate,
9 which means the costs and expenses to continue litigating
10 these issues with the withhold Claimants would also come out
11 of that amount.

12 Here we believe, given the size of the Withhold Ad
13 Hoc Group, which is about 1.3 million in withhold assets,
14 that paying the 15 percent of that value is significantly
15 less than the cost that would be associated with litigation.
16 So it is going to benefit all parties.

17 THE COURT: Okay. The other question I have is
18 what's the total estimated recovery for withhold Creditors
19 and how that compares to the recovery for the settling
20 custody holders and the general earn Creditors. For custody
21 holders, I think they were getting 72.5 or they were
22 potentially getting 72.5 percent recovery, which made it
23 easier for me to compare numbers. Let me stop there.

24 MS. JONES: Yes. That's correct. And I will
25 note, Your Honor, that the earn recovery is still -- given

Page 45

1 our valuation work and disclosure statement still subject to
2 change. But I will say when we were negotiating the
3 settlement, the -- this 15 percent and 85 percent breakdown
4 puts the withhold recovery right in the middle of earn
5 Creditors and custody. So I would say if earn is projecting
6 around a 50 percent recovery or so, and custody is at 72 and
7 a half, this puts the withhold group around the 60 percent
8 mark.

9 And again, given where we stand in litigation and
10 that there was still no decision yet as to whether withhold
11 is or is not property of the estate, we thought that
12 appropriate and reasonable settlement would be in between
13 those two amounts.

14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ms. Kovsky, do
15 you want to be heard on this?

16 MS. KOVSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. Deb Kovsky
17 for the Ad Hoc Group of Withhold Account Holders. First I
18 wanted to thank the Debtors and the Committee for working
19 with us to get to a consensual resolution. As Ms. Jones
20 said, we believe that this is a reasonable sort of split-
21 the-baby resolution for our claims in addition to stopping
22 the bleeding of the litigation costs.

23 I also wanted to point out, and I know that Your
24 Honor and I had had words about this at our last hearing on
25 this matter back in December, but the withhold account

1 holders do believe that they had a constructive trust claim
2 with respect to a general earn asset or the general property
3 of the estate that we certainly would have pursued. So in
4 light of our claims, the cost of litigation, and the small
5 size of the Creditor group, we do believe that this is an
6 appropriate outcome and is fully consensual.

7 THE COURT: I didn't ask Ms. Jones as I'll ask
8 you, either one of you can answer it. So how does the
9 election process work with respect to this proposed
10 settlement?

11 MS. JONES: The --

12 MS. KOVSKY: I'm sorry, Ms. Jones. Go ahead.

13 MS. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. Again,
14 Elizabeth Jones of Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of the
15 Debtors. So Your Honor, the election process is something
16 that we thought very long and hard here, and considered a
17 lot of different factors to determine what we thought would
18 be the most fair and equitable result for all holders of
19 withhold claims or all holders of withhold assets.

20 And in this scenario, we are offering the
21 settlement only to members of the Withhold Ad Hoc group.
22 They have already signed the agreement. Unlike custody,
23 there won't be a proposed settlement election process, but
24 there will be the opportunity for all the full payments to
25 have the same settlement in connection with the plan if the

Page 47

1 class carries the plan. The reason again for that is at the
2 time we entered into the settlement, the period that we were
3 thinking of for solicitation and in connection with the plan
4 disclosure statement, the 30-day election period likely
5 would have overlapped, which would have created very
6 confusing and potentially harmful results for those trying
7 to vote and understand what classes of plans they were going
8 to be classified.

9 We also wanted to make sure that everybody had the
10 opportunity to receive this settlement, but without it
11 creating a number of other problems, which is why we
12 ultimately thought this would be the best resolution. And
13 again, given that the only parties right now that have an
14 open claim dispute the Debtors is the Withhold Ad Hoc Group
15 because there has not yet been a determination as to whose
16 property withhold assets belong to.

17 THE COURT: How many are in the Ad Hoc Group
18 Withhold --

19 MS. JONES: Thirteen.

20 THE COURT: I'm sorry? Say it again.

21 MS. JONES: Thirteen.

22 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Okay. All
23 right. Mr. Hermann, you want to be heard?

24 MR. HERMANN: Sure, Your Honor. Immanuel
25 Herrmann, pro se Creditor. I think the settlement's fair

1 and reasonable. I support it. You know, there's a lot of
2 ambiguous situations and contractual ambiguity in this case.
3 I think the settlement's needed. I think, you know, other
4 similar settlements may be needed down the road to deal with
5 some of the complexity of this case. And I think it would
6 cost Creditors a lot more to actually -- you know, we have
7 13 people here. I think it would cost Creditors a lot more
8 to actually -- and even if it goes to all the withhold
9 people, I just think that it's -- we should end the
10 bleeding, and I support it.

11 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hermann. Does anybody
12 else wish to be heard? All right.

13 MS. AMULIC: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.

15 MS. AMULIC: Sorry.

16 THE COURT: Go ahead.

17 MS. AMULIC: Andrea Amulic from White and Case for
18 the Committee. Just really briefly --

19 THE COURT: Yeah, please.

20 MS. AMULIC: -- wanted to express our support for
21 the settlement, and we agree with Ms. Jones and Ms. Kovsky's
22 statements as to the cost savings and the benefits of the
23 settlement relative to what would ultimately be a really
24 time consuming and resource heavy process in terms of
25 proceeding with phase two. So we are vigorously in support.

1 THE COURT: Thank you very much. So I'm ready
2 rule, and yes, I'm approving the settlement. So but just
3 briefly to give the reasons, so this is a 9019 motion. You
4 know, settlements are favored in bankruptcy, in fact
5 encouraged. The Court has to determine whether the
6 settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of
7 the estate. As is typical, I apply the seven non-exclusive
8 factors set forth by the Second Circuit in its decision in
9 In re Iridium Operating, LLC, 478 F.3d 452. It's a 2007
10 decision.

11 Since there are no objections filed, I will not go
12 through each of those seven factors. They're not all
13 applicable in the circumstances, but I've considered the
14 factors to the extent that they're applicable. And I have
15 concluded that this settlement is fair, reasonable, and
16 appropriate. It forgoes what would be complicated and
17 expensive litigation, both for the Ad Hoc Committee members
18 and for the Debtor. And so I think that this is a -- you
19 know, is a perfectly appropriate and reasonable settlement,
20 and I'm pleased to approve it.

21 So provide me the order in Word format, and it
22 will be entered. Thank you very much. And I really do
23 appreciate the efforts of the Debtor, the Committee, Ms.
24 Kovsky on behalf of the Ad Hoc Committee. And you know, I'm
25 glad you were able to read a consensual result. You know, I

Page 50

1 think, as usual, the best settlements don't make anyone
2 entirely happy, but that's the nature of the process. So
3 all right. Thank you. Let's move on, on the agenda then.

4 MS. AMULIC: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

5 With that I --

6 MS. KOVSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 MS. AMULIC: Oh, apologies. I will cede the
8 podium to my colleague Ms. Patricia Loureiro.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MS. LOUREIRO: Good morning, Your Honor. For the
11 record, Patricia Loureiro from Kirkland and Ellis on behalf
12 of the Debtors. Up next on the agenda is another settlement
13 motion. This approving a settlement we reached with a
14 former employee of the Debtors. Before we get into the
15 substance, I'd like to introduce into evidence the
16 declaration of Mr. Christopher Ferraro, the interim
17 (indiscernible) --

18 THE COURT: May I ask are you -- you don't have a
19 camera? You're just on the phone?

20 MS. LOUREIRO: I'm on a camera with the video.

21 THE COURT: Oh, okay. I'm seeing a box around a
22 different one. Now I can see you. Go ahead. Please go
23 ahead. I'm sorry. You want to introduce the declaration of
24 Mr. Ferraro, which is what ECF 2416?

25 MS. LOUREIRO: That's correct, Your Honor. And

Page 51

1 Mr. Ferraro is here on Zoom and available to testify to the
2 extent any party wishes to cross-examine him.

3 THE COURT: Are there any objections to the Court
4 admitting in evidence the Ferraro declaration, which is ECF
5 Docket Number 2416? Hearing no objection, it's admitted
6 into evidence. Go ahead.

7 MS. LOUREIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. As a bit of
8 background, on June 1, 2022, six weeks ahead of the petition
9 date, Ms. Wohlman was separated from her employer Debtor
10 Celsius Network Limited, which was formed under the laws of
11 England and Wales. Historically, the Debtors provided
12 severance benefits to employees in the event of a
13 termination that is not for cause.

14 As a condition to receiving severance benefits,
15 the Debtors required that employees execute a separation
16 agreement releasing any claims held against the company.
17 Ms. Wohlman was offered a separation agreement, but did not
18 sign the agreement. As a result, the Debtors did not seek
19 authority to pay Ms. Wohlman any severance benefits in the
20 wages motion filed on the petition date. Ms. Wohlman filed
21 a limited objection to the wages motion seeking payment of
22 severance she believed she was entitled to, and the Court
23 overruled this objection.

24 Ms. Wohlman then filed a claim in the U.K.
25 Employment Tribunal alleging claims including that Celsius

Page 52

1 discriminated against her because of her alleged disability.
2 The Debtors asked that the tribunal recognize the authority
3 of this court and the global nature of the automatic stay.
4 The tribunal indicated that additional briefing was required
5 to understand whether it was appropriate to apply the
6 automatic stay absent a formal recognition proceeding in the
7 U.K. The tribunal then proceeded to schedule further
8 hearing dates, including evidentiary hearing set for this
9 July.

10 In light of this, the Debtors sought to settle
11 with Ms. Wohlman, and the results of these negotiations are
12 embodied in the settlement agreement attached as Exhibit 1
13 to the proposed order. The settlement agreement
14 contemplates an 85,000 pound payment to be paid to Ms.
15 Wohlman in exchange for the withdrawal and release of her
16 claim. The settlement agreement was presented to and
17 approved by a conciliator appointed by the U.K. Employment
18 Tribunal, and also reflects comments from counsel to the
19 Committee who support entry into the settlement agreement.

20 The Debtors believe the settlement is the most
21 cost-effective path forward that also happens to provide the
22 greatest amount of certainties. Given the expected cost of
23 defending against these claims range from 100,000 pounds to
24 350,000 pounds, as well as the risk and uncertainty
25 associated with fully litigating this claim, the Debtors, in

Page 53

1 an exercise of their business judgment, believe that the
2 settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of
3 the estate. Your Honor, with that background, I'm happy to
4 answer any questions you may have.

5 THE COURT: I don't have any questions. Does
6 anybody else want to be heard? Okay. As I say, there were
7 no objections that were filed. So the Motion to Approve the
8 Wohlman Settlement is ECF Docket Number 2331. Attached to
9 the motion as Exhibit A is a proposed order. And the
10 deadline for objections was April 11th. As I say, no
11 objections were filed. Mr. Ferraro's declaration, ECF 2416,
12 addresses this as well. The Court is approving the
13 settlement.

14 I've considered the usual factors. You know, I've
15 described the In re Iridium Operating seven non-specific
16 factors. There's a long line of cases in this district and
17 in this circuit with regard to the standards for approving
18 settlements. What's clear to me is if this actually had to
19 be litigated, it would be very expensive to the estate to do
20 that. I think that the proposed settlement amount is fair
21 and reasonable in the best interest of the Debtor's estate.

22 Consequently, the Court will enter an order --
23 enter the order approving the settlement. Thank you very
24 much.

25 MS. LOUREIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. At this

1 time we'll cede the podium. I think that the Committee is
2 next on the agenda.

3 THE COURT: Yep.

4 MR. COLODNY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Aaron
5 Colodny from White and Case on behalf of the Official
6 Committee of Unsecured Creditors. Can you hear me okay?

7 THE COURT: I can. Go ahead, Mr. Colodny.

8 MR. COLODNY: Thank you, Your Honor. We are here
9 to present our motion to file a class claim on behalf of
10 account holders. Or alternatively, to have an independent
11 fiduciary bring a class claim on behalf of account holders.

12 I think I'll begin by saying there's no question
13 here that there is serious claims by account holders for
14 pervasive fraud, misrepresentation, deceptive practices, and
15 material omissions by the Debtors. That was acknowledged by
16 the Court in its ruling on the claim objections. It's in
17 the examiner's report, and I don't believe any party,
18 including the Debtors or the preferred equity holders,
19 contest those allegations exist.

20 The issue brought by our motion is how those
21 claims should be pursued. Should they be pursued in an ad
22 hoc fashion by pro se creditors without the resources to
23 properly bring those actions, that's what the preferred
24 equity holders would advocate. Or should they be pursued in
25 an organized collective approach that gives account holders

Page 55

1 a fair fight and allow these cases to proceed in an
2 efficient manner? That's the approach the Committee's
3 advocating.

4 Our approach is informed by Your Honor's comments
5 at the last hearing that the claims process needs to be a
6 fair fight. It's also informed by a personal experience
7 pursuing the Debtor's initial path, which was the Bellwether
8 claims objection process, and the difficulty of wading
9 through the various issues, even to get close to a
10 litigation scheduling order in those matters. In light of
11 those facts, we sought your authority to file a class claim
12 on behalf of all account holders.

13 At the top, I want to address a comment made in
14 Ms. Cornell's statement filed yesterday that we brought this
15 in haste. That's absolutely not true. We carefully
16 considered the facts, the circumstances, and the options.
17 We requested that the Debtors schedule non-contract claims
18 and mark them as disputed so that we could then seek an
19 estimation for purposes of confirmation.

20 When that was denied, we sought to inform our
21 constituents of your ruling and the amended bar date through
22 both posting on our website and Twitter town halls to make
23 sure everyone knew about the amended bar date deadline and
24 what was coming. And at the end of the day after careful
25 consideration, we determined to request your permission to

1 bring the class claim.

2 That decision is supported by the facts uncovered
3 in the examiner's report in our own investigation. Those
4 facts demonstrate that Celsius fundamentally misrepresented
5 its business to account holders and failed to inform its
6 account holders of significant risk that the company took
7 and suffered. Those misrepresentations and omissions
8 pervaded CNL's entire advertising and messaging strategy.
9 They were part of its sales pitch to account holders to
10 convince them to transfer their savings to Celsius, and they
11 went to the heart of their business.

12 Now, those claims aren't before Your Honor today.
13 The claim is not on file. Rather, we're requesting
14 permission to file that claim as is required by the
15 bankruptcy code. And I understand that the examiner's
16 report is not admissible evidence, but what it is, is a 476-
17 page report that was provided by -- that was prepared by an
18 experienced and independent investigator, which demonstrates
19 the basis and the factual underpinnings of our claim.

20 A claim is like a complaint, and if permitted by
21 this court, we will establish through admissible evidence
22 that that claim is true. But in light of the Series B in
23 Ms. Cornell's comments that we proceeded in haste and did
24 not specify our claims, I want to spend a bit of time to go
25 a bit backwards and highlight some of the key themes that

1 pervaded CNL in Mr. Mashinsky's marketing. Mr. Mashinsky --

2 MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor? Excuse me, counsel.

3 Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Schwartz. He's -- yes?

5 MS. SCHWARTZ: No, I was just going to say it
6 might be helpful -- just suggesting it might be helpful if
7 we could just clarify that because --

8 THE COURT: No, let's let Mr. Colodny --

9 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay. No problem. No problem.

10 THE COURT: -- proceed with his argument. Go
11 ahead, Mr. Colodny.

12 MR. COLODNY: Thank you, Your Honor. Find where I
13 left off. So Mr. Mashinsky and CNL's misrepresentations
14 started from day one when it announced that the ICO was
15 fully funded and that it sold \$50 million of cell token.
16 That was not true. From that point forward, as I said
17 before, those misrepresentations pervaded Celsius'
18 marketing. It was part of their sales pitch.

19 And I'd like to touch on a couple of those, which
20 are not exclusive, but I think demonstrate what we are
21 trying to accomplish here. First, Mr. Mashinsky repeatedly
22 told people that Celsius was safer than a bank, but that
23 wasn't -- that general statement was not it. He repeatedly
24 told customers that Celsius passed 80 percent of its
25 revenues back to those customers. That was not true. And

1 how do we know it wasn't true?

2 Well, first, as the examiner found, there's no
3 evidence that Celsius at any point in time set its rewards
4 rates based on its revenue. That's on Page 249 of the
5 report. CNL also admitted as much. Indeed, when the U.K.
6 regulators pointed that passing along profits made Celsius
7 an unlicensed investment scheme, CNL quickly back pedaled
8 and said that the rates as offered to customers were not
9 tied to its profits.

10 Unlike account holders, the U.K. regulars didn't
11 buy it. And instead of fixing the problem, the company fled
12 to the United States, but it did not stop repeating that
13 message to customers. It also fundamentally misrepresented
14 the risk it was undertaking with account holders' assets.
15 CNL and Mr. Mashinsky repeatedly told account holders it did
16 not issue uncollateralized loans. Mr. Mashinsky made those
17 statements in 2019, 2020, 2021, and as late as April 2022.
18 Those are documented on Page 244 to 246 of the examiner's
19 report.

20 Again, they were lies. Celsius had billions of
21 dollars of uncollateralized loans. All customers thought
22 Celsius was only investing in collateralized secured loans
23 from reputable financial institutions. In fact, Mr.
24 Mashinsky repeatedly said these are Wall Street
25 institutions. That also was not true.

1 And how did account holders know that? Because
2 CNL told them over and over and over again. Mr. Mashinsky
3 was constantly promoting Celsius, and it wasn't just on the
4 AMAs. It was at conferences, it was on public new
5 broadcasts. One of the instances of the collateralized
6 loans where Mr. Mashinsky specifically makes the point that
7 there are no uncollateralized loans was on CNBC.

8 And I want to take a second to talk about the
9 Bellwether Claimants. Now, I spent a lot of time with the
10 Bellwether Claimants over the past couple of weeks, and I
11 want to focus on Ms. Gallagher. You know, she watched an
12 interview of Mr. Mashinsky that was broadcast on a program
13 called Real Vision on April 18, 2021. That video she found
14 on CNL's website, and this was before the migration
15 happened.

16 In that video, Mr. Mashinsky states that Celsius
17 does not issue uncollateralized loans. He also states that
18 it passes 80 percent of its gross revenues back to account
19 holders. He made those statements to induce people like Ms.
20 Gallagher to deposit their crypto with Celsius. And in
21 fact, Ms. Gallagher transferred substantially all of her
22 life savings to Celsius.

23 Now, after that, Ms. Gallagher would watch each of
24 Mr. Mashinsky's MNAs, a majority of which she would watch
25 live. And that's important because we now know that

Page 60

1 Celsius, starting in 2021, was editing the AMAs after they
2 were broadcast live. One email I was looking at last night
3 from Celsius' chief risk officer to its chief regulatory
4 officer referred to this as a censorship process. Ms.
5 Gallagher had no reason to know that what Mr. Mashinsky was
6 telling her live was not in fact true because Celsius didn't
7 tell her. Instead, they edited the videos.

8 Now, I keep returning to the examiner's opening
9 line in his report, which I think really succinctly sums
10 this up. The business model that Celsius advertised and
11 sold to its customers was not the business that Celsius
12 actually operated. And since the beginning of these cases,
13 I've spoken to many Creditors.

14 I answer, try to answer, all of the emails I get.
15 I try to pick up all the phone calls I get, and oftentimes
16 they don't agree with our strategy. They don't agree with
17 what we're doing, but the one thing they all agree on is
18 that they were lied to. And I don't believe that anyone
19 disputes that fact. Even the Debtors now.

20 Now to return to the matter that's before the
21 Court, whether the Committee on behalf of a lead Plaintiff
22 or a fiduciary should be able to file a class claim. As
23 this Court stated in the MF Global opinion, that
24 determination turns primarily on whether it will affect the
25 administration of the place. Here, I don't believe there's

1 a question that it will aid the administration. As
2 confirmed by the Bellwether process, there can be little
3 argument that pro se Plaintiffs proceeding with protracted
4 discovery is not conducive to the efficient administration
5 of this estate, nor should other Claimants be bound by
6 litigation against unrepresented parties.

7 The Court asked that this litigation be a fair
8 fight, and our proposed process will provide that.
9 Moreover, the Committee's conducted its own investigation,
10 and we're prepared to move quickly here right alongside
11 confirmation.

12 Now, the preferred equity holders argue that class
13 certification will impose additional complexity, but that
14 complexity is far outweighed by the burden that prosecuting
15 thousands of individual fraud claims than non-contract
16 claims would impose on the Debtors' estates. That's exactly
17 why the Debtors began with the Bellwether claim process.
18 And if the Debtors are not correct that all claims for
19 fraud, misrepresentation, any other tort are limited to the
20 value of the crypto on the platform, that's going to be an
21 inevitability.

22 Now, the preferred equity holders also seem to say
23 that we could never certify a class and prove reliance on a
24 wide scale. We disagree. More importantly, it's not before
25 the Court this time. And even if we can't prove common

1 reliance, we intend to bring statutory claims on behalf of
2 Celsius as misleading advertising and deceptive business
3 practices that do not require a Plaintiff to prove that
4 element.

5 Now, the second factor, which I don't think is
6 that instructive here, is whether a class was certified pre-
7 petition. I found this argument by the preferred equity
8 holders to be particularly astonishing to say that customers
9 that were defrauded should've known to raise those claims
10 before that fraud was uncovered. I just don't think that
11 factor should be considered here.

12 The third factor, the bar date, I think it speaks
13 in favor of allowing the class claim. As raised in our
14 reply, we looked at the Certificate of Service, and it does
15 not provide that the bar date was served on all account
16 holders. I spoke with the Debtors last night. I understand
17 it should've been served on all account holders, and they
18 were confirming it. As of now, I don't know.

19 But the one thing that's been very clear from my
20 conversations with account holders is that the 30 days for a
21 pro se Creditor to put together and synthesize the
22 examiner's report and file a -- what in essence would be a
23 complaint on account of these actions is a tall task for
24 anyone to accomplish.

25 And I want to point out that if the class -- if

1 Your Honor is -- permits us to move forward and we are able
2 to file a claim, I think that we would -- or I know that we
3 would request that the bar date be tolled so that thousands
4 of Creditors are not required to file proofs of claims,
5 protected proofs of claim, by the April 28th amended bar
6 date.

7 Now, I want to get to my last point, which is the
8 preferred equity holders and remarkably United States
9 Trustee's argument that we are somehow violating our
10 fiduciary duties by filing the motion. In Residential
11 Capital, Your Honor found that the very nature of a
12 Creditor's Committee is to represent varying creditor
13 constituencies with divergent and sometimes even opposing
14 interests. And each member is charged with a fiduciary duty
15 to serve the interests of Creditors generally. A committee
16 must guide its actions so as to safeguard as much as
17 possible the minority as well as the majority. Very true.

18 But that does not mean that every time there is a
19 conflict between unsecured Creditors the Committee must sit
20 idly by. In ResCap, Your Honor cited the Circle K Corp.
21 case, which is 199 B.R. 92, where Jude Bernstein explicitly
22 noted that the Committee and its counsel often take
23 positions against particular Creditors. For instance,
24 Committee may object to a Creditor's claim. It also may
25 obtain STN standing to sue third parties, which can include

1 Creditors. And it may also seek to equitably subordinate
2 the claims of Creditors.

3 If the Committee couldn't act if there was a
4 conflict between one particular creditor or a small group,
5 it would never be able to take positions adverse to other
6 creditors in these cases, which we've done. I will admit
7 that. We have taken positions adverse to the withhold
8 group. We have filed the claims in every box and litigated
9 that issue. These claims were not raised in either of those
10 actions.

11 And I would submit that the hallmark of a well-
12 functioning committee has the ability to take positions on
13 tough issues, which potentially have consequences for
14 everyone. It's a bankruptcy case. When you take a piece of
15 the pie away from one creditor, it goes to another, and it
16 is to the detriment of that other creditor necessarily.

17 Here, at the request of the United States Trustee,
18 the Court appointed an independent examiner to investigate
19 the Debtors. We used the facts uncovered by that
20 independent examiner to take a reasonable position that is
21 entirely consistent with our fiduciary duties. And although
22 that report is in evidence, it represents a thorough and
23 independent recitation of the facts.

24 I don't believe that the existence of unsecured
25 creditors and the mere fact that unsecured creditors exist

1 at CNL prevents us from taking an action to remedy the
2 collective action problem that we face and witness
3 firsthand. And I think that our fiduciary duties, in fact,
4 compel that to ensure an equitable recovery for all
5 Creditors.

6 However, to the extent the Court has an issue with
7 that, we requested the alternative relief for an independent
8 fiduciary to be appointed to bring the claim on behalf of
9 all account holders. The point here is we think a
10 collective process is the best way to go. You know, given
11 our investigation, the process we've made on the claim thus
12 far, we believe that it would be a waste of estate resources
13 for another set of professionals to come in, and it would
14 lead to unnecessarily delay. But the paramount concern
15 here, as the Court said, is administrative efficiency in
16 providing a collective process to vindicate the account
17 holders' rights.

18 And finally, to respond to (Indiscernible)'s
19 comments and put it explicitly on the record, this is no way
20 meant to affect the voting process on a plan in any way,
21 shape, or form. We are willing to stipulate to whatever
22 relief to make it clear that account holders will get to
23 vote on this plan, and this will not be the Committee
24 allowing a billion dollar claim so that it can vote its
25 preferred plan through. That decision is going to be for

Page 66

1 account holders, and that will be done according to a
2 disclosure statement in solicitation procedures that are
3 approved by this Court. Unless Your Honor has any
4 questions, I'll pass the podium to Mr. Leblanc. I think
5 you're on mute, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: I absolutely was on mute. And the
7 words I say more often in a hearing is unmute, unmute.
8 Okay. Let me ask you this hypothetical. Assume that the
9 Court grants the Committee leave to file a class claim and
10 you bring on a motion for class certification. And let's
11 assume that I don't require independent fiduciaries to be
12 appointed to do that. What is the timeline that you
13 anticipate going forward assuming that, for example, the
14 preferred holders strenuously oppose the relief that you'd
15 be seeking? What's the timeline?

16 MR. COLODNY: So I think we can get our proof of
17 claim on file by the bar date or shortly thereafter. And I
18 believe we're prepared to move forward with the motion for
19 class certification in the first or second week of May. I
20 think it would be shortly thereafter putting it on file.
21 Again, we're not looking to delay anything. And I think
22 that the litigation schedule with respect to that class
23 certification motion can proceed in line with confirmation.

24 I know there's been some disputes about the
25 litigation schedule between Mr. Leblanc and his clients and

1 our clients and the Debtors. However, I believe that all
2 can proceed together. You know, that would be based off of
3 what amount of discovery is required with respect to that
4 class certification motion obviously.

5 THE COURT: All right. Just bear with me another
6 moment, okay? So I just -- I do want to briefly address the
7 issue not yet resolved despite the fact that I've given
8 plenty of time to do that is for the Committee and the
9 Series B holders to resolve to see whether they can come to
10 an agreement about a schedule with respect to procedures for
11 estimating the intercompany claims with the Debtor. Have
12 you been able to resolve that issue? The schedule.

13 MR. COLODNY: We have not, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, this is Andrew Leblanc.
16 I'm happy to speak to that whenever is appropriate, Your
17 Honor. I know the Debtors moved that to an adjourned --

18 THE COURT: Yeah, but here's -- we'll get to the
19 -- you know, here's what I'm going to do. My patience is
20 running out. I think that I always -- my strong preference
21 is for counsel to agree on a reasonable schedule that
22 invariably I approve. But again, my patience is running
23 out, and so I think, as I understand it, there was -- you
24 have until the 20th, two days from now, to agree on a
25 schedule.

1 And I'm just telling you, if you don't, I'm
2 imposing a schedule. You may not -- neither of you may like
3 it. One of you may like it. I don't know. Would you
4 please resolve this? I mean, you're not that far apart on
5 schedule. I can't believe you haven't resolved it. We
6 don't need to talk about it anymore. You've got until the
7 20th to work it out, okay?

8 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, can I just speak to that
9 topic just briefly, Your Honor? And the -- we've proposed a
10 schedule. Both sides filed a motion to deal with one issue
11 estimation. The Committee in their reply brief on Saturday
12 added two additional issues that haven't even been --

13 THE COURT: Mr. Leblanc, Mr. Leblanc, I don't want
14 to hear anymore about this. I'm just telling you you've got
15 until the 20th to work it out. If you don't agree on a
16 schedule, I'm imposing a schedule. It's as simple as that.
17 There's no reason to take up time today talking about it
18 further. I just want to get that message across. It's time
19 for you to work it out. If you can't, I will just -- we
20 won't have another hearing about it. I'll just impose a
21 schedule, okay?

22 I think if the Court hadn't already agreed to give
23 you all until the 20th to try to do that, I would just use
24 my frustration today and just enter an order. So I'm not
25 going to do that. I'm giving you another couple of days to

1 do it, okay? So Mr. Leblanc, go ahead and address the issue
2 of the -- whether to permit the Committee to file a class
3 claim.

4 MR. LEBLANC: I don't know if Your Honor wanted to
5 hear from anyone else who's supportive of it, or you wanted
6 me to just go now.

7 THE COURT: I want you to go now.

8 MR. LEBLANC: Okay. Your Honor, we've said, and
9 you and I talked about this at the -- with the -- whether
10 there were claims at every entity, that if there are fraud
11 claims, those can be dealt with, and they can be dealt with.
12 No one has suggested, including the Debtors or the
13 Committee, how many people believe they have fraud claims
14 against CNL. And I think it's critical to be clear about
15 this.

16 And when Your Honor and I talked about this at the
17 last hearing, I made the point that many people never even
18 dealt with CNL at any point in time. Alex Mashinsky was the
19 CEO of Celsius LLC at every point in time through its
20 existence.

21 THE COURT: And do you -- was he also the CEO of
22 CNL?

23 MR. LEBLANC: He was, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Okay. And you think that any
25 communication have to have -- he's talking with out of both

1 sides of his mouth?

2 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, I believe that for
3 somebody to establish that they relied on his capacity as --
4 where -- and I think you have to draw the distinction
5 between people who were post-migration and pre-migration.
6 For a party who came into the Celsius network joining only
7 as a Creditor of Celsius LLC, the statements made by Mr.
8 Mashinsky, for them to say that they were relying on him in
9 his capacity as --

10 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sure, Mr. Leblanc, you'd be
11 very happy for the amended bar date to run and very few earn
12 Creditors having actually filed a proof of claim. What the
13 Committee -- I think this goes to Mr. Colodny's point, I
14 don't think it's necessary to -- because what I understand
15 the law on class actions, if they -- if I permit them to
16 file a class claim and it's not certified, the putative
17 members of that class will be given an opportunity to --
18 will be advised that that's what's happened and will be
19 given a further opportunity to file amended claims.

20 So I'm sure your constituency would be very happy
21 if no more than a handful of amended claims were filed.
22 That's not going to happen today, okay? I'm just telling
23 you that right now.

24 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, we don't know how many
25 claims have even been filed today. It's not as though the

1 Committee's coming here -- and MF Global, Your Honor, was a
2 case where liability was conceded as to the issue. And the
3 only issue for the class was how many vacation days did each
4 of the 250 or so members of the class have. This is a very,
5 very different situation. The Committee described it in
6 their reply brief as -- and I'll quote it here -- somewhat
7 novel. Your Honor, this is wholly unprecedented.

8 We didn't see this. We represented the Committee
9 in Enron, Refco, Lehman. This isn't the process that was
10 used. In none of those cases did a Creditor's Committee or
11 we on behalf of the Creditor's Committee bring a class proof
12 of claim on behalf of Creditors in those instances. We
13 didn't -- it's not appropriate, and I'll leave Ms. Schwartz
14 to the argument that they've made. We don't think it's
15 appropriate, Your Honor, and it's just not what happens, and
16 with good reason.

17 The Committee isn't even a member of the class
18 that could bring a Rule 23 class action. We also do
19 believe, Your Honor, it is inconsistent with their fiduciary
20 duties. It's why creditors' committees don't file proofs of
21 claim on behalf of individual Creditors, what they're
22 proposing to do here. Your Honor, it should be left to --
23 we'll see how many customers, in fact, believe that they
24 were defrauded when they filed proofs of claim, and we'll
25 deal with those claims.

1 The notion that the Committee would file on behalf
2 of 600,000 customers, some of whom may never have seen any
3 of those videos, may never even -- don't -- may not even
4 speak the English language and say that they were all relied
5 upon, that they all relied upon these statements, I don't
6 know how, Your Honor, that could happen consistent with Rule
7 11, and I don't know how that could be part of a certified
8 class.

9 When I've seen this process, Your Honor, and I've
10 seen it -- it's sparsely granted. I think everyone
11 acknowledges that. It's scarce that you have class proofs
12 of claim. When I've seen it, what the movant has done is
13 they filed the motion seeking authority under 9014 to apply
14 Rule 23, and they've included their proof of claim or their
15 complaint that would allege that. And that's been true of
16 cases that have had classes certified pre-petition or not --
17 or file pre-petition, and those that have not.

18 Here, and in large part I think because it did
19 start, we had four days to respond to what is an
20 unbelievably complex issue. And I think that's part of the
21 concern that we have had. I think this is being rushed to
22 try -- and the Committee was clear in the Tweet that they
23 Tweeted about this, the reason they're doing this is because
24 they're dissatisfied with Your Honor's decision on where
25 claims sit. And this is just another mechanism that they've

1 now devised to try to deny the preferred equity any
2 recovery.

3 We do not believe that this brings any measure of
4 efficiency to the process, Your Honor. This litigation just
5 over the class issues likely to run long past confirmation,
6 and that doesn't even get to the question of liability and
7 damages. We don't even know what the Debtors' position on
8 the underlying merits of it are going to be. Are the
9 Debtors -- and in particular, is the CNL estate and their
10 directors, are they going to concede that they're liable to
11 all customers for fraud?

12 THE COURT: Well, they took the position that they
13 were liable, the customers. The Committee and the Debtor
14 both believed that all of the entities were liable on
15 customer claims. You prevailed, they didn't, but their
16 position was that CNL was liable on customer claims.

17 MR. LEBLANC: They took the position, Your Honor,
18 that they were liable on customer claims contractually. And
19 you're right. They were wrong on that. I don't know what
20 position the Debtors are going to take. I don't know if the
21 Debtors are a Defendant defending this, or if this is going
22 to again be left to the preferred equity holders to defend
23 CNL from this liability.

24 THE COURT: I'm sure you'll do a very able job,
25 Mr. Leblanc.

1 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, we'll do our best, but
2 to put this additional burden into the system with the other
3 issues that Your Honor doesn't want to talk about with
4 respect to the schedule, it just doesn't -- there's no
5 prospect that this is going to bring efficiency to the
6 process as opposed to just inflating the number of claims
7 that have to be dealt with, rather than just dealing with
8 the claims that have been filed.

9 And it is not as though this Committee has been
10 silent or been sitting on their hands. The Committee -- and
11 we note this in our papers, Your Honor, the Committee has
12 been actively encouraging parties to file fraud claims
13 showing them how to do it. They have FAQs that they've put
14 out on social media showing people how to file fraud claims.
15 We should see what the fruits of that was. How many people
16 actually believe that they have fraud claims --

17 THE COURT: I had that argument. Move on.

18 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, we do think that Your
19 Honor should be considering the other elements of Rule 23
20 and considering whether to approve it under 9014. Obviously
21 the full class certification analysis will only come when
22 Your Honor -- when a motion is made for class certification
23 and the complaint is actually filed. But for the reasons
24 discussed in our papers, Your Honor, I think none of these
25 issues are amenable to class certification and we shouldn't

1 belabor this point anymore.

2 It is an inefficient process that has never --
3 it's not somewhat novel, has never been used in the way that
4 it's proposed to be used here, and it shouldn't be used in
5 this way in this instance. Your Honor, unless you have any
6 other questions, I'll pause there.

7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Leblanc. Ms. Schwartz,
8 I cut you off earlier. Do you want to be heard?

9 MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor. And I apologize
10 because it appeared to me that Mr. Colodny might have read
11 our papers to be critical of him. That really wasn't the
12 intent. And so before he went down a whole colloquy about
13 it, I thought --

14 THE COURT: Let me just say what my reading, that
15 the U.S. Trustee argued that the motion seeks relief, that
16 it isn't consistent with the Committee's fiduciary duty to
17 all Creditors because it focuses only on one subsection,
18 even if that subsection is a majority or large proportion of
19 the committee. The U.S. Trustee believes that there are
20 constituents of the Committee that would not benefit from
21 the filing of the class action. So I'm not relying on Mr.
22 Colodny's reading --

23 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay.

24 THE COURT: -- of the response.

25 MS. SCHWARTZ: Then let me address that.

1 THE COURT: I'm relying on my own --

2 MS. SCHWARTZ: Right.

3 THE COURT: -- my own reading of it

4 MS. SCHWARTZ: Yeah. No, no, no, but what I --

5 all I wanted to say about that, Your Honor, which I thought
6 would be helpful, is that where we said that it was filed in
7 haste, the point was that there was only two weeks, and it
8 was a very serious motion that was being filed. Because
9 there's no question that the Creditors' Committee has a
10 fiduciary duty that runs to every single one of its
11 constituents.

12 It doesn't look like I'm impressing you with that
13 argument, Judge, but what I'm trying to get across here is
14 that we understand. And I think Mr. Colodny made a very
15 compelling argument with regard to the difficulties of a
16 body of Creditors that are largely, if not entirely, pro se.
17 I completely understand that, and I completely understand
18 the need for efficiency and the ability to have a good
19 process. There's no question about that. Our problem --

20 THE COURT: But the amended -- the --

21 MS. SCHWARTZ: -- really --

22 THE COURT: Excuse me. The amended bar date, as I
23 understand it, is April 28, 2023.

24 MS. SCHWARTZ: Right.

25 THE COURT: And you would -- you know, Mr. Leblanc

1 will love it if that bar date sticks and only a small number
2 of pro se Creditors actually file amended proofs of claim.
3 That's not going to happen, okay?

4 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay. But Your Honor, what I --

5 THE COURT: Let me make it crystal clear.

6 MS. SCHWARTZ: Right, but what -- I understand
7 that, Your Honor. I got that, but the one thing that I
8 think that what we said to Your Honor was that it's really
9 not the place for the Creditor's Committee to file this
10 claim. They proposed an alternate process. They say, oh,
11 where it's going to be taking -- it's going to take too much
12 time, etcetera, it's a cost to the estate.

13 It may be a cost to the estate, but clearly where
14 the cost is outweighed by the structure and integrity of the
15 system which uses an unsecured Creditors' Committee to
16 represent all constituents, that the better approach would
17 be to have their independent person be able to do it.
18 Because if in fact, Your Honor, they do file their motion
19 for class certification, and let's say Your Honor actually
20 grants the class certification, who's going to be counsel to
21 the class? Is then counsel to the Creditors' Committee also
22 going to be counsel to a class of the constituents?

23 THE COURT: Let me ask you, Ms. Schwartz. The
24 Committee argued forcefully, was unsuccessful, but argued
25 forcefully that all of the earn assets were property of the

1 estate. And a fairly -- you know, a sizeable number of pro
2 se Creditors opposed that position. They said it's mine,
3 not the Debtors'. Did Committee counsel violate a fiduciary
4 duty of the Debtor when it took the position that it
5 believed was the correct position? That actually on this
6 they were successful, that it was property of the estate?

7 MS. SCHWARTZ: No, I --

8 THE COURT: There were plenty of people who argued
9 the other side, but it --

10 MS. SCHWARTZ: I hear what you're saying, Judge.

11 THE COURT: No, wait. Stop. Does it happen all
12 the time? No, but I've had lots of cases where the
13 committee in a case takes a position that's contrary to the
14 position of some of the people who are their constituency.
15 I mean, that happens all the time.

16 MS. SCHWARTZ: That's right.

17 THE COURT: And the position of the U.S. Trustee
18 is, no, they can't do that. Well, of course they can.

19 MS. SCHWARTZ: I don't think it's that broad, Your
20 Honor. I wouldn't say that that's what we're arguing. What
21 we're saying here is that what they're proposing here is
22 something that, as Mr. Leblanc mentioned, has never before
23 been done. They want to file a -- they're actually taking a
24 formal class within the entire constituency. It's not clear
25 from the motion, and I think that's part of the reason why

1 we said that it was filed in haste.

2 It's not clear what conflicts can arise between
3 the -- who the Creditors are in the various creditor holder
4 groups that they have. And also, Your Honor, that an
5 unsecured Creditors Committee, also in the process when the
6 United States Trustee appoints the members of the Committee,
7 it is a fundamental principle that the Creditors Committee
8 has a fiduciary duty to all of the constituents. And that
9 to spend its effort to represent one group --

10 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) right back. No, wait
11 a second now. The Committee took the position that all of
12 the earn assets were property of the estate. That was not
13 the position of all the Creditors. Are you saying they did
14 something wrong when they took the position --

15 MS. SCHWARTZ: No. No.

16 THE COURT: -- that the earn assets were property
17 of the estate? That's exactly a similar circumstance. Not
18 everybody agreed with it. It wasn't because simply the
19 Committee advocated for a position that the Court ruled the
20 way it did. I evaluated all the arguments, including the
21 pro se arguments, and some were represented by counsel.

22 MS. SCHWARTZ: Then I'm not clear. Then I'm not
23 being clear, Judge.

24 THE COURT: Look, I've heard the argument. I
25 understand --

Page 80

1 MS. SCHWARTZ: But I didn't get --

2 THE COURT: I read the paper that your office
3 filed.

4 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Fair enough. But Judge, you
5 know, I would just close by saying that we are -- I
6 definitely understand the dynamics. I understand that
7 you've allowed certain things in other cases. I think that
8 this would be a poor precedent on a go-forward basis to
9 permit Creditor Committee counsel to be representing in a
10 separate process. And also, I would like an answer to the
11 question would the Creditors Committee then, if the class
12 was certified, be counsel to the class as well as the
13 Creditors Committee?

14 THE COURT: Well, we'll have to wait until there's
15 a class certification hearing if I grant the motion before
16 me, and the U.S. Trustee can take the position that it
17 wants. And it may be that Creditors Committee counsel will
18 come up with a solution that, you know, satisfies everyone
19 but Mr. Leblanc --

20 MS. SCHWARTZ: Well, that --

21 THE COURT: No.

22 MS. SCHWARTZ: -- well, we might -- but --

23 THE COURT: But you know --

24 MS. SCHWARTZ: -- Your Honor, the better --

25 THE COURT: -- I heard -- stop. Andrea, I'm done.

1 Stop. I've heard enough. I don't want to hear anymore,
2 okay? There are a number of hands raised. Let me hear --

3 Mr. Koenig, do you want to be heard on behalf of the Debtor?

4 MR. KOENIG: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris
5 Koenig, Kirkland and Ellis for the Debtor. Thank you. I'll
6 be brief. We didn't file a pleading in support of or
7 opposing --

8 MS. SCHWARTZ: Did you see the judge wave his
9 hands to shut me up?

10 MR. KOENIG: -- the motion. We believe that --

11 MS. SCHWARTZ: That was bad. (Indiscernible) --

12 THE COURT: Andrea, mute your line, please. I
13 could hear that. You're talking. You're muted now. I
14 don't appreciate the comment you made about shutting you up,
15 okay? It was completely inappropriate. Mr. Koenig, go
16 ahead.

17 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. While we
18 don't have a position, of course the Committee hasn't filed
19 a proof of claim or a class claim motion. We'll have to
20 review what is filed. We do believe that the process that
21 the Committee is proposing is very reasonable and frankly
22 will aid efficiency. As Your Honor knows, we've been
23 struggling with the issue of how to deal with the claims
24 that have been filed. We filed Bellwether objections and
25 we've talked about at prior hearings how we were trying to

1 use that process to advance resolution of claims in this
2 matter.

3 What we've done is we've pushed pause on the
4 Bellwether claims process in light of what the Committee is
5 suggesting, we've done that because we do believe that this
6 is going to be a much more efficient process than having to
7 deal with 23,000 claims on an individual basis. The
8 Committee can deal with claims that are common to all
9 account holders. That should aid the resolution process.

10 But you know, I find it very astonishing, frankly,
11 that Mr. Leblanc is actually arguing that there's, I wrote
12 it down, no measure of efficiency here. This is certainly
13 going to be far more efficient than what the alternative is,
14 which is for each and every individual Creditor to have to
15 receive an amended bar date notice, decide whether they have
16 a claim to be filed, and file claims.

17 I note that the Debtors have 600,000 account
18 holders approximately. Only 23,000 claims were filed prior
19 to the original bar date. That's about three percent. And
20 so you know, certainly we -- you know, Creditors are advised
21 of their rights and are receiving mailings and emails and,
22 you know, hopefully are filing what they need to file. But
23 in this case in particular when you have so many pro se
24 Creditors who are similar situated to one another, it would
25 be unfair to expect each and every one of them to file a

1 claim by an amended bar date on 35 days' notice.

2 We believe that this process is far more efficient
3 and is likely to lead to, as Mr. Colodny said, a fair fight
4 on the merits between the Committee, the Series B, and we'll
5 see what position the Debtors take after the Committee file
6 their papers. But we believe that there is significant
7 efficiency, as Mr. Colodny said, compared to the Bellwether
8 claims objection process, which was what we devised when
9 there were individual Creditors. But given now that the
10 Committee is going to be advancing a common argument on
11 behalf of all Creditors, we think that that will be far more
12 efficient. So --

13 THE COURT: Thank you.

14 MR. KOENIG: -- I'll pause my remarks there.

15 Thank you, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Colodny. All right. I
17 have all these arguments down on this. I've spent a lot of
18 time on this motion. I don't need to hear anybody else.
19 I'm approving the motion, granting the Committee leave to
20 file a class claim. This does not resolve the issue of
21 whether the class is going to be certified or whether there
22 are -- well, we'll see how that does.

23 Let me give a brief statement of reasons. I'm not
24 going to write an opinion on it as I don't want to slow the
25 process down. Some of these issues will be dealt with when

1 I rule on any motion for class certification. But class
2 actions in bankruptcy, certainly in contested matters,
3 they're at the discretion of the bankruptcy court, and I
4 apply Rule 9014. Bankruptcy Rule 9014 applies only to
5 contested matters, but authorizes the bankruptcy court on
6 motions to direct that one or more of the rules of Part 7,
7 which includes 702.3 shall apply.

8 While most courts agree that class proofs of claim
9 are allowed in bankruptcy proceeding, the right is not
10 absolute. I've written on this issue before in MF Global
11 and in other cases as well. And although the exercise of
12 discretion is necessarily case-in-fact specific, bankruptcy
13 courts generally consider three factors in determining
14 whether to apply Rule 23 to claims process.

15 One, whether the class was certified pre-petition.
16 Here it's not, but pre-petition -- the alleged facts
17 establishing fraud were not known. Whether the putative
18 class members received notice of the bar date, well, there's
19 an amended bar date, but it's totally unrealistic to expect
20 that 600,000 or even a fraction of those people will file an
21 amended proof of claim.

22 While the focus of Mr. Leblanc and others has been
23 on a fraud claim where reliance may or may not be required
24 under these circumstances, there may well be statutory
25 claims that don't include the same reliance requirement.

1 When I wrote the opinion on against which entity claims
2 would reside, I made clear that that was only a ruling with
3 respect to the contract claims. And so there may be both
4 common law claims and statutory claims, so I don't know the
5 full range of claims that may be asserted in a class proof
6 of claim.

7 And the third factor is -- the second factor was
8 about notice of the bar -- amended bar date. I talked about
9 that, and whether class certification would adversely affect
10 administration of the estate. Those are the three factors
11 that I will refer to as the Music Land factors, 362 B.R. at
12 pages 654 and 655. I won't go through a separate discussion
13 at each of the Musicland factors, but I've certainly
14 considered it with respect to this pending motion.

15 Here, it seems that equitable considerations weigh
16 strongly in favor of granting this motion. In considering a
17 request for class proofs of claim filed by creditors, the
18 Court of Appeals in the Fourth Circuit held that Bankruptcy
19 Rule 7023 is an equitable manner to give effect to the
20 purposes of the Bankruptcy Act. See *Gentry v. Siegel*, 668
21 F.3d 83, 89 (4th Cir. 2012). I consider these equitable
22 considerations to be highly relevant here.

23 A minority of the 600,000 putative class members
24 are represented by counsel, and none of them have had enough
25 time to review the customer claims opinion or research

Page 86

1 theories. And the Committee has appealed from the customer
2 claims opinion, as it certainly could.

3 A significant portion of the claimants do not have
4 and have not ever lived in the United States and are
5 completely unfamiliar with the American legal system.
6 Indeed, the pro se creditors who have filed papers on this
7 motion are highly supportive of the Committee's motion to
8 proceed to class or by any other collective process
9 available.

10 Denying the motion is likely to result in only the
11 sophisticated and representative claimants submitting their
12 claims prior to the amended bar date to the detriment of the
13 great majority of creditors. That outcome goes against the
14 fundamental tenet of bankruptcy, which is a fair and
15 equitable distribution of assets to creditors.

16 I've certainly taken seriously the Series B
17 preferred holders' objection. It's premature. They will no
18 doubt litigate strenuously whether the class should be
19 certified and the Court will deal with that when it arises.

20 Mr. Colodny, submit the order in Word format and
21 it will be entered. Let's move on on the agenda.

22 MR. COLODNY: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm going to
24 cede the lectern to Mr. Kwasteniet, who will handle the
25 balance of the agenda. We're at the status conference

1 section of the agenda this morning.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Kwasteniet.

3 MR. KWASTENIET: Ross Kwasteniet again from
4 Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. I believe that
5 brings us to Item 16 on the agenda.

6 Your Honor, we previously filed at Docket 2336
7 Debtor's motion to approve a key employee incentive plan.
8 Your Honor, we requested adjournment of that motion as we
9 are continuing to engage in active discussions with counsel
10 to the committee as well as the U.S. Trustee's Office about
11 the design, the metrics, who should be included in that
12 program. We are hopeful that with additional time, we'll be
13 able to resolve or narrow objections to the proposed KEIP.
14 And that has been adjourned to our May omnibus hearing, Your
15 Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right.

17 MR. KWASTENIET: Your Honor, the next items on the
18 agenda are related, and I am aware that Your Honor has
19 adjourned those to a future hearing date. They include a
20 request from an individual employee for reimbursement of
21 legal fees as well as the Debtor's motion for approval of
22 procedures pursuant to which the Debtors may pay legal fees
23 for employees current and former who cooperate in the
24 various ongoing investigations.

25 As I understand it, Your Honor, you had asked for

Page 88

1 a status conference today, so I'm happy to answer any
2 questions, hear any remarks you have, or say anything that
3 you would like me to in connection with either of those.
4 And I believe also counsel for the individual employee, Mr.
5 Nolan, is on the line and should be available to address
6 that application for reimbursement, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: No, my comment would be this. And
8 I'll certainly give Mr. Nolan's counsel an opportunity to
9 address it. So there was on the docket for today the
10 application of Connor Nolan pursuant to 11 U.S. Code §
11 503(b)(3)(D) and 503(b)(4) for allowance and payment of
12 professional fees. His application is at ECF Docket 2045.

13 There also has been I think adjourned several
14 times is the Debtor's motion for approval of fees. I don't
15 have the exact docket number, but it's for -- with
16 cooperation agreements, reimbursement of fees. And I
17 thought they could be handled together. I mean, Mr. Nolan
18 is certainly entitled to have his motion heard. But the
19 issues really are very much the same in the Court's view.
20 And that's why I adjourned Mr. Nolan's application as well.

21 You know, Mr. Colodny, let me ask, does the
22 Committee -- where are these discussions going? And then
23 I'll ask Ms. Schwartz as well if she wants to address the
24 issue. I'm not deciding it today. This is really a status
25 conference. Go ahead, Mr. Colodny.

1 MR. COLODNY: Yes, Your Honor. What the Debtors
2 proposed was a procedures motion to provide for a framework
3 through which we could both determine whether employees'
4 cooperation and the reimbursement of their expenses is for
5 the benefit of the estate. And what Mr. Kwasteniet made
6 clear in the motion and we've been discussing since then is
7 that no expenses would be paid without both the Debtor and
8 the Committee consent and full disclosure.

9 We've been working I think cooperatively with Mr.
10 Kwasteniet to reach an agreement and also been working
11 cooperatively with the United States Trustee.

12 I sent revised forms of order over the weekend
13 before -- I believe it was Friday before Your Honor
14 adjourned the hearing. And I think that we were fairly
15 close. But we're not there yet. And I completely agree
16 with Your Honor that the reimbursement of Mr. Nolan should
17 either be dealt with with those procedures if we are able to
18 reach agreement, or separately on his own application if the
19 procedures are not able to move forward.

20 So I think where I sit on it is whether the
21 payment of fees benefits the estate is going to be an
22 extremely detailed and fact-intensive determination. And I
23 believe that together the Debtors, the Committee, and the
24 United States Trustee can make that determination. And I
25 hope we can get there on the procedures to find a way that

Page 90

1 we can strike the appropriate balance of making sure that
2 any payment benefits the estate.

3 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Schwartz, do you want
4 to be heard on this?

5 MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Andrea
6 Schwartz for the U.S. Trustee.

7 We are having continued discussions with the
8 Committee. We have some fundamental differences, but we are
9 trying to work through to see as much progress as we can
10 make on it.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Look, you know, one way or the
12 other either as -- hopefully if it can be resolved
13 consensually, fine. I mean, if it can't, then there's a
14 contested motion, the Court will deal with it. So we'll
15 leave it at that for today. Okay? All right.

16 Anything else that we have to cover?

17 MR. KWASTENIET: Thank you, Your Honor. I believe
18 that's it on the agenda. And the Debtors did not have
19 anything further for today.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else have any issues
21 they want to raise?

22 Mr. Herrmann?

23 MR. HERRMANN: Yes. Immanuel Herrmann, pro se
24 creditor. Thank you, Your Honor. Just a quick thing. I
25 think it would extraordinarily helpful if a transcript of

Page 91

1 today's hearing could be posted. And I also wanted you to
2 consider -- I mean, I can file a motion, but maybe sua
3 sponte it would be I think very helpful to creditors if we
4 could get transcripts for every hearing basically going
5 forward and backwards. I know there's redaction issues and
6 other issues. But I think for transparency and just going
7 forward, it would be extremely helpful.

8 THE COURT: I won't rule generally. I would
9 request that Debtor's counsel order a transcript for today,
10 that it can be put on. I'm not sure, Mr. Herrmann, I agree
11 that every hearing we've had is worthy of having a
12 transcript posted. There actually have been some not
13 controversial hearings that we've had.

14 MR. HERRMANN: That's true, Your Honor. Well,
15 thank you. I appreciate that. And I'll look at filing a
16 motion or just talking with the Debtors and others about --

17 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, with your permission, we
18 will order a transcript and then file a notice on the docket
19 that attaches a transcript when it's available.

20 THE COURT: Great. Thank you very much. All
21 right.

22 Mr. Holcomb? Mr. Holcomb, you need to unmute if
23 you want to be heard.

24 MR. HOLCOMB: I'm sorry. Can you hear me, y h?

25 THE COURT: Yes, I can. Go ahead.

1 MR. HOLCOMB: Thank you, Your Honor. Lucas
2 Holcomb, pro se creditor. I've been watching these court
3 proceedings since the case began and I've learned that pro
4 se creditors, including myself, have no idea how to
5 effectively represent ourselves in court. So I do
6 appreciate the approval of the classifying motion.

7 I would like to bring up an issue I've had with
8 the Debtors since approval of the Pure custody withdrawals,
9 which, again, as a pro se creditor I'm not aware if this is
10 the correct process to present this dispute. But since the
11 Pure custody withdrawal began, I have several -- in fact,
12 four accounts with the debtor. And I completed KYC
13 verification on all four of them. And then I started my
14 withdrawal process. There was about \$500 in each account
15 that was in Pure custody. And I had about \$100,000 in Earn
16 split between those four accounts.

17 I did start that withdrawal process. But
18 unfortunately, the withdrawals never came through. And in
19 attempt to log in, I found that my accounts were suspended.
20 I had an email exchange with the Debtors, I believe it was
21 March 2nd, with the customer service. And I complete
22 another third-party KYC verification at their request.

23 However, the customer service discontinued
24 responding to my emails as of March 21st with no resolution
25 to unsuspending my account and allowing me to withdraw my

Page 93

1 Pure custody assets. And since then, I'm been in contact
2 with (indiscernible), but we have been unable to
3 (indiscernible) resolution on the matter.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Holcomb, let me ask you this. I
5 know you said you've been exchanging emails. Have you been
6 able to speak with one of the lawyers from -- the Debtor's
7 lawyers at this point or just exchanging emails

8 MR. HOLCOMB: I've been exchanging emails with
9 Celsius creditors answers, and they've responded several
10 times. And they've said we are attempting to resolve this
11 issue with the company and appreciate your patience. But
12 again, it's been going on for a little bit now.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Koenig, I see you've moved in
14 front of the microphone. Can you address this?

15 MR. KOENIG: Again, Chris Koenig for the Debtors.
16 Thank you, Your Honor.

17 So, yes, I've been corresponding with members of
18 our team. We've been in contact with Mr. Holcomb. The
19 issue is that his accounts are suspended under the Debtor's
20 procedures. So we need to continue to work through that.
21 We've been exchanging emails with him, and we're happy to
22 continue to do so. But --

23 THE COURT: Let me ask this. Either you or
24 designate someone from your team speak with Mr. Holcomb by
25 telephone. I mean, it can be -- I mean, emails are fine,

Page 94

1 but it can be frustrating sometimes going back and forth.
2 If it can't be resolved, Mr. Holcomb will have to, you know,
3 seek to get relief from the Court. But would you please
4 reach out and speak with Mr. Holcomb and see whether the
5 issue can be resolved and you can narrow exactly what needs
6 to be done? Okay?

7 MR. KOENIG: We certainly will. Thank you.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Holcomb, let's move forward
9 on that basis. I don't have facts on which I can rule at
10 this stage. So let's see if you can cut through it by
11 speaking directly with either Mr. Koenig or one of the other
12 lawyers of Kirkland. Okay?

13 MR. HOLCOMB: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
14 that. And I've just emailed him my phone number so he can
15 contact me. Thank you so much.

16 THE COURT: Great. All right.

17 Mr. Porter?

18 MR. PORTER: Thank you so much, Judge.

19 Judge Glenn, I asked you take into consideration
20 the fact that we --

21 THE COURT: You've just muted again, Mr. Porter.

22 MR. PORTER: I'll start again, Judge. I
23 apologize.

24 THE COURT: Go ahead.

25 MR PORTER: I asked you to take into consideration

Page 95

1 the fact that we were lied to and most of us are typical
2 retail depositors who fell for Celsius' marketing scheme.

3 Sir, we need to maximize our recovery as creditors
4 and move on with our lives. Under ordinary circumstances,
5 most of us would never consider investing in a hedge fund
6 that has no track record. This would not be a suitable
7 investment for most of the depositors. Please make sure
8 that we are permitted to see all of the competing bids at
9 the appropriate time. The offers that compete with
10 NovaWulf's plan to lock us up into a hedge fund. Please
11 empower our UCC to give the appropriate weighting to our
12 assets on deposits, not the number of creditors with very
13 small balances.

14 Creditors fear a cramdown of NovaWulf's hedge fund
15 plan and we hope to avoid that fate. Allow us to maximize
16 our recovery as soon as possible. We are anxious to put
17 this Celsius experience behind us. Thank you.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Ivene?

19 MR. IVENE: Jason Ivene, pro se creditor.

20 I just wanted to see if there was an update on
21 assets sent in after the petition date. Because I've seen
22 no updates or anything.

23 THE COURT: Okay. I understand that issue. And
24 maybe, Mr. Koenig, can you address that? Because that's
25 been discussed at prior hearings. Obviously it was after

1 the pause and assets were received and they were sort of --
2 go ahead.

3 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. We're in the
4 process of filing the schedule of eligible creditors, and I
5 expect that that's going to be filed in the coming days.
6 It's taken a little bit of time, obviously, but we have had
7 other withdrawals that we've been working on processing.
8 And that is next up in the queue, and it will be filed in
9 the coming days. I expect this week.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

11 Mr. Mendelson.

12 MR. MENDELSON: Good afternoon, Judge. Thanks
13 again for your time.

14 Very quickly, regarding the class claims, are we
15 allowed to go after the C-suite level executives, the
16 individual executives at Celsius, Alex Mashinsky and Daniel
17 Leon, Nuke, et cetera? Or is it just against business
18 entities?

19 THE COURT: So, look, I can't give you legal
20 advice. I would just say this. There already has been
21 litigation that's been filed against Mr. Mashinsky and
22 others. And the automatic stay does not apply by its terms
23 to anyone other than the Debtor. So I'm not going to give
24 you any legal advice. You're not the only creditor with an
25 interest in pursuing a recovery from any source that's

1 available. I'm going to leave it at that. That's not my
2 role here.

3 MR. MENDELSON: Okay. Thank you.

4 THE COURT: (indiscernible).

5 MR. PELED: Thank you, Your Honor. I represent
6 Ignat Tuganov, who filed a response --

7 THE COURT: You have to -- stop. Just identify
8 you -- I called you by your name, because I see it on the
9 screen.

10 MR. PELED: No problem.

11 THE COURT: I may have mispronounced it. But you
12 have to identify yourself.

13 MR. PELED: Arie Peled of Venable LLP on behalf of
14 Ignat Tuganov. We filed a response to the class claim
15 motion. Your Honor, you ruled on the motion, so I'm not
16 going to get through any of those points. I just wanted to
17 make one point if I could with respect to the proposed
18 order. And you mentioned it when you were discussing it,
19 that you envisioned that customers would be able to file an
20 individual claim if ultimately class cert is denied. We
21 were just hoping that that could be made explicit in the
22 order somewhere so that people have that comfort with
23 respect to the procedure going forward.

24 THE COURT: I guess my response to that would be
25 to work with the Committee's counsel. I thought that there

Page 98

1 had been an agreement on modifying the form of the order to
2 deal with that. Obviously your client has already filed a
3 claim, filed a lawsuit that raises many of these same
4 issues. So I'm going to leave it at that.

5 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, we included some
6 language in the proposed order --

7 THE COURT: You have to identify -- Mr. Colodny,
8 you have to identify yourself for the record when you speak.

9 MR. COLODNY: Sorry, Your Honor. Aaron Colodny
10 from White & Case on behalf of the Official Committee of
11 Unsecured Creditors.

12 We included language in the proposed order which
13 was meant to address Mr. Tuganov's objection. We didn't get
14 a chance to discuss it with his counsel.

15 I would propose, and I think the Debtors -- well,
16 I'll let Mr. Koenig speak for himself. But we can include
17 in the proposed order some language that embodies your
18 Court's -- Your Honor's oral ruling that the bar date will
19 be told pending class certification.

20 THE COURT: That's fine. Okay. Mr. Turpin?

21 MR. TURPIN: Basically I think I might just have
22 the same question that was just addressed. So I'm James
23 Turpin, pro se. And I just wanted to know if the -- if the
24 class claim is not certified, is the bar date going to be
25 extended?

1 THE COURT: Yes. The answer is yes.

2 MR. TURPIN: Okay. Because I think many of us
3 have not retained counsel.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. TURPIN: And if we're out of state or --
6 obviously you mentioned there's many people out of the
7 country. And so it's -- it would be very costly to retain
8 counsel unnecessarily.

9 THE COURT: I agree with everything you've said.
10 And my understanding of class action law is if a class
11 certification motion is denied, the putative class members
12 will be given opportunity to either file a claim or a
13 lawsuit as they choose. So that will have to be dealt with
14 in any order that's entered if class certification is
15 denied. But that is certainly what my intention is, and
16 it's certainly my understanding of what the state of the law
17 with respect to denial of class certification is.

18 MR. TURPIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. -- don't know
20 whether it's -- I guess Ms. Kuhns. Excuse me. Go ahead.

21 MS. KUHNS: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning or good
22 afternoon. I guess it's afternoon now. Joyce Kuhns for the
23 Ad Hoc Group of Earn Account Holders.

24 First of all, I wanted to extend my appreciation
25 to the Committee for looking forward to a collective

Page 100

1 process. You've heard today that this has been time-
2 consuming, complicated, and burdensome. And particularly to
3 the Earn account holders. And so we are looking forward to
4 working hopefully cooperatively with the Committee and the
5 constituents to a mutually-beneficial result. I think that
6 a collective voice has been missing, obviously, on behalf of
7 the Earn accountholders. And as I said, I hope we can
8 streamline this process to make it the most effective one
9 for all involved and the most efficient with the least cost.

10 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Kuhns.

11 All right. Anybody who has not been heard yet
12 wishes to be heard?

13 All right. The Court is going to stand in recess.
14 We are adjourned.

15 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 101

1 I N D E X

2

3 RULINGS

	Page	Line
5 Settlement, GRANTED	49	2
6 Motion to Approve the Wohlman Settlement,		
7 GRANTED	53	12
8 Motion for Committee to File a Class Claim,		
9 GRANTED	83	19

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 102

1 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

2

3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing
4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

5

6 *Sonya M. Ledanski Hyde*

7

8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 Veritext Legal Solutions

21 330 Old Country Road

22 Suite 300

23 Mineola, NY 11501

24

25 Date: April 19, 2023

[& - 2045]

Page 1

&	10167 8:18	1407 4:3	18 1:16 36:25
& 3:16,16,21 4:1,13,18 7:3 7:12,19 8:8 9:1 9:16 11:16 12:1,8 87:4 98:10	1071 3:18 1072 4:3 10:00 6:18,25 10:02 1:17 11 6:21 28:16 72:7 88:10	1408 5:6 1419 4:14 1450 4:22 1451 3:18 15 43:3 44:14 45:3	59:13 1800 9:11 1823 3:18 4:3,8 4:15,22 5:7,15 5:22 6:7 1841 6:14 1850 9:3 19 101:9 102:25 1907 6:14 199 63:21
0	111 12:10 1114 6:12	151 11:11 15th 15:9	2
05/17/2023 6:17,25	1130 6:12 1132 6:13	16 17:14 87:5 1606 5:6	2 5:21 42:21 101:5
05620 10:3	1133 6:13	1612 5:22	20 29:24 30:12 36:16,23
07068 10:17	1139 6:13	1635 4:22	20004 7:15
07102 12:18	1140 6:13	166 18:17	20006 9:4
1	1144 6:13	1668 4:3	2007 49:9
1 5:5,13 8:10 19:13 42:21 51:8 52:12	11501 102:23 1177 6:13 1182 6:13	1685 6:7 1688 4:15 1694 6:14	201 11:3
1.3 44:13	11th 53:10	16th 15:14	2012 85:21
10 6:4,17	12 17:15 101:7	17 15:16	2019 58:17
10/10/2022 6:6	1200 6:13	1705 3:18	2020 58:17
10/31/2022 3:17 4:2,8,14 6:6	1204 6:13 12151 102:6	1707 4:3 1709 4:8	2021 58:17 59:13 60:1
100,000 52:23 92:15	1221 11:18 1224 4:22	1710 4:14 1712 40:3	2022 3:25 4:1 4:21,22 5:5,6
10004 1:14	1274 5:14	1715 4:22	5:14,14,21,22
1001 4:14	1275 5:14	1716 5:6	6:4,5 28:23,23
10014 11:4	1283 6:14	1717 6:7 40:4	51:8 58:17
10020 11:19	1287 5:6	1718 5:14	2023 1:16 2:4
10022 7:22 10:10	13 3:25 48:7 13,000 17:4	1719 6:6	28:24 76:23
1003 6:12	1301 7:14	1720 5:22	102:25
10036 11:12	1366 6:14	1721 3:18	2045 6:24
1006 11:3	1374 5:15	1736 3:18 4:3,8 4:15,22 5:7,15	88:12
10119 9:19	138 18:17	5:22 6:7	
	14 17:14	1740 6:7	

[2055 - 600,000]

Page 2

2055	6:14	2400	3:11,12	2484	3:12	4
20th	67:24	2401	6:15	249	58:4	4 6:21 88:11
68:7,15,23		2404	6:15	2490	14:10	4/18/2023 6:17
21	2:4	2408	6:15	2491	16:13	4000 9:11
2170	39:24	2411	6:16	24th	16:20	42nd 11:11
2181	6:14	2413	6:15	25,000	17:22	45 17:3
21st	16:3 92:24	2416	2:16	250	71:4	45,000 17:1
22,000	19:2		50:24 51:5	261	34:5	18:1
22-10964	1:3		53:11	262	34:10	452 49:9
23	71:18 72:14	2430	6:16	2700	12:3	476 56:16
74:19 84:14		2432	3:11	28	18:18 76:23	478 49:9
23,000	82:7,18	2434	6:24	28,000	18:2	48075 9:12
2331	2:16 53:8	2438	2:23 3:4	28th	22:3 63:5	49 101:5
2334	2:11 42:5	2439	3:4,12,12	29	4:21	49th 11:11
42:14		244	58:18	2nd	15:12	4th 85:21
2335	2:5 26:8	2441	6:24		92:21	
2336	6:14 87:6	245	8:17	3		5
2337	6:14	2458	2:5 26:25	3	6:21 42:3	
2339	6:15		27:6		88:11	
2340	6:15	246	58:18	30	47:4 62:20	
2343	6:15	2460	2:6 26:12	30,000	17:8	
2367	2:23		27:2		19:3	
2369	3:4	2467	3:12	300	7:5 102:22	
2371	3:4	2468	3:12	31	4:1,22 5:6	
2373	6:24	2471	2:23		5:14,22 6:4	
2374	6:24	2472	2:23 3:4	32	15:15	
2382	6:24	2473	3:4	330	102:21	
2386	6:24	2474	3:12	3335	9:18	
2387	3:18 4:3,8	2476	3:12	35	83:1	
4:15,23 5:7,15		2477	3:4	350,000	52:24	
5:22 6:7,24		2478	2:23	362	85:11	
32:4		2480	2:23 3:4	37	16:20	
2395	6:15	2481	6:16	37,000	18:9	
2396	3:11	2482	3:12	38th	13:3	
2397	6:15	2483	3:18 4:3,9			
2399	3:11		4:15,23 5:15			
			5:22 6:7			

[601 - adjournment]

Page 3

601 7:21	9019 43:10	54:11,13,25	77:19 78:5,23
60606 12:11 13:4	49:3 917 6:12	55:12 56:5,6,9 58:10,14,15	82:11 91:12 ad 2:10 8:16
60654 7:6	92 63:21	59:1,18 62:15	9:10,17 10:8
65 15:15	99 36:14	62:17,20,23	16:4 42:8,18
654 85:12	997 6:12	65:9,16,22	42:22,25 44:12
655 85:12	a	66:1 82:9,17	45:17 46:21
668 85:20	aaron 12:6	92:14,25 99:23	47:14,17 49:17
68 15:10	54:4 98:9	100:3	49:24 54:21
6th 10:9	ability 25:7	accountholders	99:23
7	64:12 76:18	100:7	added 68:12
7 17:21 84:6	able 25:25	accounts 16:2	addition 16:7
7/13/2022 3:17 4:2,8	26:23 29:17	16:15 92:12,16	19:25 26:9
7/14/2022 4:14	32:16 35:14	92:19 93:19	45:21
702.3 84:7	49:25 60:22	accurate 102:4	additional 21:1
7023 85:19	63:1 64:5	acknowledged	21:3 22:6 52:4
72 45:6	67:12 73:24	54:15	61:13 68:12
72.5 16:14 44:21,22	77:17 87:13	acknowledges	74:2 87:12
737 42:19	89:17,19 93:6	72:11	address 35:14
8	97:19	act 64:3 85:20	43:19 55:13
8 42:6,11	above 36:22	action 10:15	67:6 69:1
80 57:24 59:18	absent 52:6	42:24 65:1,2	75:25 88:5,9
83 85:21 101:9	absolute 84:10	71:18 75:21	88:23 93:14
85 43:4 45:3	absolutely	99:10	95:24 98:13
85,000 52:14	55:15 66:6	actions 54:23	addressed
89 10:2 85:21	access 14:14	62:23 63:16	98:22
9	accessible	64:10 70:15	addresses
9 28:15	34:15	84:2	53:12
90 15:12	accomplish	active 33:15	addressing
900,000 17:9	57:21 62:24	87:9	29:7
90071 8:4 12:4	accordance	actively 34:2	adjourned
9014 72:13 74:20 84:4,4	16:10	74:12	28:17 67:17
	account 3:9,11	actually 23:22	87:14,19 88:13
	9:10,17 10:8	30:21 33:4	88:20 89:14
	15:7,10 16:14	48:6,8 53:18	100:14
	16:17 34:22	60:12 70:12	adjournment
	45:17,25 54:10	74:16,23 77:2	87:8

[adjusted - amulic]

Page 4

adjusted 17:9 17:12,13	advisors 26:1	52:12,13,16,19	allowed 15:13 36:18 37:1
adjustment 35:25,25 36:8	advisory 5:2	67:10 89:10,18	80:7 84:9
adjustments 37:22 41:3,6	advocate 54:24	98:1	96:15
adler 8:20	advocated 79:19	agreements 18:5 88:16	allowing 62:13 65:24 92:25
administration 60:25 61:1,4 85:10	advocating 55:3	ahead 21:10 24:15,22 25:13	alongside 61:10
administrative 65:15	affect 60:24	26:2,3 28:13	alternate 77:10
admissible 56:16,21	65:20 85:9	31:6 37:11	alternative 65:7 82:13
admit 64:6	afternoon 54:4	39:6 42:2	alternatively 54:10
admitted 51:5 58:5	96:12 99:22,22	46:12 48:16	alvarez 4:13
admitting 51:4	agenda 14:3	50:22,23 51:6	amas 59:4 60:1
advance 82:1	19:16,18 20:21	51:8 54:7	ambiguity 48:2
advancing 83:10	25:11,16 26:6	57:11 69:1	ambiguous 48:2
adverse 64:5,7	28:24 29:17	81:16 87:2	amenable 74:25
adversely 85:9	42:2,3 50:3,12	88:25 91:25	amended 14:3
advertised 60:10	54:2 86:21,25	94:24 96:2	55:21,23 63:5
advertising 56:8 62:2	87:1,5,18	99:20	70:11,19,21
advice 96:20 96:24	90:18	aid 61:1 81:22	76:20,22 77:2
advise 22:25 31:21	aggregate 43:23	82:9	82:15 83:1
advised 29:22 30:10 70:18 82:20	aggregator 44:5	akin 3:21 4:1	84:19,21 85:8
advisor 2:4 5:12 6:3 26:8	agree 24:4	alert 24:16	america 4:13
	30:25 48:21	alex 69:18	american 86:5
	60:16,16,17	96:16	americas 11:18
	67:21,24 68:15	allegations 54:19	amount 32:22
	84:8 89:15	allege 72:15	44:11 52:22
	91:10 99:9	alleged 38:8	53:20 67:3
	agreed 32:11	52:1 84:16	amounts 45:13
	32:24 43:1	alleging 51:25	amulic 11:23
	68:22 79:18	allen 7:25	48:13,15,17,17
	agreement 17:3 26:2	allow 28:17	48:20 50:4,7
	39:16 42:7,12	36:16,16 55:1	
	42:16 46:22	95:15	
	51:16,17,18	allowance 3:23	
		6:22 88:11	

[analysis - articulated]

Page 5

analysis 32:23 40:1 74:21	apologize 75:9 94:23	64:18 65:8 66:12	66:3
analyze 21:11	appealed 86:1	appoints 79:6	approving 2:9 2:14 6:10 16:7
analyzing 21:14 22:19	appeals 85:18	appreciate	49:2 50:13 53:12,17,23
andrea 11:7,23 27:9 31:7 37:10 48:17 80:25 81:12 90:5	appeared 75:10	24:4 32:2,4,5 33:21 49:23	83:19
andrew 9:6 10:19 67:15	applicable 49:13,14	81:14 91:15 92:6 93:11	approximately 17:22 36:25
angeles 8:4 12:4	applicant 41:5	94:13	82:18
announced 57:14	applicants 28:16	appreciation 17:12 99:24	april 1:16 15:14 16:20
answer 46:8 53:4 60:14,14 80:10 88:1 99:1	application 2:2 3:15,21 4:6,12 4:18 5:2,10,18 6:2,20 26:7,11 26:14,21 27:16	appreciative 32:16	22:2 53:10 58:17 59:13
answers 93:9	28:2,5 29:8,12 36:2,18 38:3 39:24 41:3 88:6,10,12,20 89:18	approach 54:25 55:2,4 77:16	63:5 76:23 102:25
anticipate 66:13	applications 28:20,25 29:10 29:14 31:23 32:5,7,13	appropriate 28:5 33:17 35:17 45:12 46:6 49:16,19 52:5 67:16 71:13,15 90:1 95:9,11	apropos 33:24 argue 61:12 argued 75:15 77:24,24 78:8
anxious 95:16	35:21 36:9,14	approval 22:22	arguing 78:20 82:11
anybody 27:24 37:25 38:25 39:11,13 40:22 48:11 53:6 83:18 90:20 100:11	39:9,17 41:10 41:16	29:11 35:22,23 36:7 40:24,24 41:10 43:20 87:21 88:14 92:6,8	argument 30:19 57:10 61:3 62:7 63:9 71:14 74:17 76:13,15 79:24 83:10
anymore 68:6 68:14 75:1 81:1	applies 20:19 84:4	approve 42:4 49:20 53:7 67:22 74:20 87:7 101:6	arguments 79:20,21 83:17 arie 11:14 97:13
apap 9:14	96:22	approved 16:3	arises 86:19 arising 30:5
apart 68:4	appoint 3:9	28:5 31:3	arose 20:23
apologies 24:19 50:7	appointed 32:10 52:17	37:19 39:18 41:10 52:17	articulated 35:8

[asked - believe]

Page 6

asked	33:12 52:2 61:7 87:25 94:19,25	12:9,16 13:2 attributable 19:4	83:4 86:16 88:11,11 b.r. 63:21 85:11	based 32:20 36:15 41:6 58:4 67:2
assert	3:10	auction 21:18	baby 45:21	basically 91:4 98:21
asserted	85:5	22:16	back 16:10	basis 33:13 56:19 80:8 82:7 94:9
asserting	3:8	august 5:5,13	17:12 19:9 21:24 38:9	bear 67:5
asset	46:2	5:21	39:18 42:2,19 45:25 57:25	began 61:17 92:3,11
assets	16:1,5 16:10,15 26:19 42:25 44:7,13 46:19 47:16 58:14 77:25 79:12,16 86:15 93:1 95:12,21 96:1	authority 3:8 51:19 52:2 55:11 72:13	58:7 59:18 79:10 94:1	beginning 15:12 33:11 60:12
associated	44:15 52:25	authorized	background	behalf 3:9,10 26:5 27:8,10
assume	34:10 66:8,11	16:8,16	27:20 51:8	28:1 35:16
assuming	66:13	authorizes	53:3	38:1 42:1 44:8
assure	22:11 25:1	84:5	backwards	46:14 49:24
astonishing	62:8 82:10	authorizing	56:25 91:5	50:11 54:5,9
attached	42:13 52:12 53:8	2:2	bad 81:11	54:11 55:12
attaches	91:19	automatic 52:3 52:6 96:22	balance 15:20	60:21 62:1
attempt	92:19	available 51:1 86:9 88:5	86:25 90:1	65:8 71:11,12
attempting	93:10	91:19 97:1	balances 15:19 95:13	71:21 72:1
attorney	3:17	avenue 7:14,21 8:17 10:9	bank 57:22	81:3 83:11
attorneys	7:4 7:13,20 8:2,9 8:16 9:2,10,17 10:8,15 11:2 11:10,17 12:2	11:18	bankruptcy	87:4 97:13
		average 17:21	1:1,12,23	98:10 100:6
		averts 44:1	43:10 49:4	behlmann
		avoid 95:15	56:15 64:14	10:19
		await 37:5	84:2,3,4,5,9,12	belabor 75:1
		awarded 35:18	85:18,20 86:14	believe 24:25
		aware 35:11 37:13 87:18	bar 55:21,23 62:12,15 63:3	27:12 31:12
		92:9	63:5 66:17	42:19 43:9,25
		b	70:11 76:22	44:6,12 45:20
		b 1:21 2:18 6:21,21 9:2	77:1 82:15,19 83:1 84:18,19	46:1,5 52:20
		39:23 40:9	85:8,8 86:12	53:1 54:17
		56:22 67:9	98:18,24	60:18,25 64:24

65:12 66:18 67:1 68:5 69:13 70:2 71:19,23 73:3 74:16 81:10,20 82:5 83:2,6 87:4 88:4 89:13,23 90:17 92:20 believed 51:22 73:14 78:5 believes 75:19 bellwether 55:7 59:9,10 61:2,17 81:24 82:4 83:7 belong 47:16 bench 37:17 beneficial 100:5 benefit 44:16 75:20 89:5 benefits 48:22 51:12,14,19 89:21 90:2 bernstein 12:20 63:21 best 21:5 22:13 22:19 43:11 47:12 49:6 50:1 53:2,21 65:10 74:1 better 32:20 33:14 77:16 80:24 bid 19:21 22:5 22:13 23:21	25:2,6,7 bidder 23:4,11 23:22 bidders 21:5,9 23:9 bidding 21:1 22:6 bids 19:24 20:1 20:2,3,7,8,13 20:16 21:3,6 21:11,14,15,16 21:19,25 22:20 24:5,7 95:8 billing 25:20 billion 65:24 billions 58:20 bit 17:21 19:8 33:7 51:7 56:24,25 93:12 96:6 bitcoin 18:25 19:5,11,13 bleeding 45:22 48:10 block 40:3 blockchain 5:12 blue 24:6 bob 7:25 body 76:16 borrowers 8:16 bottom 18:1 34:4 bound 23:19 61:5	bowling 1:13 box 50:21 64:8 breakdown 45:3 breakup 22:22 brian 9:21 brief 68:11 71:6 81:6 83:23 briefing 52:4 briefly 39:4 48:18 49:3 67:6 68:9 bring 40:11 54:11,23 56:1 62:1 65:8 66:10 71:11,18 74:5 92:7 brings 73:3 87:5 broad 12:17 78:19 broadcast 59:12 60:2 broadcasts 59:5 brought 54:20 55:14 bunch 21:12 burden 61:14 74:2 burdensome 100:2 bureau 12:16 business 17:11 43:11 53:1 56:5,11 60:10	60:11 62:2 96:17 buy 58:11 bye 41:18 c c 7:1 14:1 96:15 102:1,1 ca 8:4 12:4 call 21:12 24:25 called 31:20 59:13 97:8 calls 24:6 60:15 camera 50:19 50:20 canceled 38:17 capacity 70:3,9 capital 63:11 career 32:19 careful 55:24 carefully 40:14 55:15 carpenter 12:15 carries 47:1 case 1:3 4:18 11:16 12:1,8 18:17 19:1,7 22:11 30:13,15 30:20,20 32:12 33:8,10,12,16 33:18,25 34:2 34:7,9,13 35:10,16,18 48:2,5,17 54:5 63:21 64:14
---	---	---	--

[case - claims]

Page 8

71:2 78:13	cert 97:20	changes 23:5	61:17 62:13
82:23 84:12	certain 80:7	chaotic 33:11	63:2,5,24 65:8
92:3 98:10	certainly 22:22	33:12	65:11,24 66:9
cases 53:16	23:1 24:8 25:6	characterizat...	66:17 69:3
55:1 60:12	30:13,18,19,22	38:5	70:12,16 71:12
64:6 71:10	33:25 35:6,15	charged 63:14	71:21,24 72:12
72:16 78:12	41:14 46:3	chicago 7:6	72:14 77:2,10
80:7 84:11	82:12,20 84:2	12:11 13:4	81:19,19 82:16
cash 17:13,16	85:13 86:2,16	chief 60:3,3	83:1,20 84:8
18:17	88:8,18 94:7	choose 99:13	84:21,23 85:6
cashflows	99:15,16	chris 7:10 13:8	85:17 97:14,20
19:14	certainties	14:23 15:1	98:3,24 99:12
casual 27:21	52:22	81:4 93:15	101:8
cause 51:13	certificate	christopher	claimants
causes 42:24	62:14	8:9 14:14,23	44:10 59:9,10
cdp 8:2	certification	50:16	61:5 86:3,11
cede 28:8 50:7	61:13 66:10,19	cir 85:21	claims 3:9
54:1 86:24	66:23 67:4	circle 63:20	42:24 43:1
cell 57:15	74:21,22,25	circuit 49:8	45:21 46:4,19
celsius 1:7 2:21	77:19,20 80:15	53:17 85:18	51:16,25 52:23
2:21 3:2,3 13:8	84:1 85:9	circumstance	54:13,21 55:5
16:4,8,12	98:19 99:11,14	79:17	55:8,17 56:12
35:13,16 51:10	99:17	circumstances	56:24 61:15,16
51:25 56:4,10	certified 62:6	35:17 49:13	61:18 62:1,9
57:17,22,24	70:16 72:7,16	55:16 84:24	63:4 64:2,8,9
58:3,6,20,22	80:12 83:21	95:4	67:11 69:10,11
59:3,16,20,22	84:15 86:19	cited 63:20	69:13 70:19,21
60:1,3,6,10,11	98:24 102:3	city 17:20	70:25 71:25
62:2 69:19	certify 61:23	claim 2:20 3:2	72:25 73:15,16
70:6,7 93:9	cetera 96:17	3:8,10 35:10	73:18 74:6,8
95:2,17 96:16	chance 30:2	35:15 43:3,5,6	74:12,14,16
censorship	98:14	43:7 46:1	81:23 82:1,4,7
60:4	change 16:13	47:14 51:24	82:8,16,18
center 9:11	22:5 23:16	52:16,25 54:9	83:8 84:14,25
centerview 4:7	24:1 38:8 39:1	54:11,16 55:11	85:1,3,4,4,5,25
ceo 14:11,22	45:2	56:1,13,14,19	86:2,12 96:14
15:1 69:19,21		56:20,22 60:22	

[clarify - committees]

Page 9

clarify 57:7	85:2 89:6	57:11,12 66:16	20:2,11,17
class 3:8,10	clearly 77:13	67:13 75:10	21:4 23:10
10:15 47:1	clerk 14:17	76:14 83:3,7	26:22 27:24
54:9,11 55:11	24:18	83:16 86:20,22	28:1 34:22
56:1 60:22	client 98:2	88:21,25 89:1	38:2 42:8
61:12,23 62:6	clients 66:25	98:5,7,9,9	45:18 48:18
62:13,25 66:9	67:1	colodny's	49:17,23,24
66:10,19,22	clock 41:15	70:13 75:22	52:19 54:1,6
67:4 69:2	close 55:9 80:5	come 24:5 32:8	60:21 63:12,15
70:15,16,17	89:15	38:9 44:10	63:19,22,24
71:3,4,11,17	cnnbc 59:7	65:13 67:9	64:3,12 65:23
71:18 72:8,11	cnl 57:1 58:5,7	74:21 80:18	66:9 67:8
73:5 74:21,22	58:15 59:2	comes 18:7	68:11 69:2,13
74:25 75:21	65:1 69:14,18	30:19	70:13 71:5,8
77:19,20,21,22	69:22 73:9,16	comfort 32:14	71:10,11,17
78:24 80:11,12	73:23	97:22	72:1,22 73:13
80:15 81:19	cnl's 56:8	comfortable	74:9,10,11
83:20,21 84:1	57:13 59:14	30:6	75:19,20 76:9
84:1,8,15,18	code 56:15	coming 20:3	77:9,15,21,24
85:5,9,17,23	88:10	21:23 27:14	78:3,13 79:5,6
86:8,18 96:14	cohen 13:6	43:23 44:4	79:7,11,19
97:14,20 98:19	26:9	55:24 71:1	80:9,11,13,17
98:24 99:10,10	coin 17:21	96:5,9	81:18,21 82:4
99:11,14,17	coins 43:22	comment	82:8 83:4,5,10
101:8	44:1	32:11 35:3	83:19 86:1
classes 47:7	collateralized	55:13 81:14	87:10 88:22
72:16	58:22 59:5	88:7	89:8,23 90:8
classified 47:8	colleague	comments 24:4	98:10 99:25
classifying	14:13 25:15	27:3,18 29:19	100:4 101:8
92:6	27:12 50:8	32:20 33:24	committee's
clear 21:17	collective	36:15,15 52:18	34:15 55:2
23:17 37:16	54:25 65:2,10	55:4 56:23	61:9 71:1
39:19 53:18	65:16 86:8	65:19	75:16 86:7
62:19 65:22	99:25 100:6	committee	97:25
69:14 72:22	colloquy 75:12	2:10,25 3:7	committees
77:5 78:24	colodny 12:6	4:20 5:4,13,20	71:20
79:2,22,23	54:4,5,7,8 57:8	11:17 12:2,9	

[common - contemplates]

Page 10

common 61:25 82:8 83:10 85:4	completed 15:14 92:12	conferences 59:4	consider 84:13 85:21 91:2 95:5
communicati... 35:1	completely 76:17,17 81:15	confident 31:9	consideration 36:9 55:25 94:19,25
communicati... 69:25	complex 72:20	confidentiality 20:8,9	considerations 85:15,22
company 51:16 56:6 58:11 93:11	complexity 48:5 61:13,14	confirmation 55:19 61:11	considered 46:16 49:13 53:14 55:16 62:11 85:14
company's 16:24 18:15	compliance 23:2 24:9	confirmed 66:23 73:5	considering 20:1 74:19,20 85:16
compare 21:11 44:23	complicated 49:16 100:2	confirming 30:17 61:2	consistent 29:1 64:21 72:6 75:16
compared 27:21 83:7	concede 73:10	conflict 63:19	constantly 59:3
compares 44:19	conceded 71:2	conflicts 79:2	constituencies 63:13
compel 65:4	conceivably 23:5	confusing 47:6	constituency 70:20 78:14,24
compelling 76:15	concern 65:14	connection 26:17 35:9	constituents 55:21 75:20
compensation 3:15,23 4:6,12 4:18 5:3,11,19 29:2,21 30:1,3 30:7	concerned 36:1	conciliator 43:6 46:25	constructive 76:11 77:16,22
compete 21:20 95:9	concerns 26:24	47:3 88:3	constructive 79:8 100:5
competing 20:13 95:8	concluded 37:21 49:15	connor 6:20	consulting 6:2 6:5
competition 20:6	100:15	88:10	consuming 48:24 100:2
complaint 56:20 62:23 72:15 74:23	conclusion 35:24	consensual 28:15,19 38:4	contact 29:20 93:1,18 94:15
complete 34:8 92:21	concretely 35:14	42:10 43:13	contemplates 52:14
	condition 51:14	45:19 46:6	
	conducive 61:4	49:25	
	conducted 61:9	consensually 32:11 90:13	
	conference 6:9	consent 89:8	
	6:16,20 86:25	consequences 64:13	
	88:1,25	consequently 53:22	

[contemplating - court]

Page 11

contemplating	cooperative	57:2 63:22	40:11,22 41:20
21:4	32:25	67:21 77:20,21	41:24 43:18
contest	cooperatively	77:22 78:3	44:7,17 45:14
54:19	89:9,11 100:4	79:21 80:9,12	46:7 47:17,20
contested	copy	80:17 85:24	47:22 48:11,14
84:2	14:10	87:9 88:4,8	48:16,19 49:1
84:5 90:14	29:19	91:9 97:25	49:5 50:9,18
context	core	98:14 99:3,8	50:21 51:3,3
36:13	17:7 18:3	18:9	51:22 52:3
continue	cornell	27:12,13	53:5,12,22
15:21	11:6	cornell's 55:14	54:3,7,16
20:11 28:17	56:23	56:23	56:21 57:4,8
29:24 30:23	corp	63:20	57:10 60:21,23
44:9 93:20,22	correct	43:21,25 44:4	61:7,25 64:18
continued	30:10	44:24 50:25	65:6,15 66:3,6
90:7	44:24	61:18 78:5	66:9 67:5,14
continues	50:25	92:10	67:18 68:13,22
30:13	corresponding	34:5 78:18	69:7,21,24
continuing	93:17	81:18	70:10 73:12,24
40:5 87:9	cost	44:15 46:4	74:17 75:7,14
contract	48:6,7,22	14:2,8,12,16	75:24 76:1,3
3:8	52:21,22 77:12	14:18 15:3,6	76:20,22,25
55:17 61:15	77:13,14 100:9	16:3,24 18:14	77:5,23 78:8
85:3	costly	99:7	78:11,17 79:10
contractual	99:7	18:24 19:15,20	79:16,19,24
48:2	costs	20:22 22:21	80:2,14,21,23
contractually	17:15	24:11,15,22	80:25 81:12
73:18	44:9 45:22	25:10,13 26:2	83:13,16 84:3
contrary	counsel	27:7,23 28:3	84:5 85:18
78:13	3:22	28:11,13 29:11	86:19 87:2,16
contribution	4:2,20 5:4,20	30:9 31:6,11	88:7 90:3,11
6:23	23:10,10 27:17	31:15,18 32:1	90:14,20 91:8
controversial	27:21 29:18,20	32:13 33:23	91:20,25 92:2
91:13	32:6,8,16 34:6	35:12 37:6	92:5 93:4,13
conversations	34:14,15 35:11	39:17 40:2,4	93:23 94:3,8
20:11 26:22	39:17 40:2,4	41:8,12,14	94:16,21,24
62:20	41:8,12,14	43:19 52:18	95:18,23 96:10
convince	43:19 52:18	37:16,21 38:9	
56:10	38:14,20,23	38:14,20,23	
cooperate	39:4,11 40:6	39:4,11 40:6	
87:23			
cooperation			
32:6 33:20			
88:16 89:4			

[court - debtor]

Page 12

96:19 97:4,7 97:11,24 98:7 98:20 99:1,4,9 99:19 100:10 100:13 court's 16:11 28:16 88:19 98:18 courts 84:8,13 cover 90:16 covered 17:25 cramdown 95:14 created 47:5 creating 47:11 creditor 13:9 13:10,11,12,13 13:14 46:5 47:25 62:21 63:12 64:4,15 64:16 70:7 79:3 80:9 82:14 90:24 92:2,9 95:19 96:24 creditor's 63:12,24 71:10 71:11 77:9 creditors 2:25 3:7 4:21 5:5,13 5:21 11:17 12:2,9 22:8 43:24 44:2,2 44:18,20 45:5 48:6,7 54:6,22 60:13 63:4,15 63:19,23 64:1	64:2,6,25,25 65:5 70:12 71:12,20,21 75:17 76:9,16 77:2,15,21 78:2 79:3,5,7 79:13 80:11,13 80:17 82:20,24 83:9,11 85:17 86:6,13,15 91:3 92:4 93:9 95:3,12,14 96:4 98:11 criteria 25:4 critical 69:14 75:11 cross 51:2 crypto 26:16 59:20 61:20 crystal 77:5 current 15:6 18:15 23:4 42:16 87:23 currently 16:5 29:10,11 curtailment 17:19 custodial 9:17 custody 15:7,9 15:14 16:2,2,4 16:5,10,13,15 16:17 42:22 43:17 44:20,20 45:5,6 46:22 92:8,11,15 93:1	customer 73:15,16,18 85:25 86:1 92:21,23 customers 15:18,19,22 44:8 57:24,25 58:8,13,21 60:11 62:8 71:23 72:2 73:11,13 97:19 cut 24:11 75:8 94:10	days 20:4 21:10,23 62:20 67:24 68:25 71:3 72:19 83:1 96:5,9 dc 7:15 9:4,4 dd'ing 41:4 de 13:12 39:14 deadline 19:22 21:5 22:2,3,17 22:24 53:10 55:23 deal 17:6 26:3 d d 6:21 14:1 88:11 101:1 damages 73:7 daniel 13:14 96:16 date 18:19 28:22 43:4 51:9,20 55:21 55:23 62:12,15 63:3,6 66:17 70:11 76:22 77:1 82:15,19 83:1 84:18,19 85:8 86:12 87:19 95:21 98:18,24 102:25 dates 52:8 david 8:20 11:21 day 7:24 8:1 42:18 47:4 55:24 57:14
--	--	--	--

[debtor's - discussion]

Page 13

debtor's 3:17 14:22 19:22 26:6 29:4 43:11 53:21 55:7 87:7,21 88:14 91:9 93:6,19 debtors 2:1,10 2:15,22 3:22 3:22 6:10,11 19:24 20:1,10 20:17 23:10 26:5,14,16,18 26:19 29:18 34:14 42:2 43:2 45:18 46:15 47:14 50:12,14 51:11 51:15,18 52:2 52:10,20,25 54:15,18 55:17 60:19 61:16,17 61:18 62:16 64:19 67:1,17 69:12 73:7,9 73:20,21 78:3 82:17 83:5 87:4,22 89:1 89:23 90:18 91:16 92:8,20 93:15 98:15 december 19:11 45:25 deceptive 54:14 62:2 decide 82:15	decided 22:15 deciding 20:3 88:24 decision 22:1 45:10 49:8,10 56:2 65:25 72:24 declaration 26:11,12,14 27:1,18 50:16 50:23 51:4 53:11 declined 17:18 defend 73:22 defendant 73:21 defending 52:23 73:21 definitely 36:13 80:6 defrauded 62:9 71:24 delay 20:25 65:14 66:21 deliver 22:13 demonstrate 56:4 57:20 demonstrates 56:18 denial 99:17 denied 55:20 97:20 99:11,15 dennis 9:7 deny 73:1 denying 86:10 department 10:1 11:1	deployed 17:2 18:1,3,5,6 deposit 59:20 depositors 95:2 95:7 deposits 95:12 described 53:15 71:5 design 87:11 designate 93:24 despite 67:7 detailed 89:22 details 17:24 20:13 determination 47:15 60:24 89:22,24 determine 46:17 49:5 89:3 determined 55:25 determining 84:13 detriment 43:24 64:16 86:12 deutsch 12:15 deviate 31:1 devised 73:1 83:8 differences 43:16 90:8 different 16:17 19:24 20:16 21:16 22:5,20	23:4 46:17 50:22 71:5 difficulties 76:15 difficulty 55:8 diligence 24:6 direct 84:6 directly 21:20 94:11 director 26:10 directors 73:10 disability 52:1 disagree 38:6 61:24 disclose 20:12 disclosure 20:25 22:2 23:23 26:18 45:1 47:4 66:2 89:8 discontinued 92:23 discovery 61:4 67:3 discretion 84:3 84:12 discriminated 52:1 discuss 98:14 discussed 17:24 74:24 95:25 discussing 89:6 97:18 discussion 23:18 30:4 85:12
---	---	--	---

[discussions - employees]

Page 14

discussions	documented	earned	elect
23:12,19 28:18	58:18	43:5 44:8	16:6 election 16:19
30:23 32:25	documenting	easier 44:23	46:9,15,23
37:7 40:5,7	42:12	ebitda 17:9,11	47:4
87:9 88:22	doing 32:16	19:9,11	element 62:4
90:7	60:17 72:23	ecf 6:23 32:3	elements 74:19
dispute 47:14	dollar 65:24	50:24 51:4	elementus 5:10
92:10	dollars 58:21	53:8,11 88:12	eligible 15:12
disputed 55:18	doubt 86:18	economic	15:22 16:5,9
disputes 60:19	draw 70:4	17:19	16:17,21 96:4
66:24	drive 10:16	ecro 1:25	eliminated
dissatisfied	12:10 13:3	edited 60:7	30:14
72:24	driven 17:14	editing 60:1	elizabeth 7:24
distinction	due 17:18	effect 85:19	26:4 42:1
70:4	dunne 9:7	effective 2:4	46:14
distributable	duties 63:10	52:21 100:8	ellis 3:16,16
15:16 16:9	64:21 65:3	effectively	7:3,12,19 26:5
distribution	71:20	17:11 19:12	42:1 46:14
16:12 86:15	duty 63:14	92:5	50:11 81:5
district 1:2	75:16 76:10	efficiency	87:4
53:16	78:4 79:8	65:15 73:4	email 60:2
divergent	dynamics 80:6	74:5 76:18	92:20
63:13	e	81:22 82:12	emailed 94:14
doc 2:11,16,23	e 1:21,21 7:1,1	83:7	emails 15:21
3:4,11,17 4:2,8	8:10 14:1,1	efficient 55:2	15:24 60:14
4:14,22 5:6,14	101:1 102:1	61:4 82:6,13	82:21 92:24
5:22 6:6,12,24	earlier 75:8	83:2,12 100:9	93:5,7,8,21,25
docket 2:5	early 17:2,6,7	effort 35:9	embodied
14:10 16:13	18:4 32:19	79:9	52:12
20:24 22:18	earn 10:8 43:6	efforts 38:11	embodies
26:8,12,25	43:24 44:2,20	38:12 49:23	98:17
27:1,5 32:4	44:25 45:4,5	either 23:3	employ 26:7
39:24 40:3,4	46:2 70:11	28:2 30:14	employee 6:11
42:4,10,13,19	77:25 79:12,16	41:6 46:8 64:9	50:14 87:7,20
51:5 53:8 87:6	92:15 99:23	88:3 89:17	88:4
88:9,12,15	100:3,7	90:12 93:23	employees
91:18		94:11 99:12	51:12,15 87:23

[employees - expected]

Page 15

89:3 employer 51:9 employment 2:3 51:25 52:17 empower 95:11 encouraged 34:14 49:5 encouraging 74:12 ended 17:1 energy 17:15 engage 87:9 england 51:11 english 8:15 72:4 enron 71:9 ensure 65:4 enter 43:12 53:22,23 68:24 entered 41:12 47:2 49:22 86:21 99:14 entire 56:8 78:24 entirely 50:2 64:21 76:16 entities 73:14 96:18 entitled 51:22 88:18 entity 69:10 85:1 entry 2:2,9,14 2:19,25 6:10 27:5 29:25	43:2,15 52:19 envisioned 97:19 equally 25:7 equitable 44:6 46:18 49:6 53:2 65:4 85:15,19,21 86:15 equitably 64:1 equity 54:18 54:24 61:12,22 62:7 63:8 73:1 73:22 ernst 39:23 essence 62:22 establish 56:21 70:3 establishing 2:19 3:1 84:17 estate 25:21 44:8 45:11 46:3 49:7 53:3 53:19,21 61:5 65:12 73:9 77:12,13 78:1 78:6 79:12,17 85:10 89:5,21 90:2 estates 61:16 estimate 3:1 estimated 44:18 estimating 67:11 estimation 2:20 55:19	68:11 et 96:17 etcetera 23:9 77:12 evaluate 25:5 evaluated 79:20 evaluating 20:3 event 51:12 everybody 14:3 22:11,14 25:1 47:9 79:18 evidence 50:15 51:4,6 56:16 56:21 58:3 64:22 evidentiary 52:8 exact 41:11 88:15 exactly 21:15 61:16 79:17 94:5 examine 51:2 examiner 6:3 8:9 28:9,15,25 29:3,15,20 32:7,9,15 37:6 38:3,8 40:4 41:7 58:2 64:18,20 examiner's 29:7,9 32:3 54:17 56:3,15 58:18 60:8	62:22 examiners 32:12 39:1 example 41:2 66:13 excellent 33:1 exchange 43:1 52:15 92:20 exchanging 93:5,7,8,21 exclusive 49:7 57:20 excuse 18:3 57:2 76:22 excused 41:16 execute 51:15 executed 17:3 25:7 executing 42:13 executives 96:15,16 exercise 53:1 84:11 exhibit 39:23 52:12 53:9 exist 54:19 64:25 existence 64:24 69:20 expect 17:5 18:5,6 21:22 22:17 82:25 84:19 96:5,9 expected 15:18 52:22
---	--	---	---

expecting	extraordinarily	86:14	41:15
23:18	90:25	fairly 31:9 78:1	feel 27:20
expeditious	extremely	89:14	fees 6:22 16:17
22:10	32:25 34:7	fan 32:12	29:16,19,25
expense	89:22 91:7	faqs 74:13	35:5,9,18,23
expenses	f	far 16:20 35:25	35:23,25 36:7
3:24	f 1:21 12:13	61:14 65:12	37:1,14,15,19
4:19 5:4,12,20	102:1	68:4 82:13	40:19,23 87:21
6:22 44:9 89:4	f.3d 49:9 85:21	83:2,11	87:22 88:12,14
89:7	face 65:2	fashion 54:22	88:16 89:21
expensive	facility 17:20	fate 95:15	feld 3:21 4:1
49:17 53:19	fact 31:2 32:14	favor 62:13	fell 95:2
experience	34:3,11 37:19	85:16	ferraro 13:8
34:1 55:6	49:4 58:23	favorable	14:11,20,23
95:17	59:21 60:6,19	19:13	15:1,1,3,4,5,8
experienced	64:25 65:3	favored 49:4	16:23 17:1
56:18	67:7 71:23	fear 95:14	18:12,14,16,24
expert	77:18 84:12	february 2:4	19:6 50:16,24
32:15	89:22 92:11	15:8 17:2,10	51:1,4
explain	94:20 95:1	17:14 28:24	ferraro's 53:11
43:15	factor 62:5,11	federal 22:25	fiduciaries
explained	62:12 85:7,7	23:13 24:24	66:11
26:13 27:1	factors 46:17	fee 3:21 4:18	fiduciary 3:10
42:15	49:8,12,14	5:2,10,18 6:2	54:11 60:22
explaining	53:14,16 84:13	8:9 25:18,21	63:10,14 64:21
20:25	85:10,11,13	25:22,22,25	65:3,8 71:19
explicit	facts 55:11,16	26:1 28:9,15	75:16 76:10
97:21	56:2,4 64:19	28:16,21,23,25	78:3 79:8
explicitly	64:23 84:16	29:2,5,6,9,12	fight 55:1,6
63:21	94:9	29:13,15,19	61:8 83:3
65:19	factual 56:19	31:13,14,22	figure 24:17
explore	failed 56:5	32:5,6,9,12,13	file 3:8 22:2,17
35:13	fair 44:1,6	32:15 33:13	29:10 31:25
express	46:18 47:25	35:21 36:9,14	33:10 35:9
48:20	49:6,15 53:2	36:18 37:6,23	54:9 55:11
expressed	53:20 55:1,6	38:3,6,7 39:1,9	56:13,14 60:22
39:1	61:7 80:4 83:3	39:17,24 41:7	62:22 63:2,4

[file - frustration]

Page 17

66:9,17,20	filings	34:16	flower	8:3 12:3	57:16 63:1
69:2 70:16,19	final	21:5	focus	59:11	66:13,18 80:8
71:20 72:1,17		22:16,17 37:15		84:22	89:19 91:5,7
74:12,14 77:2		37:19,20,23	focused	21:13	94:8 97:23
77:9,18 78:23		39:8		22:12 25:8	99:25 100:3
81:6 82:16,22	finalize	22:7	focuses	75:17	found
82:25 83:5,20	finally	65:18	follow	14:4	44:7
84:20 91:2,18	finals	31:24		38:7	58:2 59:13
97:19 99:12	financial	6:3	following	18:3	62:7 63:11
101:8		10:1 13:9	forcefully		92:19
filed		18:15 58:23		77:24,25	four
14:10	find	57:12	foregoing	102:3	15:20 20:1
16:12 19:2		82:10 89:25			72:19 92:12,13
20:24,24 26:8	fine	14:16	foremost	25:4	92:16
26:12,21 27:1		33:16 37:2,16	forensics	5:12	fours
27:5,17 28:4		90:13 93:25	forever	20:14	85:18
28:25 34:24		98:20	forges	49:16	fraction
35:16 36:5	finish	40:1	form	16:19	84:20
39:13,14,24	finished	15:13		27:19 34:23	framework
42:4,10,18		40:7		65:21 98:1	89:2
43:20 49:11	first	3:14,20	formal	52:6	frankly
51:20,20,24		4:5,11,17 5:1		78:24	81:21
53:7,11 55:14		5:10,17 6:1	format	49:21	82:10
64:8 68:10		15:19 25:3,11		74:12,14,16	fraud
70:12,21,25		26:3 28:21		84:17,23	54:14
71:24 72:13		31:13 32:2	formed	51:10	61:15,19 62:10
74:8,23 76:6,8		39:23 44:3,4	former	50:14	69:10,13 73:11
79:1 80:3		45:17 57:21		87:23	friday
81:18,20,24,24		58:2 66:19	forms	89:12	89:13
82:16,18 85:17		99:24	formulating		frishberg
86:6 87:6 96:5	firsthand	65:3		2:22	13:14 39:4,6,7
96:8,21 97:6	fixing	58:11	forth	49:8 94:1	frishberg's
97:14 98:2,3	fled	58:11	forward	6:16	35:4
filings				21:6 22:5	front
20:25	floor	8:3 10:2,9		23:21,22 25:2	14:3 32:3
26:24 29:18		11:11 13:3		31:16 32:24	42:9 93:14
63:10 75:21	flow	17:13 33:1		33:21 42:3	fruits
82:22 91:15				43:5 52:21	frustrating
96:4					94:1

full 30:2 42:9 46:24 74:21 85:5 89:8	gentry 85:20 getting 18:20 44:21,22 giant 32:12 give 14:11 27:14 39:13 49:3 68:22 83:23 85:19 88:8 95:11 96:19,23 given 14:14 26:18 33:17 44:1,6,12,25 45:9 47:13 52:22 65:10 67:7 70:17,19 83:9 99:12 gives 54:25 giving 68:25 glad 49:25 glenn 1:22 94:19 global 52:3 60:23 71:1 84:10	85:12 87:2 88:25 91:25 94:24 96:2,15 99:20 goal 21:25 godfrey 8:8 goes 23:21 48:8 64:15 70:13 86:13 going 6:16 14:4 14:19 15:25 20:9 21:5,6,17 21:24 22:16 23:19 25:2,14 30:4 31:8,16 31:23 32:19,20 33:18,19,21 36:16,17,21 38:4 39:18 41:21 43:5 44:16 47:7 57:5 61:20 65:25 66:13 67:19 68:25 70:22 73:8,10 73:20,21 74:5 77:3,11,11,20 77:22 82:6,13 83:10,21,24 86:23 88:22 89:21 91:4,6 93:12 94:1 96:5,23 97:1 97:16,23 98:4 98:24 100:13 good 14:2,6 15:3,4 17:12	24:1 26:4 27:10 28:10,11 28:12 41:18,25 43:13 50:10 54:4 71:16 76:18 81:4 96:12 99:21,21 government 2:1,8,13,18,24 3:6,14,20 4:5 4:11,17 5:1,9 5:17 6:1,9 gracious 31:20 grant 80:15 granted 72:10 101:5,7,9 granting 2:5 2:11,15 3:3 6:11 83:19 85:16 grants 66:9 77:20 graph 18:1 great 14:9 15:5 18:22 19:17 25:19 32:14 86:13 91:20 94:16 greatest 52:22 green 1:13 gregory 12:13 28:1 gross 17:13 59:18 group 2:11 8:16 9:10,17 10:8 16:4 42:8
g g 14:1 gallagher 59:11,20,21,23 60:5 general 20:20 43:23 44:2,20 46:2,2 57:23 generally 63:15 84:13 91:8 generating 20:5	go 14:24 23:10 24:15,22 25:2 25:13 26:2,3 28:13 31:6 32:24 37:11 39:5,20 42:2 46:12 48:16 49:11 50:22,22 51:6 54:7 56:24 57:10 65:10 69:1,6,7 80:8 81:15		

42:22,25 44:2 44:13 45:7,17 46:5,21 47:14 47:17 64:4,8 79:9 99:23 group's 42:18 groups 79:4 guess 40:6 97:24 99:20,22 guide 63:16 gump 3:21 4:1	happy 28:14 41:13 43:15 50:2 53:3 67:16 70:11,20 88:1 93:21 hard 42:11 46:16 hardin 17:4 harmful 47:6 hashing 17:5 haste 55:15 56:23 76:7 79:1 hauer 3:21 4:1 healthier 19:8 hear 14:7 24:12,13 30:18 54:6 68:14 69:5 78:10 81:1,2,13 83:18 88:2 91:24 heard 32:2,17 37:25 38:25 39:12 40:23 45:15 47:23 48:12 53:6 75:8 79:24 80:25 81:1,3 88:18 90:4 91:23 100:1,11 100:12 hearing 2:1,1,8 2:8,13,13,18 2:18,24 3:6,6 3:14,14,20,20 4:5,5,11,11,17	4:17 5:1,1,9,9 5:9,17,17 6:1,1 6:9,17,20,25 28:21 29:23 30:6,21 31:2,4 31:9 37:23 40:9,13,17 42:7 45:24 51:5 52:8,8 55:5 66:7 68:20 69:17 80:15 87:14,19 89:14 91:1,4 91:11 hearings 26:1 81:25 91:13 95:25 heart 56:11 heavy 48:24 hedge 95:5,10 95:14 held 6:17 16:10 39:18 42:25 51:16 85:18 helpful 43:17 57:6,6 76:6 90:25 91:3,7 heras 13:12 39:14 hermann 47:23 47:24 48:11 herrmann 13:11 47:25 90:22,23,23 91:10,14 hershey 11:22	higher 15:18 15:20 17:16 highlight 56:25 highly 85:22 86:7 hirschberg's 29:16 historically 51:11 hit 22:3 hitting 27:11 30:1 hoc 2:11 8:16 9:10,17 10:8 16:4 42:8,18 42:22,25 44:13 45:17 46:21 47:14,17 49:17 49:24 54:22 99:23 holcomb 91:22 91:22,24 92:1 92:2 93:4,8,18 93:24 94:2,4,8 94:13 hold 35:22 36:7 40:18 holdback 29:25 30:12,12 36:17 holder 79:3 holder's 2:19 holders 3:9,11 9:2,10,17 10:8 16:18 44:20,21 45:17 46:1,18 46:19 54:10,11
h h 91:24 hadley 9:1 half 45:7 hallmark 64:11 halls 55:22 hamilton 9:9 hamlin 7:17 hand 18:18 handful 37:18 37:21 70:21 handle 25:18 25:22 26:20 86:24 handled 88:17 hands 74:10 81:2,9 happen 70:22 72:6 77:3 78:11 happened 38:19 59:15 70:18 happens 52:21 71:15 78:15			

[holders - independent]

Page 20

54:13,18,24,25 55:12 56:5,6,9 58:10,14,15 59:1,19 61:12 61:22 62:8,16 62:17,20 63:8 65:9,17,22 66:1,14 67:9 73:22 82:9,18 86:17 99:23 100:3 hon 1:22 honor 14:7,10 14:13,21 15:4 18:19 19:6,17 19:21,23 20:7 20:20 21:7,15 22:1,15 24:3 24:13,23 25:9 25:12,17 26:4 26:6,9,13,21 27:2,4,9,25 28:7,12 31:7 31:22 32:18 33:22 36:12 37:9 38:1 39:22 41:18,25 42:6,11,15,23 43:8,14 44:3 44:25 45:16,24 46:13,15 47:24 48:13 50:4,6 50:10,25 51:7 53:3,25 54:4,8 56:12 57:2,3 57:12 63:1,11 63:20 66:3,5	67:13,15,17 68:8,9 69:4,8 69:16,23 70:2 70:24 71:1,7 71:15,19,22 72:6,9 73:4,17 74:1,3,11,18 74:19,22,24 75:5,9 76:5 77:4,7,8,18,19 78:20 79:4 80:24 81:4,17 81:22 83:15 86:22,23 87:6 87:8,15,17,18 87:25 88:6 89:1,13,16 90:5,17,24 91:14,17 92:1 93:16 94:13 96:3 97:5,15 98:5,9 99:18 99:21 honor's 25:18 55:4 72:24 98:18 hope 89:25 95:15 100:7 hoped 20:5 hopeful 87:12 hopefully 23:23 28:18 33:21 82:22 90:12 100:4 hoping 22:3 97:21	horse 19:22,25 20:4 23:25 hosted 17:4 hosting 17:3,6 18:4 huron 6:2,5 hyde 102:3,8 hypothetical 66:8 i ico 57:14 idea 33:14 92:4 identify 14:23 97:7,12 98:7,8 idly 63:20 ignat 11:10 97:6,14 ii 2:5,11,15 3:3 3:9 6:11 il 7:6 12:11 13:4 illiquid 26:16 imagine 36:22 immanuel 13:11 47:24 90:23 immediately 18:3 impact 18:8 19:13 23:5 important 20:8 20:16 23:3,7 23:20 25:6,7 34:8,17,21 35:2 59:25 importantly 20:7 61:24	impose 61:13 61:16 68:20 imposing 68:2 68:16 impressing 76:12 improve 21:24 inappropriate 81:15 incentive 6:11 87:7 include 63:25 84:25 87:19 98:16 included 72:14 87:11 98:5,12 includes 84:7 including 3:25 6:4 51:25 52:8 54:18 69:12 79:20 92:4 income 17:11 inconsistent 71:19 increase 18:2,5 18:18 19:3,4 increased 17:21 18:25 incredible 32:22 incurred 6:23 35:9 independent 54:10 56:18 64:18,20,23 65:7 66:11 77:17
---	---	--	--

indicate	39:19	instruction	40:21	invariably	94:5 95:23
indicated	52:4	instructive	62:6	investigate	issues 23:6
indiscernible	14:17 15:21 16:19 17:18 18:22 37:1 50:17 65:18 79:10 81:11 93:2,3 97:4	integrity	77:14	investigation	25:22 32:8 33:2,7 34:17 35:6,15 37:18
individual	39:21 61:15 71:21 82:7,14 83:9 87:20 88:4 96:16 97:20	intend	62:1	intended	20:5
induce	59:19	intensive	89:22	intention	96:13 98:12
indulgences	27:15	intent	75:12	investigations	73:5 74:3,25
inefficient	75:2	intention	99:15	investigator	83:25 88:19
inevitability	61:21	interactions	33:13	investing	90:20 91:5,6
inflating	74:6	intercompany	2:20 3:2 67:11	investment	98:4
inform	19:23 55:20 56:5	interest	20:6	investments	it'll 19:19 31:2 33:21
informal	27:3	interested	21:2	involved	item 17:25
information	29:20 33:1	interests	63:14		25:16 26:6
informed	55:4 55:6		63:15		42:3 87:5
initial	55:7	interim	3:15		items 87:17
instance	63:23 75:5		3:21 4:6,12,18		ivene 13:10
instances	30:14 37:18 59:5 71:12		5:2,10,18 6:2		95:18,19,19
institutions	58:23,25	international	15:1 28:16,21	j	
			28:23 29:2,13	j	8:20
			29:21,25 30:3	james	13:13
			30:7 36:14,19		98:22
			37:14,19 39:8	january	17:7
			39:24 40:24		18:4
			41:10 50:16	jason	10:12
			interview		13:10 95:19
			59:12	jeffrey	12:20
			81:23 83:20	jenner	40:3
			84:10 88:24	jennifer	10:5
			92:7 93:11,19	jersey	12:16

[joint - leblanc]

Page 22

joint 2:8	kirkland 3:16	98:23 99:19	kyc 15:9,11,13 15:22 92:12,22
jones 7:24 8:1 25:15 26:3,4,5 27:7 41:22,23 41:25 42:1 44:3,24 45:19 46:7,11,12,13 46:14 47:19,21 48:21	3:16 7:3,12,19 26:5 35:5,11 36:7,19 37:7 42:1 46:14 50:11 81:5 87:4 94:12	known 20:17 20:18 23:25 24:1 62:9 84:17	I
joshua 8:6	kirkland's	knows 33:5 81:22	la 7:5 land 85:11 language 72:4 98:6,12,17
joyce 99:22	35:23,25	koenig 7:10 14:14 81:3,4,5	large 72:18 75:18
jude 63:21	knew 55:23	81:10,15,17	largely 76:16
judge 1:23 27:11,11 31:5 31:10,19 37:10 76:13 78:10 79:23 80:4 81:8 94:18,19 94:22 96:12	know 18:25 19:7,7,11 21:18,23 22:20 23:8,17,23,25 25:8 30:12,16	83:14 86:23 91:17 93:13,15 93:15 94:7,11 95:24 96:3 98:16	las 13:12 39:14 late 33:3 35:10 36:5 39:25 58:17
judgment 43:12 53:1	31:16 32:8,9 33:10,11,15 34:3 35:19 36:10 37:17 38:4,14 40:16	kotliar 9:21 kovsky 9:14 45:14,16,16 46:12 49:24 50:6	latham 40:2 law 70:15 85:4 99:10,16
july 3:25 4:21 52:9	41:21 45:23 48:1,3,6 49:4 49:19,24,25	kovsky's 48:21 ks 1:25	laws 51:10 lawsuit 98:3 99:13
jumped 24:19	53:14 58:1	kuhns 99:20,21 99:22 100:10	lawyers 32:23 93:6,7 94:12
june 18:7 51:8	59:1,11,25	kurman 10:7	layer 20:18
justice 11:1	60:5 62:18	kwasteniet 7:8 14:4,6,9,19,21	lead 60:21 65:14 83:3
k	63:2 65:10 66:24 67:2,17 67:19 68:3 69:4 70:24	15:5 16:23 18:10,13,22 19:15,17,21 21:7 24:3,11	leah 7:17 learned 92:3
k 9:3 63:20	72:6,7 73:7,19 73:20 76:25	24:13,16,20,23 25:12,14 41:21	leave 23:24 24:2 38:20,23 66:9 71:13 83:19 90:15 97:1 98:4
kahn 8:8	78:1 80:5,18	86:24 87:2,3,3	leblanc 9:6 66:4,25 67:15
katherine 8:13 28:8	80:23 82:10,20 82:20,22 85:4	87:17 89:5,10 90:17	67:15 68:8,13 68:13 69:1,4,8 69:23 70:2,10
keep 60:8	88:21 90:11		
keip 87:13	91:5 93:5 94:2		
kept 20:14			
key 6:11 56:25 87:7			

70:24 73:17,25 74:1,18 75:7 76:25 78:22 80:19 82:11 84:22 lectern 28:8 86:24 ledanski 102:3 102:8 left 18:1 57:13 71:22 73:22 legal 86:5 87:21,22 96:19 96:24 102:20 lehman 71:9 leon 96:17 level 36:23 96:15 levi 13:9 lexington 7:21 liability 71:2 73:6,23 liable 73:10,13 73:14,16,18 lied 60:18 95:1 lies 58:20 life 59:22 light 21:1 22:19 46:4 52:10 55:10 56:22 82:4 likely 47:4 73:5 83:3 86:10 limited 2:21 3:3 51:10,21 61:19	line 14:22 25:20,23 26:10 27:13 53:16 60:9 66:23 81:12 88:5 101:4 liquidation 19:4 list 42:18 listed 39:22 listening 19:23 22:8,9 25:1 litigants 34:11 litigate 86:18 litigated 53:19 64:8 litigating 44:9 52:25 litigation 3:22 42:17,21 43:9 44:15 45:9,22 46:4 49:17 55:10 61:6,7 66:22,25 73:4 96:21 little 18:20 19:3,8 29:23 33:6 61:2 93:12 96:6 live 23:10 59:25 60:2,6 lived 86:4 lives 95:4 llc 1:7 2:3,21 3:2 4:7,13 6:2 6:5 49:9 69:19 70:7	llp 3:16,16,22 4:1,18 7:3,12 7:19,24 8:1,15 9:1,9,16 10:14 11:16 12:1,8 97:13 loans 58:16,21 58:22 59:6,7 59:17 lock 95:10 log 92:19 logged 34:5 long 46:16 53:16 73:5 longer 17:23 24:8 look 36:13 37:2 38:14 76:12 79:24 90:11 91:15 96:19 looked 62:14 looking 34:4 60:2 66:21 99:25 100:3 los 8:4 12:4 losing 18:20 lost 27:13 lot 21:10 24:5,9 33:19 34:25 37:2 46:17 48:1,6,7 59:9 83:17 lots 78:12 loureiro 7:9 50:8,10,11,20 50:25 51:7 53:25	love 77:1 low 19:10 lowenstein 10:14,16 lp 5:2,18 lucas 92:1 m m 7:8 m3 5:2 machines 17:4 17:18 made 21:3 32:11 34:16 36:1,8 38:6 41:2,6 44:22 55:13 58:6,16 59:19 65:11 69:17 70:7 71:14 74:22 76:14 81:14 85:2 89:5 97:21 madison 8:11 10:9 mailings 82:21 main 8:10 10:2 majority 59:24 63:17 75:18 86:13 make 21:17 23:17 33:16,24 35:3 47:9 50:1 55:22 65:22 77:5 89:24 90:10 95:7 97:17 100:8
--	--	---	---

[makes - montpelier]

Page 24

makes 25:17 59:6 making 6:23 21:25 22:12 90:1 managing 26:9 manner 20:12 55:2 85:19 march 15:12 16:3 17:1,9,14 17:22 18:2,18 42:6,11 92:21 92:24 margin 17:13 margins 19:7 mark 45:8 55:18 marketing 57:1,18 95:2 marsal 4:13 martin 1:22 mashinsky 57:1,13,21 58:15,16,24 59:2,6,12,16 60:5 69:18 70:8 96:16,21 mashinsky's 57:1 59:24 masumoto 27:13 material 54:15 materially 22:5 materials 21:8 matter 1:5 45:25 60:20 82:2 93:3	matters 25:19 25:21,25 55:10 84:2,5 maximize 95:3 95:15 mccarter 8:15 mccloy 9:1 mcelroy 12:15 mean 25:6 37:20 63:18 68:4 78:15 88:17 90:13 91:2 93:25,25 means 44:9 meant 65:20 98:13 measure 73:3 82:12 measured 15:11 mechanism 72:25 media 74:14 meeting 24:23 meets 43:10 megawatts 17:3 member 63:14 71:17 members 42:25 43:2 46:21 49:17 70:17 71:4 79:6 84:18 85:23 93:17 99:11	mendelson 96:11,12 97:3 mention 37:12 mentioned 78:22 97:18 99:6 mere 64:25 merits 73:8 83:4 message 58:13 68:18 messaging 15:22 56:8 means 44:9 meant 65:20 98:13 measure 73:3 82:12 measured 15:11 mechanism 72:25 media 74:14 meeting 24:23 meets 43:10 megawatts 17:3 member 63:14 71:17 members 42:25 43:2 46:21 49:17 70:17 71:4 79:6 84:18 85:23 93:17 99:11	mineola 102:23 mining 16:25 minority 63:17 85:23 minute 19:19 38:17,19 misleading 62:2 mispronounc... 97:11 misrepresent... 54:14 61:19 misrepresent... 56:7 57:13,17 misrepresented 56:4 58:13 missed 31:14 missing 100:6 misstated 42:20 mitigating 18:8 mnas 59:24 model 60:10 modifying 98:1 moment 38:15 67:6 money 36:21 montana 17:5 month 17:22 18:18 19:9,10 19:14 monthly 29:12 months 38:11 38:12 43:8 montpelier 10:3
---	---	--	---

[morning - number]

Page 25

morning	14:2 14:6,12 15:3,4 21:13 26:4 27:10 28:10,11 28:12 31:21 35:4 41:25 50:10 81:4 87:1 99:21 motion	61:10 63:1 66:18 74:17 86:21 89:19 94:8 95:4 moved	67:17 93:13 moving	17:23 mulvaney	12:15 music	85:11 musicland	85:13 mute	24:18 66:5,6 81:12 muted	24:17 81:13 94:21 mutually	100:5	n	n	7:1,5 14:1 101:1 102:1 nagi	10:12 name	97:8 narrow	87:13 94:5 nature	26:18 50:2 52:3 63:11 necessarily	36:5 64:16 84:12 necessary	26:19 37:7 70:14 need	22:6 30:24 31:9 36:7,18 38:9 68:6 76:18	8:22 83:18 91:22 93:20 95:3 needed	48:3,4 needs	55:5 94:5 negotiated	38:4 41:3 negotiating	20:15 45:2 negotiation	20:10 negotiations	52:11 neither	68:2 network	1:7 2:21,21 3:2,3 13:8 17:16 51:10 70:6 never	32:12 41:4 61:23 64:5 69:17 72:2,3 75:2,3 78:22 92:18 95:5 new	1:2,14 7:22 8:18 9:19 10:10 11:4,12 11:19 12:16 17:3 18:4 23:15 29:24 30:10 59:4 newark	12:18 nickel	41:17 night	14:10 60:2 62:16	8:22 83:18 91:22 93:20 95:3 nine	17:10 29:10 nj	10:17 12:18 nolan	6:20 88:5,10,17 89:16 nolan's	88:8,20 non	3:8 49:7 53:15 55:17 61:15 normal	33:8 north	4:13 note	28:20 29:6 29:15 44:25 74:11 82:17 noted	42:6 63:22 notes	17:23 notice	22:18 40:15 82:15 83:1 84:18 85:8 91:18 notifications	15:24 noting	16:13 notion	72:1 novawulf's	95:10,14 novel	71:7 75:3 november	28:23 nuke	96:17 number	26:8 26:12,25 27:2 27:5 34:1 39:24 40:3,4 42:3,5,19
----------------	--	---	---------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------------------	---	-------	----------	----------	--	----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------	---	---	------------------------------------	--	--	------------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	--	---	---	------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	--	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	---	-----------------------	---	----------------------	---------------------	--	-------------------------------	------------------------	--	------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---

[number - originally]

Page 26

47:11 51:5 53:8 74:6 77:1 78:1 81:2 88:15 94:14 95:12 numbers 44:23 nw 7:14 9:3 ny 1:14 7:22 8:18 9:19 10:10 11:4,12 11:19 102:23	67:4 74:20 95:25 96:6 98:2 99:6 100:6 occurs 25:19 october 3:25 4:21 5:6,14,21 6:4,4 28:22 odette 2:15 offered 51:17 58:8 offering 46:20 offers 95:9 office 23:12 80:2 87:10 officer 60:3,4 official 2:24 3:7 4:20 5:4,12 5:20 11:17 12:2,9 54:5 98:10 offit 10:7 oftentimes 60:15 oh 48:14 50:7 50:21 70:10 77:10 okay 14:7,12 14:18,19 24:16 27:23 28:3,6 28:14 31:11,15 31:18 37:8,23 38:22 39:3,4 39:11 40:17,19 41:13,24 44:17 47:22 50:9,21 53:6 54:6 57:9	66:8 67:6 68:7 68:21 69:1,8 69:24 70:22 75:23 77:3,4 80:4 81:2,15 87:2 90:11,15 90:20 94:6,8 94:12 95:23 96:10 97:3 98:20 99:2,19 oklahoma 17:20 old 102:21 omissions 54:15 56:7 omnibus 42:6 87:14 once 38:16 ones 32:23 39:20 ongoing 87:24 online 18:7 open 14:12 47:14 opening 24:21 60:8 operated 60:12 operating 49:9 53:15 operations 16:25 17:13 opinion 60:23 83:24 85:1,25 86:2 opportunity 33:4 46:24 47:10 70:17,19	88:8 99:12 oppose 66:14 opposed 74:6 78:2 opposing 63:13 81:7 opt 16:14,18 opted 16:21 options 55:16 oral 98:18 order 2:2,9,14 2:19 3:1 6:10 16:7,11 25:22 26:25 27:5,19 29:2,3,21 30:1 30:3,5,7,11 31:13,14 36:19 41:11,12 42:2 43:3 49:21 52:13 53:9,22 53:23 55:10 68:24 86:20 89:12 91:9,18 97:18,22 98:1 98:6,12,17 99:14 ordering 23:7 orders 34:23 38:6 ordinary 95:4 organized 54:25 original 26:11 26:13 82:19 originally 16:10
o o 1:21 14:1 102:1 object 63:24 objection 27:17,22 28:2 34:20 35:4,5 36:5 39:5,13 39:14 51:5,21 51:23 55:8 83:8 86:17 98:13 objections 23:16 27:3 28:4 29:5,7,9 29:12,19 30:16 34:16 39:12 40:13,16 42:10 43:20 49:11 51:3 53:7,10 53:11 54:16 81:24 87:13 obtain 63:25 obviously 20:16 23:1 37:6 40:13	offit 10:7 oftentimes 60:15 oh 48:14 50:7 50:21 70:10 77:10 okay 14:7,12 14:18,19 24:16 27:23 28:3,6 28:14 31:11,15 31:18 37:8,23 38:22 39:3,4 39:11 40:17,19 41:13,24 44:17 47:22 50:9,21 53:6 54:6 57:9		

[outages - petition]

Page 27

outages 17:20	participants	pause 75:6	45:3,6,7 57:24
outcome 46:6 86:13	33:13 34:5	82:3 83:14	59:18 82:19
outlined 29:2	participate	96:1	percentage
outset 34:14	16:6	pay 43:2 51:19	17:17
outside 34:12	particular	87:22	perella 5:18
outweighed	63:23 64:4	paying 44:14	perfectly 33:16
61:14 77:14	73:9 82:23	payment 6:22	49:19
overlapped	particularly	51:21 52:14	period 3:17,25
47:5	33:12 62:8	88:11 89:21	4:2,7,14,21 5:5
overruled	100:2	90:2	5:13,21 6:3,6
34:20 51:23	parties 19:23	payments	28:21,23 47:2
own 41:17 56:3 61:9 76:1,3 89:18	19:25 20:15,16	16:17 46:24	47:4
	20:18 21:2,24	pedaled 58:7	permission
	24:7 32:11	peled 11:14	25:18 28:16
	33:6 40:5	97:5,10,13,13	55:25 56:14
	42:17 43:9,13	pending 42:17	91:17
p	44:16 47:13	42:20 43:8	permit 40:12
p 7:1,1 11:22 14:1	61:6 63:25	85:14 98:19	40:15 69:2
p.m. 16:20	74:12	penn 9:18	70:15 80:9
page 56:17 58:4,18 101:4	partners 4:7	pennsylvania	permits 63:1
pages 85:12	5:2,18	7:14	permitted
paid 52:14 89:7	party 3:10	people 21:12	56:20 95:8
paper 80:2	24:18 51:2	35:11 48:7,9	person 77:17
papers 42:16 74:11,24 75:11 83:6 86:6	54:17 70:6	57:22 59:19	personal 55:6
paramount	92:22	69:13,17 70:5	pervaded 56:8
65:14	pass 66:4	74:14,15 78:8	57:1,17
park 8:17	passed 15:11	78:14 84:20	pervasive
part 16:2 18:9 21:22 30:11 34:3 56:9 57:18 72:7,18 72:20 78:25 84:6	57:24	97:22 99:6	54:14
	passes 59:18	pepper 9:9	pesce 12:13
	passing 58:6	percent 15:10	27:25 28:1
	past 59:10 73:5	15:12,15 16:9	37:25 38:1
	path 22:5	16:15,20,22	petition 18:19
	52:21 55:7	17:10,14,14,16	19:2 28:22
	patience 67:19	17:18,21 29:25	43:4 51:8,20
	67:22 93:11	30:12 36:14,17	62:7 72:16,17
	patricia 7:9	36:23 43:3,4	84:15,16 95:21
	50:8,11	44:14,22 45:3	

[phase - pro]

Page 28

phase	42:21 48:25	94:3 95:7,10 pleased 42:9 49:20	64:12 possession 3:23 possibility 22:4 possible 22:1 63:17 95:16	present 14:14 54:9 92:10 presentation 14:11,15 presented 32:10 52:16 presentment 40:12,16 prevailed 73:15 prevents 65:1 previous 16:11 17:22 previously 16:16 20:24 42:21 87:6 prices 19:11 primarily 60:24 primary 25:4 principle 42:7 79:7 prior 81:25 82:18 86:12 95:25 pro 13:9,10,11 13:12,13,14 33:13,15 34:1 34:6,11,15,16 35:1,3 47:25 54:22 61:3 62:21 76:16 77:2 78:1 79:21 82:23 86:6 90:23 92:2,3,9 95:19 98:23
phone	50:19 60:15 94:14	plenty 67:8 78:8	post 70:5 posted 91:1,12 posting 55:22 potentially 43:24 44:22 47:6 64:13	
phx	13:9	podium 14:5 25:15 27:20 50:8 54:1 66:4	pound 52:14 pounds 52:23 52:24	
pick	41:21 60:15	point 17:25 19:11 23:8	practical 30:15 practice 30:10 30:25 31:13	
picking	41:22	36:19 37:23	practices 54:14 62:3	
pie	64:15	38:24 39:18	pre 17:11 62:6 70:5 72:16,17 84:15,16	
piece	64:14	45:23 57:16	precedent 80:8 preference 67:20	
pillay	40:3	58:3 59:6	preferred 2:19 9:2 54:18,23	
pinch	27:11 30:1	62:25 63:7	61:12,22 62:7 63:8 65:25	
pitch	56:9 57:18	65:9 69:17,18 69:19 70:13	66:14 73:1,22 86:17	
place	20:12 36:17 60:25 77:9	75:1 76:7 93:7	premature 86:17 prepared 39:14 56:17	
plaintiff	60:21 62:3	97:17	61:10 66:18	
plaintiffs	10:15 61:3	pointed 58:6 points 34:20 38:2 41:1		
plan	2:22 23:24 30:17 43:6 46:25 47:1,3 65:20 65:23,25 87:7 95:10,15	97:16 poor 80:8 porter 94:17 94:18,21,22,25		
plans	47:7	portion 86:3 position 30:24 41:4 64:20		
platform	15:23 61:20	73:7,12,16,17 73:20 78:2,4,5		
plaza	9:18	78:13,14,17		
pleading	81:6	79:11,13,14,19		
please	15:6 18:12,14 29:19 48:19 50:22 68:4 81:12	80:16 81:18 83:5 positions 20:17 63:23 64:5,7		

[probably - questions]

Page 29

probably	21:23	79:5 80:10	78:6 79:12,16	provides	43:12
problem	24:20	81:20 82:1,4,6	proportion	providing	65:16
31:17 57:9,9		82:9 83:2,8,25	75:18	proxy	17:12
58:11 65:2		84:14 86:8	propose	public	59:4
76:19 97:10		92:10,14,17	21:16	pull	25:24
problems		96:4 100:1,8	proposed	pure	16:2 92:8
47:11		processing	26:25 27:5,19	92:11,15 93:1	
procedure	2:20	96:7	30:5 40:8 46:9	purposes	29:6
29:1 97:23		professional	46:23 52:13	30:15 55:19	
procedures	3:1	3:15 4:6,7,12	53:9,20 61:8	85:20	
66:2 67:10		4:13 6:5,22	68:9 75:4	pursuant	6:21
87:22 89:2,17		88:12	77:10 87:13	16:7 42:23	
89:19,25 93:20		professionals	89:2 97:17	87:22 88:10	
proceed	22:19	25:20 28:18	98:6,12,17	pursued	46:3
55:1 57:10		33:20 34:25	proposing	54:21,21,24	
66:23 67:2		40:18 65:13	71:22 78:21	pursuing	55:7
86:8		profits	81:21	96:25	
proceeded	52:7	58:6,9	proprietary	pushed	82:3
56:23		program	17:15,19 18:7	put	33:17
proceeding		6:11	prosecuting	62:21 65:19	
48:25 52:6		59:12 87:12	61:14	74:2,13 91:10	
61:3 84:9		progress	prospect	95:16	
proceedings		90:9	74:5	putative	70:16
14:12 92:3		progressing	prospective	84:17 85:23	
100:15 102:4		34:9	21:9	99:11	
process	15:7,9	projecting	protected	puts	45:4,7
15:13,23 19:22		45:5	63:5	putting	66:20
20:4,10 21:1,6		promoting	protracted	q	
21:11,22 22:6		59:3	61:3		
46:9,15,23		proof	prove	question	18:25
48:24 50:2		66:16	61:23,25	44:4,6,17	
55:5,8 60:4		70:12 71:11	62:3	54:12 61:1	
61:2,8,17		72:14 81:19	provide	73:6 76:9,19	
65:10,11,16,20		84:21 85:5	15:21	80:11 98:22	
71:9 72:9 73:4		proofs	16:24 18:14	questions	
74:6 75:2		63:4,5	26:15 49:21	14:25 20:22	
76:19 77:10		71:20,24 72:11	52:21 61:8	26:23 27:3,4	
		77:2 84:8	62:15 89:2		
		85:17	provided		
		properly	26:10		
		54:23	26:17 51:11		
		property	56:17		
		44:8			
		45:11 46:2			
		47:16 77:25			

[questions - related]

Page 30

43:14,18,21 53:4,5 66:4 75:6 88:2 queue 96:8 quick 17:10 19:19 38:2 40:17 90:24 quickly 58:7 61:10 96:14 quite 33:7 quote 71:6	read 49:25 75:10 80:2 reading 75:14 75:22 76:3 ready 20:20 49:1 real 59:13 really 21:20 23:2,9 33:24 35:8 37:5 48:18,23 49:22 60:9 75:11 76:21 77:8 88:19,24 reason 24:1 47:1 60:5 68:17 71:16 72:23 78:25 reasonable 43:11 44:1 45:12,20 48:1 49:15,19 53:21 64:20 67:21 81:21 reasons 49:3 74:23 83:23 recall 19:2 receive 16:14 29:15 47:10 82:15 received 19:24 22:20 27:2 35:4 84:18 96:1 receiving 21:8 51:14 82:21	recent 29:16 35:4 39:5 recently 20:24 recess 100:13 recharacteriz... 43:5 recitation 64:23 recognition 52:6 recognize 52:2 recommend 29:8 recommend... 29:9 35:24 37:5 recommended 29:11 record 29:5 30:2,4 38:24 50:11 65:19 95:6 98:8 102:4 record's 39:19 recovery 44:18 44:19,22,25 45:4,6 65:4 73:2 95:3,16 96:25 redaction 91:5 reduced 30:14 31:3 reducing 36:18 reduction 38:4 refco 71:9 refer 85:11	referenced 38:8 referred 39:25 60:4 reflected 34:3 reflects 52:18 refresh 15:9 regard 53:17 76:15 regarding 38:6 38:8 96:14 regret 27:19 regularly 24:24 regulars 58:10 regulation 10:1 regulators 22:24 23:13,18 24:25 58:6 regulatory 23:2,6 24:9 25:3 60:3 reimbursement 3:24 4:19 5:3 5:11,19 22:23 87:20 88:6,16 89:4,16 reiterate 34:24 rejection 17:7 18:4 relate 29:10,12 29:13 related 2:5,11 2:16 3:3 6:12 26:16 29:19 42:24 87:18
r r 1:21 7:1 14:1 102:1 rachman 13:9 raise 22:21 35:6 62:9 90:21 raised 34:17,21 35:8 37:20 41:1 62:13 64:9 81:2 raises 35:15 98:3 ran 28:22 range 52:23 85:5 rare 40:25 rate 17:16 rates 58:4,8 rather 30:3 56:13 74:7 reach 28:18 89:10,18 94:4 reached 28:15 42:7 50:13			

[relative - result]

Page 31

relative	48:23	repeating		requesting		83:20 87:13
release	25:25	58:12		56:13		93:10
	52:15	reply	62:14	require	62:3	resolved
	51:16	68:11 71:6		66:11		31:10
releasing	30:11	report	28:14	required	16:19	35:20 67:7
	51:16			37:22 51:15		68:5 90:12
relevant	85:22	29:1,8 32:3		52:4 56:14		94:2,5
reliance	61:23	39:23 40:14		63:4 67:3		resolves
	62:1 84:23,25	41:9 54:17		84:23		resource
relied	70:3	56:3,16,17		requirement		resources
	72:4,5	58:5,19 60:9		84:25		33:17 54:22
relief	2:5,11,16	62:22 64:22		requirements		65:12
	3:3 6:12 34:23	reported	29:23	43:10		respect
	65:7,22 66:14	reporting	29:7	43:10		16:1
	75:15 94:3	represent		rescap	63:20	27:16 29:9
relying	70:8	15:12 63:12		research	18:9	30:8 31:22
	75:21 76:1	77:16 79:9		85:25		35:5,21 37:14
remain	20:8	92:5 97:5		reservations		40:14,23 42:18
	30:22 36:17	representative		39:1		42:21 43:16
remaining		86:11		reserve	30:21	44:3,5 46:2,9
	15:16 16:1,9	represented		31:24 37:14		66:22 67:3,10
	16:15 43:4	71:8 79:21		38:5 39:9		74:4 85:3,14
remarkably		85:24		reserved	23:1	97:17,23 99:17
	63:8	representing		30:8 36:1		respectfully
remarks	24:21	16:21 80:9		37:18		27:4 43:14
	83:14 88:2	represents		reside	85:2	respond
remedy	65:1	64:22		residential		26:23
remind	15:22	reputable		63:10		65:18 72:19
reminder		58:23		resolution		responded
	15:24 17:10	request	14:13	22:10 28:15		93:9
	18:16	27:4 43:15		40:8 43:13		responding
rendered	3:24	55:25 63:3		45:19,21 47:12		92:24
	4:19 5:3,11,19	64:17 85:17		82:1,9 92:24		response
reorganization		87:20 91:9		93:3		75:24
	2:23	92:22		resolutions		97:6,14,24
repeatedly		requested		28:19		responses
	57:21,23 58:15	55:17 65:7		resolve	26:24	29:5
	58:24	87:8		67:9,12 68:4		rest
						19:18
						result
						22:6
						34:19 46:18
						49:25 51:18
						86:10 100:5

[results - schwartz's]

Page 32

results	47:6 52:11	37:24 39:12 40:6,22,24	74:19 84:1,4,4 84:14 85:19	saying	54:12 78:10,21 79:13	
retail	95:2	41:20 45:4,14	91:8 94:9	scale	61:24	
retain	26:15,19 99:7	47:13,23 48:12 50:3 61:10	ruled	22:23 79:19 97:15	scarce	72:11
retained	99:3	67:5,14 70:23	rules	84:6	scenario	46:20
retention	2:3 25:16,17,23,24 26:7 27:16 28:5	73:19 76:2,24 77:6 78:16 79:10 83:16 84:9 87:16	ruling	30:21 54:16 55:21 85:2 98:18	schedule	16:12 52:7 55:17 66:22,25 67:10
return	16:5,8 60:20	90:3,15 91:21 94:16 99:4,19 100:11,13	rulings	101:3	67:12,21,25	
returning	60:8	rights	14:14	run	70:11 73:5	
revenue	58:4	23:1 30:7		running	41:14 67:20,22	
revenues	57:25 59:18	31:24 39:9	runs	76:10	96:4	
review	29:1 30:2 36:4 40:14 81:20 85:25	65:17 82:21	rushed	72:21	scheduled	6:25
reviewed	28:1 28:4 29:4,4 32:14 36:2 39:8	rigs	17:2,4,5,8 18:1,5,6,9	scheduling		
reviewing	32:5	rise	19:12 36:22	s	55:10	
revised	16:12 22:23 26:24 27:5 31:13 89:12	risius	2:3	scheme	58:7 95:2	
rewards	58:3	risk	52:24 56:6 58:14 60:3	schwartz	11:7 27:7,9,10,23 28:7 29:22	
rhythm	33:9	road	48:4 102:21	safeguard	30:1,9 31:5,6,7	
right	16:23 19:8,10,15 20:12,15 22:12 22:13 25:10,11 28:3 32:1 34:14 36:24	role	97:2	sale	31:8,12,16,19	
		roll	27:21	sales	37:10,10,12,24	
		rood	10:5	salle	38:18 41:19	
		roseland	10:17	samuel	57:2,4,5,9	
		ross	2:3 7:8 15:8 87:3	sanders	71:13 75:7,9	
		round	15:24	sandler	75:23,25 76:2	
			23:15 36:13	satisfactory	76:4,21,24	
		rule	39:15	satisfied	77:4,6,23 78:7	
			43:10 49:2		78:10,16,19	
			71:18 72:6,14		79:15,22 80:1	
					80:4,20,22,24	
					81:8,11 88:23	
					90:3,5,6	
					schwartz's	
					36:15	

[scientific - significant]

Page 33

scientific 17:7 18:4	seeing 29:17 50:21	seriously 86:16	settling 42:24 43:1 44:19
score 40:7	seek 51:18 55:18 64:1 94:3	served 62:15 62:17	seven 43:8 49:7 49:12 53:15
screen 14:15 14:18 34:4 97:9	seeking 26:7 26:15 31:1 51:21 66:15 72:13	service 29:16 62:14 92:21,23	several 88:13 92:11 93:9
se 13:9,10,11 13:12,13,14 33:13 34:11 47:25 54:22 61:3 62:21 76:16 77:2 78:2 79:21 82:23 86:6 90:23 92:2,4,9 95:19 98:23	seeks 75:15 seem 61:22 seems 85:15 seen 15:18 37:4 72:2,9,10,12 95:21	services 3:24 4:19 5:3,11,19 6:2,5	severance 51:12,14,19,22
second 17:6 25:15 28:23 29:13 33:5 44:5 49:8 59:8 62:5 66:19 79:11 85:7	segal 9:16,16 select 23:15 selection 23:11 sense 21:18 25:17	ses 33:15 34:1 34:6,15,16 35:1,3	shape 65:21 shara 11:6 shared 20:2 shareholders 22:9
section 87:1	sent 15:23 89:12 95:21	settle 52:10	shoba 40:3
secured 58:22	separate 37:4 80:10 85:12	settled 33:8 42:22	shortly 66:17 66:20
securities 10:15 12:16 23:13	separated 51:9 separately 89:18	settlement 2:9 2:14 16:3,7,8 16:14,18,21 42:4,12,16,23	should've 62:9 62:17
see 14:5,7 18:1 34:4 37:24 38:25 50:22 67:9 71:8,23 74:15 81:8 83:5,22 85:20 90:9 93:13 94:4,10 95:8 95:20 97:8	separation 51:15,17 september 42:19 series 2:18 9:2 14:24 56:22 67:9 83:4 86:16	segregation 43:3,9,17,20 43:22,25 45:3 45:12 46:10,21 46:23,25 47:2 47:10 48:21,23 49:2,6,15,19 50:12,13 52:12 52:13,16,19,20 53:2,8,13,20 53:23 101:5,6	showing 74:13 74:14
	serious 36:6 54:13 76:8	settlement's 47:25 48:3	shutting 81:14 side 78:9 sides 68:10 70:1
		settlements 48:4 49:4 50:1 53:18	siegel 85:20 sign 51:18 signal 24:21 signature 102:6
			signed 17:7 46:22
			significant 21:8 56:6 83:6 86:3

[significantly - statement]

Page 34

significantly	social 74:14	source 96:25	28:14 31:10,19
44:14	sold 57:15	south 12:3,10	35:19 36:4,10
signing 42:12	60:11	13:3	39:15,22 40:10
silent 74:10	solicitation	southern 1:2	40:20
similar 48:4	47:3 66:2	southfield 9:12	stadler's 32:21
79:17 82:24	solution 80:18	sparsely 72:10	staffing 33:8
similarities	solutions	speak 27:24	stage 30:20
43:16	102:20	67:16 68:8	35:14 94:10
similarly 37:13	somebody	72:4 93:6,24	stalking 19:22
simple 68:16	31:14 70:3	94:4 98:8,16	19:25 20:4
simply 29:18	somewhat	speaking 24:19	23:25
79:18	27:20 71:6	31:9 94:11	stand 45:9
singapore	75:3	speaks 62:12	100:13
38:15	sontchi 8:9	special 3:22	standard 29:24
single 76:10	31:10,20 32:1	4:1 40:2	31:12
sir 95:3	32:17,18 33:25	specialist 26:19	standards
sit 63:19 72:25	35:20 36:4,10	26:15	53:17
89:20	36:12,25 37:9	30:11 53:15	standing 14:5
site 18:7	38:10,15,16,20	84:12	63:25
sites 17:15,19	38:22 39:3,25	specifically	standpoint
sitting 74:10	41:18	26:16 39:19	25:3
situated 82:24	sonya 102:3,8	59:6	start 15:22
situation 18:15	soon 22:1	specify 56:24	19:1 34:2
71:5	95:16	spend 56:24	72:19 92:17
situations 48:2	sophisticated	79:9	94:22
six 16:9 51:8	32:22 86:11	spending 22:11	started 15:9
sizable 18:2	sorry 18:20	spent 24:5,9	18:16 19:7
size 44:12 46:5	39:7 46:12	33:14 59:9	57:14 92:13
sizeable 78:1	47:20 48:14,15	83:17	starting 60:1
slide 16:22	50:23 57:4	split 45:20	state 22:24
17:23 18:12,13	91:24 98:9	92:16	23:13 24:24
slow 83:24	sort 41:4 45:20	spoke 62:16	99:5,16
small 46:4 64:4	96:1	spoken 60:13	stated 27:17
77:1 95:13	sought 34:23	sponte 91:3	60:23
smaller 44:2	35:22 52:10	st 9:3	statement
smooth 33:21	55:11,20	stadler 8:13	20:24,25 22:2
		28:8,10,11,12	23:23 26:18

[statement - talking]

Page 35

29:16 45:1 47:4 55:14 57:23 66:2 83:23 statements 48:22 58:17 59:19 70:7 72:5 states 1:1,12 11:1 23:12 34:12,12 58:12 59:16,17 63:8 64:17 79:6 86:4 89:11,24 status 6:9,16 6:20 15:6 86:25 88:1,24 statutory 62:1 84:24 85:4 stay 41:16 52:3 52:6 96:22 steps 20:3 sticks 77:1 stipulate 65:21 stn 63:25 stop 44:23 58:12 78:11 80:25 81:1 97:7 stopping 45:21 stout 2:3 13:1 25:16,17,23,23 26:3,7,10,15 strategy 56:8 60:16 strauss 3:21 4:1	streamline 100:8 street 7:5 8:3 8:10 10:2 11:3 11:11 12:3,17 58:24 strenuously 66:14 86:18 strike 90:1 strong 67:20 strongly 85:16 structure 21:19,20 23:4 23:16,22,25 77:14 structures 21:17 23:8 struggling 81:23 style 22:20 sua 91:2 subject 45:1 submit 16:19 30:5 41:11,11 64:11 86:20 submitted 24:7 32:6 submitting 86:11 subordinate 64:1 subsection 75:17,18 subsequent 22:17 substance 50:15	substantial 6:23 substantially 19:1 59:21 successful 23:3 23:11,21 78:6 successfully 15:11 succinctly 60:9 sue 63:25 suffered 56:7 sufficiently 35:13 suggested 69:12 suggesting 57:6 82:5 suitable 95:6 suite 8:10 9:11 9:18 11:3 12:3 12:10 96:15 102:22 summarizing 21:14 summary 32:3 sums 60:9 supplemental 26:12,14 27:1 27:18 support 26:11 48:1,10,20,25 52:19 81:6 supported 56:2 supportive 69:5 86:7 sure 19:20 22:12 27:14	32:1 33:16 36:15 37:6 41:12 47:9,24 55:23 70:10,20 73:24 90:1 91:10 95:7 surprise 23:14 suspended 92:19 93:19 switching 22:4 synthesize 62:21 system 24:17 74:2 77:15 86:5 t t 102:1,1 take 19:19 24:20 59:8 63:22 64:5,12 64:14,20 68:17 73:20 77:11 80:16 83:5 94:19,25 taken 34:21,25 37:24 64:7 86:16 96:6 takes 32:14 78:13 talk 59:8 68:6 74:3 talked 20:23 69:9,16 81:25 85:8 talking 68:17 69:25 81:13 91:16
--	--	--	---

[tall - together]

Page 36

tall 62:23	86:23 90:5,17	69:14,24 70:4	33:14 34:17,18
task 62:23	90:24 91:15,20	70:13,14 71:14	34:25 35:7,21
taught 32:18	92:1 93:16	72:10,18,20,21	36:20 37:17,22
tax 17:11	94:7,13,15,18	74:18,24 76:14	39:3 40:1 47:2
team 32:21	95:17 96:3,10	77:8 78:19,25	48:24 54:1
93:18,24	97:3,5 99:18	80:7 83:11	56:24 58:3
telephone	100:10	88:13 89:9,14	59:9 61:25
93:25	thanks 15:8	89:20 90:25	63:18 67:8
tell 15:6 44:7	16:23 18:21	91:3,6 98:15	68:17,18 69:18
60:7	27:23 41:20	98:21 99:2	69:19 77:12
telling 60:6	96:12	100:5	78:12,15 83:18
68:1,14 70:22	themes 56:25	thinking 47:3	85:25 87:12
tenet 86:14	theories 86:1	third 3:10 10:2	95:9 96:6,13
termination	thing 31:21	33:5 62:12	100:1
51:13	37:12 60:17	63:25 85:7	timeline 66:12
terms 20:5,13	62:19 77:7	92:22	66:15
20:20 41:11	90:24	thirteen 47:19	timely 35:16
48:24 96:22	things 32:19,20	47:21	times 15:20
testify 51:1	33:19 80:7	thorough	37:21 88:14
texas 18:7	think 18:10	64:22	93:10
thank 14:2,8,9	19:1,12 23:7	thought 45:11	today 14:22
14:18 15:5	23:20 25:17	46:16,17 47:12	18:21 19:3
24:3 25:10	31:8,17 34:7	58:21 75:13	25:1 27:12,14
27:7,9 28:6,7	34:16,20 35:1	76:5 88:17	27:22 28:2,21
28:10 31:7	35:11 36:3,5	97:25	28:24 32:24
32:21 33:22,23	36:14,20 39:15	thousands	34:5 40:24,24
38:2,10 39:9	39:15,16,16	61:15 63:3	42:9 56:12
39:11 40:20	40:25 44:21	three 19:24	68:17,24 70:22
41:13,18,19	47:25 48:3,3,5	21:2 24:6	70:25 88:1,9
45:14,16,18	48:7,9 49:18	28:17 42:17	88:24 90:15,19
46:13 47:22	50:1 53:20	82:19 84:13	91:9 100:1
48:11 49:1,22	54:1,12 57:20	85:10	today's 30:5
50:3,4,6 51:7	60:9 62:5,10	tied 58:9	91:1
53:23,25 54:8	62:12 63:2	time 17:17,17	together 62:21
57:12 75:7	65:3,9 66:4,16	18:21 20:9,12	67:2 88:17
81:5,17 83:13	66:20,21 67:20	20:19 22:7,11	89:23
83:15,16 86:22	67:23 68:22	24:5,10 33:4	

togut 9:16	transparency 34:8 91:6	turetsky 11:21	ultimately 47:12 48:23
token 57:15	trend 17:24	turning 16:22	97:20
told 33:6 57:22	tribunal 51:25	turns 60:24	unable 93:2
57:24 58:15	52:2,4,7,18	turpin 13:13	unbelievably 72:20
59:2 98:19	trip 38:16	98:20,21,23	uncertainty 52:24
tolled 63:3	troutman 9:9	99:2,5,18	uncollateral... 58:16,21 59:7
took 25:22	true 43:22	tweed 9:1	59:17
27:18 56:6	55:15 56:22	tweet 72:22	uncontested 25:24
73:12,17 78:4	57:16,25 58:1	tweeted 72:23	uncovered 56:2 62:10
79:11,14	58:25 60:6	twitter 55:22	64:19
top 55:13	63:17 72:15	two 15:24	under 18:20
topic 68:9	91:14 102:4	16:17 17:8	20:14 36:19
tort 61:19	truly 32:15	33:2 36:11	51:10 72:13
total 17:8 20:1	trust 46:1	38:2,6 40:1,5	74:20 84:24
44:18	trustee 11:2	45:13 48:25	93:19 95:4
totally 84:19	23:13 26:23	67:24 68:12	underlying 73:8
touch 57:19	27:8,10 30:6	76:7	underpinnings 56:19
tough 64:13	30:23 31:8,23	type 26:20	understand 23:2 47:7 52:5
tougher 33:7,7	38:5,7 41:3	typical 49:7	56:15 62:16
town 9:11	64:17 75:15,19	95:1	67:23 70:14
55:22	78:17 79:6	u	76:14,17,17,23
track 33:12	80:16 89:11,24	u.k. 51:24 52:7	77:6 79:25
95:6	90:6	52:17 58:5,10	80:6,6 87:25
traditional	trustee's 41:7	u.s. 1:23 11:2	95:23
21:18	63:9 87:10	26:23 27:8,10	understanding 99:10,16
tranche 16:3	try 21:24 60:14	30:6,23 31:8	undertaking 58:14
transcript	60:15 68:23	31:23 38:5,7	
90:25 91:9,12	72:22 73:1	41:2,7 75:15	
91:18,19 102:4	trying 24:18	75:19 78:17	
transcripts	33:2 47:6	80:16 87:10	
91:4	57:21 76:13	88:10 90:6	
transfer 56:10	81:25 90:9	u.s.c. 6:21	
transferred	tuganov 11:10	ubierna 13:12	
59:21	97:6,14	39:14	
transformer	tuganov's	ucc 16:4 29:5	
17:20	98:13	95:11	

unfair 82:25	unsuspending 22:13 25:6	voting 23:23
unfamiliar 86:5	92:25	23:24 43:6
unfortunate 23:14	untimely 35:6	65:20
unfortunately 92:18	39:5,7	voyager 35:10
unique 26:18	unusual 34:1	35:12,16 36:22
united 1:1,12 11:1 23:12 34:11,12 58:12 63:8 64:17 79:6 86:4 89:11,24	update 16:24 17:2 18:14 19:19 20:20 24:25 95:20	vt 10:3
unlicensed 58:7	updates 95:22	w
unmute 24:17 66:7,7 91:22	upload 30:5	w 11:11
unnecessarily 35:22 40:18 65:14 99:8	urge 23:9	wacker 12:10 13:3
unprecedented 71:7	use 68:23 82:1	wading 55:8
unrealistic 84:19	used 64:19 71:10 75:3,4,4	wages 51:20,21
unrepresented 61:6	user's 16:9	wait 30:4 36:3 40:8 78:11 79:10 80:14
unsecured 2:25 3:7 4:20 5:5,13 5:20 11:17 12:2,9 54:6 63:19 64:24,25 77:15 79:5 98:11	users 15:10,11 15:13 16:6,14 16:21	waived 31:3
unsuccessful 77:24	uses 77:15	wales 51:11
	using 2:1,8,13 2:18,24 3:6,14 3:20 4:5,11,17 5:1,9,17 6:1,9 25:5 29:20	wall 58:24
	usual 50:1 53:14	wallets 43:22 43:23 44:5
	usually 30:15	walsh 7:9
	v	want 17:25 19:16 23:21 27:8,11,24 28:20 31:24
	v 85:20	32:2,17,21 33:24 35:22 37:12,25 38:10 38:18 40:18
	vacation 71:3	41:4,16 43:19
	valuation 2:4 26:8,15,17,20 45:1	45:15 47:23 50:23 53:6
	value 15:11,16 16:22 17:21 18:25 19:4	55:13 56:24 59:8,11 62:25 63:7 67:6 68:13,18 69:7 74:3 75:8

[want - young]

Page 39

78:23 81:1,3 83:24 90:3,21 91:23 wanted 19:23 29:6 38:2 45:18,23 47:9 48:20 69:4,5 76:5 91:1 95:20 97:16 98:23 99:24 wants 38:25 80:17 88:23 washington 7:15 9:4 waste 65:12 watch 59:23,24 watched 59:11 watching 92:2 watkins 40:2 wave 81:8 way 18:8 30:6 65:10,19,20 75:3,5 79:20 89:25 90:11 we've 15:23 21:9 22:1,15 22:20 24:4,6,9 28:1,4 33:6,12 34:7 42:6 64:6 65:11 68:9 69:8 81:22,25 82:3,3,5 89:6,9 91:11,13 93:18 93:21 96:7 weather 18:21 website 55:22 59:14	week 15:25 21:23 28:25 66:19 96:9 weekend 21:9 89:12 weekly 24:24 weeks 51:8 59:10 76:7 weigh 85:15 weighting 95:11 weinberg 5:18 went 56:11 75:12 white 4:18 11:16 12:1,8 48:17 54:5 98:10 who've 32:6 34:1,5 wholly 71:7 wi 8:11 wide 61:24 willing 65:21 wind 23:14 wish 39:11 48:12 wishes 40:23 51:2 100:12 withdraw 15:19,23 92:25 withdrawal 15:7,10 16:6 16:16 52:15 92:11,14,17 withdrawals 15:14,15 92:8	92:18 96:7 withdrawn 15:17,19 withheld 36:21 withhold 2:10 9:10 42:4,8,24 42:25 43:7,22 44:10,12,13,18 45:7,10,17,25 46:19,19,21 47:14,16,18 48:8 64:7 withholder 45:4 withholding 29:24 witness 65:2 wohlman 2:15 51:9,17,19,20 51:24 52:11,15 53:8 101:6 word 49:21 86:20 worded 30:7 words 29:8 45:24 66:7 work 21:10 25:3 26:15,20 32:4,22 33:2 37:3,3 38:11 38:12 41:7,7 41:11 45:1 46:9 68:7,15 68:19 90:9 93:20 97:25 worked 42:11	working 20:4 21:13 22:18 32:7 38:3 45:18 89:9,10 96:7 100:4 worry 36:18 worthy 91:11 wrap 24:21 wraps 20:14 write 83:24 written 84:10 wrong 73:19 79:14 wrote 82:11 85:1 x x 1:4,10 101:1 y y 91:24 yeah 15:1 18:16 31:6 37:18 48:19 67:18 76:4 years 40:25 yep 54:3 yesterday 16:13 19:21,24 21:9 55:14 yielding 25:15 york 1:2,14 7:22 8:18 9:19 10:10 11:4,12 11:19 29:24 30:10 young 39:23
---	--	---	--

[zero - zoom]

Page 40

z
zero 19:12
zoom 2:1,8,13
2:18,24 3:6,14
3:20 4:5,11,17
5:1,9,17 6:1,9
24:19 34:4,5
51:1

JS 44C/SDNY

REV.

10/01/2020

CIVIL COVER SHEET**PLAINTIFFS**

Community First Partners, LLC, Celsius SPV Investors, LP, Celsius New
SPV Investors, LP, CDP Investissements Inc.

DEFENDANTS

Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Celsius Network LLC, et al.

ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)
 Milbank LLP, 55 Hudson Yards, New York, NY 10001, (212) 530-5000
 Jones Day, 555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071, (213) 489-3939

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)
 White & Case LLP, 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020, (212) 819-8200

**CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE)
 (DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)**

28 U.S.C. 158 (appeal from judgment made by Bankruptcy Court), Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 8001-8005

Has this action, case, or proceeding, or one essentially the same been previously filed in SDNY at any time? No Yes Judge Previously Assigned _____

If yes, was this case Vol. Invol. Dismissed. No Yes If yes, give date _____ & Case No. _____

IS THIS AN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION CASE?

No Yes

(PLACE AN IN ONE BOX ONLY)

NATURE OF SUIT

		TORTS	ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES			
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
[] 110 INSURANCE	[] 310 AIRPLANE	[] 367 HEALTHCARE/	[] 625 DRUG RELATED	[] 422 APPEAL	[] 375 FALSE CLAIMS	
[] 120 MARINE	[] 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT	PHARMACEUTICAL PERSONAL	SEIZURE OF PROPERTY	28 USC 158	[] 376 QUI TAM	
[] 130 MILLER ACT	LIABILITY	INJURY/PRODUCT LIABILITY	[] 365 PERSONAL INJURY	[] 423 WITHDRAWAL	[] 400 STATE	REAPPORTIONMENT
[] 140 NEGOTIABLE	[] 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL &	PRODUCT LIABILITY	21 USC 881	28 USC 157	[] 410 ANTITRUST	
INSTRUMENT	SLANDER	[] 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL	[] 690 OTHER		[] 430 BANKS & BANKING	
[] 150 RECOVERY OF	[] 330 FEDERAL	INJURY PRODUCT			[] 450 COMMERCE	
OVERPAYMENT &	EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY	LIABILITY			[] 460 DEPORTATION	
ENFORCEMENT					[] 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED & CORRUPT	
OF JUDGMENT	[] 340 MARINE				ORGANIZATION ACT (RICO)	
[] 151 MEDICARE ACT	[] 345 MARINE PRODUCT	PERSONAL PROPERTY	[] 820 COPYRIGHTS	[] 880 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT	[] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT	
[] 152 RECOVERY OF	LIABILITY	[] 370 OTHER FRAUD	[] 830 PATENT		[] 485 TELEPHONE CONSUMER	PROTECTION ACT
DEFAULTED	[] 350 MOTOR VEHICLE	[] 371 TRUTH IN LENDING	[] 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION			
STUDENT LOANS (EXCL VETERANS)	[] 355 MOTOR VEHICLE		[] 840 TRADEMARK			
[] 153 RECOVERY OF	PRODUCT LIABILITY					
OVERPAYMENT	[] 360 OTHER PERSONAL					
OF VETERAN'S	INJURY	[] 380 OTHER PERSONAL				
BENEFITS	[] 362 PERSONAL INJURY - MED MALPRACTICE	PROPERTY DAMAGE				
[] 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS		[] 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE				
[] 190 OTHER CONTRACT		PRODUCT LIABILITY				
[] 195 PRODUCT LIABILITY						
[] 196 FRANCHISE	ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES					
	CIVIL RIGHTS					
	[] 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS (Non-Prisoner)					
REAL PROPERTY						
[] 210 LAND CONDEMNATION	[] 441 VOTING					
	[] 442 EMPLOYMENT					
	[] 443 HOUSING/					
[] 220 FORECLOSURE	ACCOMMODATIONS	PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS				
[] 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT	[] 445 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES - EMPLOYMENT	[] 550 CIVIL RIGHTS	[] 462 NATURALIZATION			
[] 240 TORTS TO LAND	[] 446 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES - OTHER	[] 555 PRISON CONDITION	APPLICATION			
[] 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY		[] 560 CIVIL DETAINEE	[] 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION			
[] 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	[] 448 EDUCATION	CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT	ACTIONS			

Check if demanded in complaint:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION
UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DO YOU CLAIM THIS CASE IS RELATED TO A CIVIL CASE NOW PENDING IN S.D.N.Y.
AS DEFINED BY LOCAL RULE FOR DIVISION OF BUSINESS 13?

IF SO, STATE:

DEMAND \$ _____ OTHER _____ JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

Check YES only if demanded in complaint

JURY DEMAND: YES NO

NOTE: You must also submit at the time of filing the Statement of Relatedness form (Form IH-32).

(PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from (Specify District) | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation (Transferred) | <input type="checkbox"/> 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge |
| <input type="checkbox"/> a. all parties represented | | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation (Direct File) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> b. At least one party is pro se. | | | | | | |

(PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 U.S. PLAINTIFF 2 U.S. DEFENDANT 3 FEDERAL QUESTION 4 DIVERSITY
(U.S. NOT A PARTY)

IF DIVERSITY, INDICATE CITIZENSHIP BELOW.

CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)

(Place an [X] in one box for Plaintiff and one box for Defendant)

CITIZEN OF THIS STATE	PTF [] 1	DEF [] 1	CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY	PTF [] 3	DEF [] 3	INCORPORATED and PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE	PTF [] 5	DEF [] 5
CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE	[] 2	[] 2	INCORPORATED or PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	[] 4	[] 4	FOREIGN NATION	[] 6	[] 6

PLAINTIFF(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)

DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)

DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS UNKNOWN

REPRESENTATION IS HEREBY MADE THAT, AT THIS TIME, I HAVE BEEN UNABLE, WITH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, TO ASCERTAIN THE RESIDENCE ADDRESSES OF THE FOLLOWING DEFENDANTS:

COURTHOUSE ASSIGNMENT

I hereby certify that this case should be assigned to the courthouse indicated below pursuant to Local Rule for Division of Business 18, 20 or 21.

Check one: THIS ACTION SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO: WHITE PLAINS MANHATTAN

/s/ Dennis F. Dunne

DATE 05/02/2023

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

RECEIPT #

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN THIS DISTRICT

[] NO

[X] YES (DATE ADMITTED Mo. _____ Yr. _____)

Attorney Bar Code # 2414373

Magistrate Judge is to be designated by the Clerk of the Court.

Magistrate Judge _____ is so Designated.

Ruby J. Krajick, Clerk of Court by _____ Deputy Clerk, DATED _____.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (NEW YORK SOUTHERN)